TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

LEGISLATIVE AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE MEETING

William B. Travis Building 1701 N. Congress Avenue Room 1-111 Austin, Texas 78701

> Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:30 p.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Paul Scott, Chair Christian Alvarado Tammy McRae (absent) Manuel "Manny" Ramirez

	<u>index</u>	
AGEN	DA ITEM	PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	5
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	5
3.	Comments and Announcements from Committee Chair Committee Members, and Executive Director	,
BRIE 4.	FING AND ACTION ITEMS Consideration and Possible Recommendation for Action to the Full Board and Briefings:	
	Review of Draft Legislative Recommendations for the 88th Regular Legislative Session (BRIEFING ONLY)	6
CLOS 5.	<pre>ED SESSION The Committee may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code Chapter 551: • Section 551.071 • Section 551.074 • Section 551.076 • Section 551.089</pre>	
6.	Action Items from Closed Session	
7.	Public Comment	none
8.	Adjournment	24

	3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. SCOTT: Good afternoon. My name is Paul
3	Scott, and I am pleased to open the Legislative and Public
4	Affairs Committee meeting of the Board of the Texas
5	Department of Motor Vehicles. It is now 2:32 p.m., and I
6	am calling the Board meeting for August 10, 2022, to
7	order.
8	I want to note for the record that the public
9	notice of this meeting, containing all items on the
10	agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on
11	August 2, 2022.
12	Before we begin today's meeting, please place
13	all cell phones and other communication devices in the
14	silent mode. And please, as a courtesy to others, do not
15	carry on side conversations or other activities in the
16	meeting room.
17	I want to welcome those who are with us today
18	for today's committee meeting. Face coverings and social
19	distancing are not required at this meeting.
20	If you wish to address the Board or speak on an
21	agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a
22	speaker sheet at the registration table or send an email
23	to GCO general@txdmv.gov. Please identify in your email
24	the specific item you are interested in commenting on,
25	your name and address, and whether you are representing
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not 2 pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your 3 comment during the general public comment portion of the 4 meeting.

5 In accordance with the Department's 6 administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited 7 to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has 8 been provided. The timer light will be green for the 9 first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red 10 when your time is up.

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are a few things that will assist us in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record. Please identify yourself when speaking. Speak clearly and slowly. Do not speak over others. And please ask the Chairman to proceed, and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would also like to thank our court reporterwho is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our Board meetings. In the Department's rule,

I have the authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules I just discussed.

The posted agenda stated that a quorum of the Board may be present at this meeting. However, Board members who are not members of the Legislative and Public Affairs Committee will not vote on any agenda item that appears today, nor will any Board action be taken.

11 And I see that Board Member Prewitt is present 12 for discussion and gathering information. Welcome.

And now I would like to have a roll call of the Committee members. Please respond verbally when I call your name.

Member Alvarado, are you here?

MR. ALVARADO: Here.

18 MR. SCOTT: Member Ramirez.

19 MR. RAMIREZ: Here.

16

17

20 MR. SCOTT: And let the record reflect that I, 21 Paul Scott, am here too. We have a quorum. Let the 22 record reflect that Member McRae is absent today. 23 The next item is the Pledge of Allegiance to

24 the United States and Texas. Member Alvarado will lead 25 the U.S. Pledge.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was 1 2 recited.) 3 MR. SCOTT: And Member Ramirez will do the 4 Texas flaq. 5 (Whereupon, a pledge to the Texas flag was 6 recited.) 7 MR. SCOTT: Thank you Members Alvarado and Ramirez for leading us in the pledges. 8 Okay. 9 Agenda Item 3, comments and announcements from Committee Chair, Committee members and Executive Director. 10 We do not have any comments or announcements today, so we 11 are not taking up Agenda Item 3. 12 13 We will move to Agenda Item 4. Agenda Item 4 14 is review of draft recommendations, legislative 15 recommendations for the 88th Regular Legislative Session. 16 This is a briefing only. And now we will hear from Keith Yawn on Agenda 17 Item 4. 18 19 MR. YAWN: Chair Scott, Members, good 20 afternoon. My name is Keith Yawn. I am the Director of Government and Strategic Communications for the 21 22 Department. 23 Agenda Item 4 is an overview of draft statutory 24 recommendations for a potential recommendation to the 25 upcoming 88th Texas Legislature. Department staff began ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 this work earlier in the year when GSC requested input 2 from subject matter divisions throughout the Department to 3 identify potential statutory changes needed to improve 4 Department operations and the regulatory processes we 5 administer.

At the same time, Department leadership was holding a series of stakeholder outreach meetings during the spring. While these meetings were principally focused on temporary tag administration and resetting relationships with our primary stakeholder partners, we also asked stakeholder representatives to discuss legislative ideas of interest to them.

After reviewing the results of these internal and external efforts, GSC staff worked with the Executive Director's office to craft an initial slate of legislative recommendation drafts. We also consulted subject matter experts in the impacted divisions to develop specific language to implement the general policy proposals.

The results of that work was further reviewed by subject matter divisions and the Office of the General Counsel to produce the draft documents included in your materials today. As staff worked through this process, we did not identify statutory needs critically limiting or impeding department operations. This is likely the result of the Department and Legislature's work during the last

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

several sessions, throughout Sunset and other major
legislation.

3 The items I am presenting today are relatively minor and primarily focused on cleanup or conforming 4 5 items, and clarifications to the transparency of 6 regulations. The identification for many of these items 7 came from questions we routinely receive from customers, 8 stakeholders, and other state agencies or regulatory 9 entities that are seeking to understand why our operations 10 and processes work the way they do. 11 Some are simple wording changes that clarify the statutory requirement of regulations without changing 12 13 legislative intent or existing Department operations, 14 while others provide improvements to either the 15 administration or processing of Department programs to 16 better serve our customers. We do not anticipate these 17 will be objectionable to the Legislature or public stakeholders. 18

This presentation is intended to continue the vetting process on these ideas, by seeking the Board's input. It will also provide public transparency of the recommendations, allowing stakeholders an additional opportunity to reach out to us with relevant concerns and additional input.

25

Based on the feedback we receive over the next

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

month, we will finalize the proposal packets and return to 1 2 the full Board in October for approval of the 3 recommendations. Once approved, GSC staff will begin 4 communicating the Department's policy recommendations to 5 legislative offices. 6 So before I move on to the specific proposals, 7 are there any questions or comments on the process or 8 general strategy? 9 Any questions or comments from the MR. SCOTT: 10 Board? 11 (No response.) MR. YAWN: Your Board books contain a document 12 13 beginning on page 7 with the specific legislative 14 proposals divided into four sections: Title Act 15 amendments, registration and license plate amendments, 16 oversized/overweight permitting processes, and Motor 17 Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority amendments. Each section contains a listing of the 18 19 contents, followed by a summary of each item and the red 20 line statutory language changes drafted for the recommendation. Many of the items in the first two 21 22 packets may look familiar to you, as items the Board 23 approved for recommendation to the Legislature during the 24 last legislative session. 25 These items were filed as part of House Bill ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 3531. That bill failed to pass, due to procedural issues 2 at the end of session, but there was not publicly 3 expressed opposition to the bill's contents during 4 committee and floor debates. We are proposing to 5 recommend these clean-up items again in the upcoming 6 session.

7 The first section, beginning on page 7, 8 contains four recommendations related to vehicle titling. 9 All were recommended by the Board last session, and 10 included in House Bill 3531.

On page 8, Recommendation 1 clarifies the equal use of printed and electronic titles throughout the Title Act. While electronic titles are already in use in Texas, these clarifications are useful, as digital processes become more common and expected by customers and businesses.

17 Recommendation 2 defines the term "auction 18 sales receipt" and allows that document to be used when 19 salvage dealers report a vehicle to be scrapped, 20 dismantled or destroyed, eliminating the need to obtain a 21 title for a vehicle set to be scrapped.

22 Recommendation 3 clarifies that evidence of 23 ownership outside of a title can be used by insurance 24 companies, in certain circumstances, to retitle vehicles 25 acquired through the payment of a claim.

1	And finally, Recommendation 4 allows the use of
2	out-of-state ownership documents to obtain a Texas rebuilt
3	title without first having to obtain a Texas salvage
4	title. This streamlines the current required process.
5	Are there any questions on the items in the
6	first section?
7	MR. SCOTT: None.
8	MR. YAWN: The second section begins on page
9	16, and contains nine recommendations related to vehicle
10	registration and license plates. Recommendation 1 allows
11	all military-related license plate designs to be issued as
12	Disabled Veteran plates for approved applicants.
13	Recommendation 2 clarifies that a first set of
14	Legion of Honor plates are issued without a registration
15	fee. The third recommendation clarifies that truck
16	tractors would be exempt from the two plate requirement,
17	but subject to the one plate requirement.
18	On page 19, Recommendation 4 contains three
19	individual items related to temporary registration
20	permits. Item 1 requires carrying 72- and 144-hour
21	permits in the vehicle. Item 2 clarifies the inspection
22	requirement for 30-day permits, as well as adjusts the
23	required placement of both one-trip and 30-day permits for
24	clear identification by law enforcement. And Item 3 makes
25	a minor wording change to clarify that one-trip permits
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING

1 are registration permits and not temporary tags. 2 These first four items were recommended by the -- the first four recommendations were recommended by 3 the Board last session, and included in House Bill 3531. 4 5 The remaining five recommendations are new for 6 consideration this session. 7 Recommendation 5 on page 22 contains five items that update the names of state agencies referenced in 8 9 specialty license plate statutes. For example, the 10 Department of Aging and Disability Services was merged into the Texas Health and Human Services Commission a 11 12 number of years ago, and HHSC now has authority for legacy 13 DADS programs. 14 Recommendation 6 contains five items updating 15 specialty license plate fees to reflect intended practice. 16 The first item clarifies a \$30 fee for the professional 17 firefighters plate. Items 2, 3, and 4 clarify that the Gold Star, volunteer firefighters, and travel trailer 18 19 license plates are issued at no fee. 20 Item 3 also updates a reference to the state 21 firefighters association, whose name has changed since the 22 statute was enacted. And finally, Item 5 corrects a 23 reference to collection of county-imposed fees, so readers 24 are directed to the appropriate statute for those 25 requirements.

Recommendation 7 at the bottom of page 24 1 2 repeals the honorary consul license plate. The State Department is working with a number of states on this 3 4 issue. Repealing the plate would eliminate the misuse of 5 consular immunity by individuals who are not eligible due 6 to temporary consular status or a change in consular 7 status. 8 At this time, there are 85 honorary consul 9 plates. Colorado, Oklahoma, and Louisiana have or soon 10 will remove similar plates in their states. And other states are reviewing this request as well. 11 12 Recommendation 8 on page 25 is a clarification 13 related to the designation of fees for speciality license 14 These for many license plates are explicitly plates. 15 defined in statute, while fees for plates administered by 16 private vendor My Plates are set by rule. Since some 17 plates with statutorily-defined fees have been moved over time to the My Plates platform, this recommendation 18 19 clarifies that license plates with specific statutory fees 20 do not require the fee to be reset in rule. 21 And Recommendation 9 clarifies registration 22 requirements for farm trailers that are less than 4,000 23 pounds and other farm equipment. Statute provides

24 specific requirements for farm trailers over 4,000 pounds, 25 but is unclear about requirements for similar trailers of

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	lesser weight. The recommendation clarifies that these
2	smaller trailers are not required to be registered if used
3	only temporarily on highways, but allows owners to obtain
4	plates if desired.
5	Any questions on this second section?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. YAWN: Okay. The third section, beginning
8	on page 27, contains two recommendations related to
9	certain oversize and overweight permitting processes.
10	These are both new recommendations for consideration this
11	session.
12	Recommendation 1 on page 28 contains three
13	items that clarify certain fees for oversize/overweight
14	permits. The first item clarifies the allocation of
15	weight tolerance fee revenue to counties. The second item
16	clarifies that both types of annual envelope permits are
17	exempt from the highway maintenance fee. And the third
18	item clarifies that the Department may waive fees by rule
19	for permits issued to governmental entities.
20	Recommendation two clarifies that both
21	self-propelled and trailer-mounted equipment are eligible
22	for unladen lift equipment permits.
23	And that concludes the third section. Are
24	there any questions on those items?
25	(No response.)
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

II

1 MR. SCOTT: No. 2 Okay. The final section begins on MR. YAWN: 3 page 30 and contains one recommendation related to funding 4 policy for the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority. 5 The MVCPA Board requested the creation of a dedicated 6 account for the deposit of program revenue. 7 This recommendation creates a dedicated subaccount within the Texas DMV Fund 10, where MVCPA 8 9 revenue would be deposited, and from which it could only 10 be appropriated for use by the program. This change supports the MVCPA-related Legislative Appropriations 11 12 The creation of the dedicated subaccount Request items. 13 would further support full program funding of these 14 grants. 15 That is the fourth section. So, we moved 16 through that more quickly than I had expected. I do have 17 one other policy item, unless there are any questions on any of the items in those sections. And we do have 18 resources here, from several of the divisions if there are 19 20 questions more detailed than I could answer. 21 MR. SCOTT: None. Go ahead. 22 Okay. The final item I have for you MR. YAWN: 23 today is that I was asked to provide some additional 24 information related to the replacement of the RTS system, 25 which the Board has been discussing in previous meetings.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 2 As you know, this project is a priority 3 exceptional item request of the Department's Legislative 4 Appropriations Request. But as the backbone of many of 5 our operations, the project carries potential significant 6 impact to Department operations and policies as well. 7 While the system is not currently in danger of 8 collapse, it is time to actively begin the process of 9 replacement. The planning, design, and preparation referred to as Phase One in the previous discussions 10 contains elements of both project planning and initial 11 implementation. 12 13 This is a complex ecosystem of applications 14 that require detailed attention to interconnections and 15 system relationships. We will be seeking the assistance 16 of expert information technology consultants in addition 17 to leveraging the subject matter expertise of both internal Department staff, and importantly, the 18 19 stakeholder communities who use these systems daily. 20 The procurement of expert resources must be handled deliberately, and involves the participation of 21 22 several state partners. A project of this size requires 23 the State's Quality Assurance Team, or QAT, to approve the 24 project plan, including designs, budget, anticipated 25 contracting, and operational impacts. The QAT is ON THE RECORD REPORTING

(512) 450-0342

comprised of technology resources from the Department of
Information Resources, the Legislative Budget Board, and
the State Auditors Office.

The documentation submitted for this required review is extremely detailed and rigorously reviewed. These foundational documents are required to be submitted at the same time as the LAR and are intended to help mitigate risk associated with complex IT projects.

9 For the reasons of timing, we are unable to 10 request full funding for the project in the upcoming 11 legislative session, because of those requirements. 12 However, this will not materially slow the implementation 13 of this project.

Planning and design work in Phase One of the project is expected to take a minimum of 18 to 24 months. As this effort is underway, Department staff will also be preparing existing systems for the transition itself, work that has to occur before the transition can begin.

19 Such preparation includes insuring software 20 platforms, support applications, and reporting functions 21 are fully updated to their latest versions for efficient 22 operation and adequate security. Beginning the process of 23 replacing or adding new hardware to Department networks to 24 support an upgraded RTS environment, and engaging primary 25 system users, notably tax assessor collectors offices and

1 auto dealers in the verification of system needs, and 2 identification of potential operational impacts of various 3 design decisions.

4 There has been a change in the Phase One 5 funding request since the project was first introduced in 6 June: a \$1.25 million increase in the project costs, to a 7 total of \$6.75 million. This change was made following consultation with the Department of Information Resources 8 9 on the procurement processes, and resources required. The advice we received indicated additional external resources 10 are needed to assist with the management and oversight of 11 this complex procurement process. 12

There will be more discussion of this item during tomorrow's agenda item on the LAR. That concludes my presentation, but I can take any questions you have on any of the items I have discussed.

MR. SCOTT: Do we have any comments about this? MR. RAMIREZ: Keith, you have done a great job of putting this all together and briefing us beforehand. And I understand the pace and shifting of the time limit of the RTS. Everybody is very ready to get that underway. So if it doesn't slow the project down, I don't think it is material.

Thank you for your work.MR. YAWN: Thank you.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. SCOTT: Member Alvarado?
2	MR. ALVARADO: No questions.
3	MR. SCOTT: Okay. Keith, if you would for us,
4	give us a little brief discussion about what we are doing
5	currently. You know, there is some concern about the
6	stability of our current system, but I understand that we
7	are doing some things to make it perform better, and to
8	beef it up while we continue on this process of
9	replacement.
10	MR. YAWN: Absolutely. As has been discussed,
11	the RTS system is a very old legacy system of more than 30
12	years now. But the issues related to its replacement, and
13	bringing forward a modernized system are unique to other
14	issues that exist related to the RTS system, as far as
15	interconnection, hardware issues, and things that the
16	Agency not only is currently engaged in, but has been
17	engaged in for some time.
18	These include hardware replacements at the
19	county offices, the T-1 project that is currently getting
20	underway to improve the network connections and speed of
21	the access to those systems. So there is, as you note, a
22	large amount of work being done on a regular basis to
23	ensure that the current system, while we work on the
24	transition and replacement and design of that new
25	modernized system, remains stable and effective for the
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 partners that do use it.

2 We do have a resource here from the Information Technology Services Division, if you would like some more 3 4 detail on any of those projects. 5 MR. SCOTT: Anybody need any more detail? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. SCOTT: I think that -- yes. I appreciate 8 that, Keith. The point of that was just to emphasize the 9 fact that, you know, while we need to replace our current 10 system, we are doing everything we can to support and maintain the system we have, increase its effectiveness 11 12 and efficiency. 13 So, we are not in any -- as you said, it needs 14 to be replaced. But there doesn't appear to be any, like, 15 imminent danger that we are going to wake up tomorrow and 16 we are out of business or anything. 17 MR. AVITIA: Chairman, if I may? MR. SCOTT: Yes. 18 Please. 19 MR. AVITIA: All the key statements, 100 20 percent accurate. And no, the system is not in dire straits to the point where it will shut down today or 21 22 tomorrow, hopefully. I say that fingers crossed. 23 But we are working diligently within the 24 Agency, especially in our IT shop. We have Will, our 25 Deputy CIO here. And we have been working diligently to ON THE RECORD REPORTING

(512) 450-0342

stabilize the system and make sure that when we do have regular releases, that those releases have minimal to no impact, other than positive things.

For the system, we fully understand that when 4 5 RTS is down, it is down in our Regional Service Centers. 6 It is also down for our TAC partners, which makes customer 7 service very uncomfortable. So, to that end, Will and his team, and Wendy, are working diligently behind the scenes 8 9 to make sure that any changes, and any updates that we 10 make to the system have minimal impact on operations 11 throughout the state.

MR. SCOTT: Thank you, sir. And Keith, as I understand it, the additional \$1.5 million that was added to the -- that came about as we saw that as a need to enhance our ability to move as quickly as possible on the replacement?

MR. YAWN: To move appropriately anddeliberately.

19

MR. SCOTT: Okay.

20 MR. YAWN: So, in our consultation with the 21 Department of Information Resources, who advises on large 22 IT processes for all state agencies, they advised us that 23 it would be useful, and our team agreed, to have 24 additional resources -- professional resources to assist 25 us with the procurement processes.

And some of those technical activities that we 1 2 had not previously built into the project. And so, that 3 is the reason. It is a \$1.25 million increase. 4 MR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you. All right. 5 Any other questions or comments? 6 MR. RAMIREZ: I know this meeting is about the 7 legislative ask and what we need in the ADA. But I guess, 8 are you familiar with any of the major transformation 9 projects around the country of these systems? And are we 10 modeling ourselves after any of them? And I guess that is 11 how we come up with the estimate. 12 MR. AVITIA: Chairman, if I may? 13 MR. SCOTT: Please. 14 MR. AVITIA: Member Ramirez, that is a really 15 qood question -- very timely, in fact. California is 16 about to replace their RTS. I believe they are about a 17 couple of weeks away from signing contracts. So, they are 18 going to engage before we will. 19 Any lessons learned there, I will be sure to 20 glean any so that it helps our process, as we move through 21 our replacements as well. 22 MR. RAMIREZ: Thank you. 23 MR. AVITIA: Yes, sir. 24 MR. SCOTT: Okay. Member Alvarado, anything? 25 MR. ALVARADO: No, sir. ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. SCOTT: Okay. I think you are free to go. 1 2 MR. YAWN: Thank you. 3 MR. SCOTT: Thank you. Appreciate it very 4 much. 5 Do we have any public comment on this issue? 6 MS. FORE: Elizabeth Fore. There are no 7 commenters on Agenda Item 4. MR. SCOTT: Okay. Agenda Item 5 is closed 8 9 session. But we will not have a closed session today, so 10 we are not taking up any agenda items for 5 or 6. We now move to 7 for any general public 11 12 comment. 13 MS. FORE: Elizabeth Fore. There are no 14 commenters. 15 MR. SCOTT: Okay. We will move to Agenda Item 16 8, which is adjournment. Unless there is further 17 business, I would like to entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. RAMIREZ: Motion. 18 19 MR. SCOTT: Okay. We have a motion from Member 20 Ramirez. Second, from Committee Member Alvarado. 21 MR. ALVARADO: Second. 22 MR. SCOTT: Okay. Committee Members, when I 23 call your name, please state your vote for the record. 24 Member Alvarado. 25 MR. ALVARADO: Aye. ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

	24
1	MR. SCOTT: Member Ramirez.
2	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
3	MR. SCOTT: And I, Chairman Scott, vote aye.
4	Let the record reflect the vote is unanimous. The meeting
5	is adjourned.
6	(Whereupon, at 2:58 p.m., the meeting was
7	concluded.)
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING
	(512) 450-0342

	25
1 2	CERTIFICATE
3 4	MEETING OF: TxDMV Legislative & Public Affairs Committee
5	LOCATION: Austin, Texas
6 7	DATE: August 10, 2022 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages,
8	numbers 1 through 25, inclusive, are the true, accurate,
9	and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording
10	made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before
11	the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 24 25 26	DATE: August 15, 2022 <u>/s/ Carol Bourgeois</u> (Transcriber) On the Record Reporting & Transcription, Inc. 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 Austin, Texas 78752
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342