TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES BOARD MEETING

Texas Department of Motor Vehicles
4000 Jackson Avenue
Building 1
Lone Star Room
Austin, Texas 78731

Thursday,
August 8, 2024
9:00 a.m.

BOARD MEMBERS:

Charles Bacarisse, Chair
Tammy McRae, Vice Chair
Christian Alvarado (absent)
Stacey Gillman
Brett Graham
Sharla Omumu
John Prewitt
Darren Schlosser

I N D E X

AGENI	DA ITEM	PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	7
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	8
3.	Chair's Reports (BRIEFING ONLY) A. Global Technology Outage Response B. Recognition of 30 Years of State Service for Daniel Avitia	8
4.	Executive Director's Reports (BRIEFING ONLY) A. American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA) 2024 Region 2 Conference B. Texas Independent Automobile Dealers Association (TIADA) Conference Update C. Awards, Recognition of Years of Service, and Announcements	13
CONTI	Proposal for Decision: Franchised Dealer's Notice of Protest of Dealership License Application; SOAH Docket No. 608-22-0643.LIC; Texas Department of Motor Vehicles No. 21-0018-LIC; Tommie Vaughn Motors, Inc., Protestant v. Chastang Enterprises-Houston, LLC d/b/a Chastang Ford, Applicant (ACTION ITEM)	19
6.	Consideration and Approval of Proposed Final Order on Enforcement Case Docket No. 23-0012668.ENF; SOAH Docket No. 608-23-24732.ENF; Texas Department of Motor Vehicles v. Whaley Boy, Inc.; d/b/a WB Motors Inc. (ACTION ITEM)	29
	ADOPTIONS Chapter 223, Compliance and Investigations Division (ACTION ITEM) Amendments: §\$223.1, 223.2 and 223.3 New: §223.5 Repeal: Subchapter B (Relating to Cleanup) (Published 4/26/24 - 49 TexReg 2690)	59
8.	Rule Review Rule Review Adoption under Government Code	

	\$2001.039: Chapter 208, Employment Practices; and Chapter 223, Compliance and Investigations Division (ACTION ITEM) (Published 4/26/24 - 49 TexReg 2790)	62
RULE 9.	PROPOSALS Rule Review Rule Review Proposal under Government Code, §2001.039: Chapter 218, Motor Carriers (ACTION ITEM)	65
10.	Advisory Committee Recommendations: Motor Carrier Regulation Advisory Committee (MCRAC) (BRIEFING ONLY)	68
11.	Chapter 218, Motor Carriers (ACTION ITEM) Amendments: Subchapters A, B, C, D, E, F and G Repeal: §218.58 (Relating to Cleanup)	73
12.	Chapter 224, Adjudicative Practice and Procedure (ACTION ITEM) Amendments: §224.27 and §224.54 (Relating to Cleanup)	76
BRIEF 13.	FING AND ACTION ITEMS Finance and Audit Committee Update A. FY 2025 Recommended Operating Budget for the Fiscal Year that Begins September 1, 2024, and ends August 31, 2025 (ACTION ITEM) B. Delegation of Contract Approval and Signature Authority to the Executive Director or the Executive Director's Designee (ACTION ITEM) C. FY 2026 - 2027 Legislative Appropriations Update (BRIEFING ITEM) D. Internal Audit Division Status Update (BRIEFING ONLY) i. Investigation Processes Audit ii. Inventory Management Audit iii. CPA Audit - Commercial Charge Rebate Program E. FY 2025 Internal Audit Plan (ACTION ITEM)	79
14.	Legislative and Public Affairs 89th Regular Session Legislative Recommendations (ACTION ITEM)	97
15.	Pending Texas Permitting & Routing Optimization System (TxPROS) Procurement (BRIEFING ONLY)	100
16	Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority (MVCPA)	

	Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	102
	The Board may enter into closed session under one or more provisions of the Texas Open Meetin Act, Government Code Chapter 551, including but not limited to: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.076 Section 551.089	
18.	Action Items from Closed Session	110
19.	Public Comment	110
20	Adiournment	113

PROCEEDINGS

MR. BACARISSE: Good morning. It is 9:01 a.m. My name is Charles Bacarisse. And I am pleased to open the Board meeting of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. Just noting the time here, and I am calling this Board meeting for August 8, 2024, to order.

I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting containing all of the items on the agenda was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on July 31, 2024.

And before we begin today's meeting, I would like to ask everyone to please place your cell phones and other communications devices in silent mode. I just did mine. I have got to remember that.

Also as a courtesy to others, please don't engage in side conversations in the meeting room. You can step outside if you need to.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's Board meeting. If you wish to address the Board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker sheet at the registration table, or send an email to GCO_general@txdmv.gov. I will read that again: GCO_general@txdmv.gov.

Please identify in your email the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and

address, and whether you are representing anyone, or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

In accordance with the Department's administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided at the podium. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow when the speaker has one minute left, and then red when the speaker's time is up.

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers. And comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

And there are a few things that will also help to make the meeting run more smoothly, and assist our court reporter to get an accurate record. She is in the back of the room.

Please identify yourself before speaking.

Speak clearly and slowly. Do not speak over others. Ask the Chairman for permission to speak. And be sure to get recognized before speaking.

And I would like to thank our court reporter

who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our Board meetings. In the Department's administrative rules, the Chair has authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive or demeaning of the meeting, or is otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules that I just discussed.

So now, I would like to move to Agenda Item 1, which is roll call, and establishment of a quorum. So, please respond when I call your name.

Board member Gillman, are you present?

MS. GILLMAN: Present.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?

MR. GRAHAM: Present.

MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.

MS. MCRAE: Here.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.

MS. OMUMU: Present.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.

MR. PREWITT: Here.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.

MR. SCHLOSSER: Here.

MR. BACARISSE: And please record that I,

Charles Bacarisse am here, too. Board member Alvarado is absent today, but we do have a quorum. Thank you.

Item 2 is our pledges of allegiance to the U.S. and Texas flags. So, I would ask everyone to stand. And I will now turn it over to Member Gillman to lead us in the U.S. pledge, and then Member Graham will lead us in the Texas pledge.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

(Whereupon, a pledge to the Texas flag was recited.)

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Thank you, Members Gillman and Graham, for leading us in the pledges.

In the Chair's report section, I want to take a few moments, if I may, to look back just a little bit and recognize the robust and resilient efforts of everyone here at the Department in response to the global technology outage on Friday, July 19th. The CrowdStrike issue that affected almost every workstation, server, application and employee at the Texas DMV -- not to mention, our customers. Of course, it not only affected Texas DMV, but also other state agencies, many businesses and governments around the world.

Starting with our Information Technology
Division, ITSD staff began implementing a disaster

recovery response process to start recovering 5,000 plus

Department systems and computers, within two hours of that
initial outage. In less than 24 hours, all primary

Department systems were back online and individual

workstations were recovered for critical staff by Saturday
afternoon. The ITSD and the Vehicle, Titles, and

Registration Division partnered with county tax offices
across the state to develop a recovery guide that resulted
in most county offices being open and ready for business
at normal hours on that following Monday.

I believe I can say this with full agreement from this Board that this Department once again stepped up in record time to respond and overcome another situation that otherwise could have affected millions of Texans across the state. I commend the executive leadership, every division, and every employee in this Agency for their valiant efforts that kept this Department moving forward, and returning to normal operations really in record time.

I know that Vice Chair McRae also has some comments to add. And I welcome other Board members if they have comments as well.

Vice Chair McRae.

MS. MCRAE: Thank you, Chairman. I just want to say thank you. I would take this opportunity to thank

the Agency and everyone that was involved with this response.

And to be very direct, this Department is truly a rock star where situations like this are concerned.

With the outage happening on a Friday, we had one business day and a weekend to recover. And our offices were all very busy.

But DMV staff were available throughout that weekend to make sure that we were back online, with every one of our work stations. So, we were ready to serve our customers first thing on Monday morning.

So, I feel like I just can't thank this Agency enough. And once again, I have witnessed how DMV has risen above the situation very quickly. So, thank you all.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

Members, any other additional comments that you would like to make? Love to hear from you if you have any.

Member Pruitt.

MR. PREWITT: I just -- I echo Tammy's comments as well as yours, Chairman. And I would go on to congratulate Wendy and her team for winning the TASSCC 2024 Project Excellence Award for cost-effective high value initiatives.

But I mean, to me, this is -- you know, when you win awards like this, and you respond to a disaster like we had most recently, I think the thing it indicates is just a culture of excellence and just a continued effort to always get better. And that, to me, defines DMV. So, thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: All right. Thank you.

I'd like to now move to Agenda Item 3(b) if I may. And he is not going to want me to do this. But I am going to, anyway. I want to recognize our Executive Director, Daniel Avitia, for 30 years of service to the State of Texas.

Daniel joined the Texas Department of Motor

Vehicles in January of 2014. But since then, Daniel has

served as Director of the Motor Vehicle Division, and was

promoted to the Deputy Executive Director position in

2020. And then we ruined his life forever in 2022, when

he was appointed to this -- by this Board to be the

Executive Director of the Department.

Prior to joining the Texas DMV, Daniel served in many leadership positions in Texas state government, including higher education. And Daniel's unwavering commitment not only to the Department, but also to the citizens of Texas and the stakeholders has been outstanding. And I am personally, very thankful.

His constant dedication has served this 1 2 Department's mission well. Daniel strives for a culture 3 that values and supports the Department that provides the 4 best services to the constituents. And I think that plays 5 out every time we have a challenging situation like the 6 Crowdstrike problem. 7 The passion that he has for Texas DMV and its 8 mission is evident. This Board wants to personally thank 9 Daniel for his collaboration and his leadership during his 10 tenure at the Department. 11 Daniel, congratulations to you on reaching 30 years of state service, a milestone that this Board looks 12 13 forward -- salutes you for, and looks forward to many more 14 milestones to celebrate with you in the future. 15 congratulations, Mr. Avitia. 16 MR. AVITIA: Thank you. 17 (Applause.) MR. BACARISSE: I told Daniel, like so many 18 19 long-serving state employees, that you can't skip the 20 photo op later. Okay. So, just because you have been here a while, you have got to stick around for that. 21 22 MR. AVITIA: Indeed, Chairman. Thank you for 23 those kind words. 24 MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman. 25 MS. GILLMAN: I should have said something on

your, a, global technology outage. And I have just one 1 2 comment about it. The global technology outage happened 3 in July 19, you said? 4 MR. BACARISSE: I think that was the day. 5 MS. GILLMAN: But also on June 19, there was a 6 cyberattack. And 50 percent -- more than 50 percent of 7 all the car dealers across the country had -- was shut 8 down because of this attack. So, it was all dealership 9 operations. And many dealers, it wasn't all of their 10 operations, but a part of their operations. And then, you had the Crowdstrike happen. 11 then we had Hurricane Beryl in Houston. And so, yes. 12 Ιt 13 has been a challenging time. 14 And the dealers and the DMV and the tax office 15 have really had to work together to try and keep things 16 moving for the citizens of Texas. And I also wanted to 17 say thank you. MR. BACARISSE: Yes. 18 19 Members, any other comments? 20 (No response.) 21 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. We will now move on 22 to Item 4(a). And we'll do the photo with the Board when 23 we take up the 4(c) agenda item awards. 24 Agenda Item 4(a) is Daniel. Top of your list. 25 So, go right ahead.

MR. AVITIA: Very good. Chairman, thank you. For the record, Daniel Avitia, Executive Director.

Thirty years seems to go by pretty quickly. It seems like a blink of an eye, almost. I look forward to many, many more years with this Department.

Chairman, Members, Agenda Item 4(a) can be found on page 7 of your Board books. This past June, several members of our staff attended the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators or AAMVA Region 2 conference in New Orleans, where they participated in industry discussions on current topics and solutions in one-on-one meetings and jurisdiction roundtables.

TxDMV brought home the well-deserved 2024
Regional Improvement Through Efficiencies award for the
TxDMV Consumer Relations automation project. Our CRD
Director, Ms. Amanda Collins, was there to receive this
award on behalf of the Agency.

I promise you, I don't make these in my garage.

And they don't give them out freely. So, I am very proud of our Consumer Relations Division.

Deputy Executive Director Shelly Mellott and Consumer Relations Division director Amanda Collins joined AAMVA President Ian Grossman on the association's podcast to explain the Department's automation project, and did a

great job there. So, thanks to CRD, Government and Strategic Communications, IT again, and for everybody working together to improve all of our service that we provide to our customers.

Members, that concludes this item.

Item 4(b) can be found on page 8 of your Board materials. Here again, TxDMV staff participated in the TIADA 2024 Annual Conference from July 21st to July 23rd. The conference brings together independent dealers from across the state to network and attend educational sessions in operational, financial, regulatory, and administrative matters of importance to the motor vehicle industry.

Department staff participated in the conference in various ways, including attending the conference to network with dealers and continuing to build productive relationships with the Association. Motor Vehicle Division staff managed a booth in the conference exhibit hall and provided dealers with information on licensing processes, administrative requirements, and system operations specific to their needs.

With assistance from VTR and Enforcement staff,
Enforcement Division Director Corrie Thompson educated
attendees on the best practices related to securing both
data and physical items, such as tags and plates, within a

dealership. Department's Executive staff, led by Deputy Director Roland Luna, closed out the conference with a great update on House Bill 718 implementation.

The Department appreciates these opportunities to meet with large numbers of our core stakeholder communities, and certainly learn more about their needs and how we can better serve them.

I certainly want to thank the TIADA President, Mr. Eddie Hale for his hospitality, as well as Executive Director John Frullo and Director Earl Cook, for their hospitality and support. It was a wonderful conference, and very well attended, too.

Chairman, Members, that concludes that item.

Item 4(c) is our standard awards and recognition of years of service, my favorite part of the meeting. So, I will start with the first 20 years of service.

Remember, we recognize these staff for their outstanding service, and more importantly, their years of service. We celebrate these employees, because they are dedicated to the citizens of the State of Texas. I will begin with 20 years of service, actually.

We have Mr. Bryan Elliott with the Motor

Carrier Division. With 25 years of service, Ms. Denise

Cagle with the Motor Vehicle Division. With 25 years of

service, Ms. Cynthia Mendoza.

And finally, 30 years of state service, we have three folks. And I hope I say his name correctly, Mr. Scott Prevratil, Prevratil -- I tried, Wendy. My apologies. All right.

And of course, Mr. David Richards. Funny story about Mr. David Richards, not that he is a funny guy. He and I started together back in 2014, on the same day. Congratulations, David.

We also have the following individuals who retired from the Department. First, with 20 years of state service, Mr. Jamie Jaquez with the Enforcement Division.

We then have 22 years of service, Ms. Tonya Graef with the Motor Vehicle Division. And finally, 27 years of service, Ms. Tania Sanders with the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

Chairman, I would like to say a few words about the folks that are with us today. We have three folks to be recognized today.

And I will start with Ms. Denise Cagle.

Denise's kind, calm, and can do attitude has been amazing in the Oversize/Overweight Permit Branch for over 25 years. She is a subject matter expert in weight tolerance, and a great Oversize/Overweight Permit lead.

It is a pleasure and an honor to work with her every day. 1 2 3 Denise, congratulations on your 25 years of service. Raise your hand, ma'am. 4 5 (Applause.) 6 MR. BACARISSE: Next, we have our very own HR 7 Director, Ms. Cynthia Mendoza. 8 Raise your hand, Cynthia. Off to my left here. 9 Cynthia joined the Department in August of 2022 10 as a Human Resources Division Director. Before joining the DMV, she served as a Human Resources Director for the 11 Texas Department of Agriculture for 23 years. 12 Since joining the Division, she has 13 14 restructured the training groups, streamlined the hiring 15 processes, updated policies and procedures for the 16 Division and Agency, and hired a great human resources 17 team to work with, all of whom are here today. Cynthia's dedication is an asset to the Department and does not go 18 19 unnoticed. 20 Cynthia, congratulations on your 25 years. 21 (Applause.) 22 MR. BACARISSE: And again, we have Mr. David 23 Richards, sitting off here to our right. 24 Raise your hand, David. 25 David joined the Department again in 2014, as

the Associate General Counsel. Over the past few years, 1 David has handled many diverse legal issues for the 3 Department, from serving as a civil rights officer to 4 supporting the Department's division with legal research, 5 rulemaking. And he certainly does a great job advising 6 the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority Board. 7 David, congratulations on your 30 years of 8 service, my friend. 9 (Applause.) 10

MR. AVITIA: Chairman, Members. Please join me at the front of the dais for a photo opportunity with these amazing folks.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Whereupon, photographs were taken.)

MR. AVITIA: Chairman, Members, that concludes the Executive Director's report. I appreciate the time this morning.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Avitia.

We will now move to Agenda Item 5, which is a contested case, which -- we have two today. The first on our list, on the agenda, is a Proposal for Decision here. So, before we move to the oral presentation from the parties to the contested case, our General Counsel Laura Moriaty will present the procedural history and summary of the case.

So, Laura, I will turn the floor over to you.

MS. MORIATY: Good morning, Board. I am Laura Moriaty, General Counsel of the DMV.

Your first contested case for this morning in Item 5 is Tommie Vaughn versus Chastang Ford. This is a franchise relocation protest case that arose from Tommie Vaughn Ford protesting the Chastang Ford's relocation in Houston. Both Tommie Vaughn Ford and Chastang Ford are licensed dealers in Houston, Texas.

This case has gone through a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings on July 24 through 28, 2023. The administrative law judge issued a proposal for decision on January 16, 2024.

The administrative law judge found that there was good cause for the relocation of Chastang Ford. Both parties filed exceptions, and then replied to each others exceptions.

The ALJ did not make any changes or response to the exceptions. And sent a letter, letting us know that it was ready for your consideration on April 15, 2024.

But now, the both parties want to settle.

So, they filed a motion requesting that the Board consider an agreed order that they filed. It would dismiss the case entirely.

So, the first question for you to consider today is whether you want to accept the agreed order. If

you vote to accept the agreed order, you will not have to discuss or consider the proposal for decision. And the agreed order will become final, which again will dismiss the case.

On the other hand, if the Board votes to reject the agreed order, then we will take up the proposal for decision and decide whether the ALJ's findings of fact and conclusions of law are what you want to accept. The question you would be answering then is whether there is good cause for Chastang Ford to relocate.

Both parties have time they requested to give oral presentation. And both parties have requested to first speak to you about the agreed order, and then reserve the rest of their time, should we continue on to consider a proposal for decision. So, if there are no other questions about that, I will turn it back over to Chairman Bacarisse.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Laura.

Members, any questions about the summary of the case that Laura has laid out?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, let's proceed. If I may. Under the Board's rules, a party that timely submitted a request to make an oral presentation will be allowed up to 15 minutes to make an oral presentation.

In this case, the protestant and the applicant both submitted timely requests to make that oral presentation today. This case is a little different because, as Laura mentioned, the parties have submitted for the Board's consideration an agreed order to settle the case.

Both parties have requested to speak to the Board about this agreed order. They have the option to use a portion of their allotted time, as Laura mentioned, to talk about the agreed order, and then reserve the remainder of their 15 minutes.

After the parties have presented the agreed order, I will ask for motions on the agreed order. If the agreed order passes by a majority vote, we will be done with this agenda item.

But if the agreed order fails, then we will turn to considering the proposal for decision as Laura described in this contested case. If that happens, the parties will have the reserved remainder of their 15 minute presentation time to present to the Board on the PFD.

During oral presentations, time spent by a party responding to any Board questions is not counted against that parties' time. And the timer light once again will be green for the first 14 minutes, yellow when

there is one minute left, and then red when the parties' time is up.

I also would like to remind the Board members and the parties that the Board's decision must be based solely on evidence contained within the administrative record from the State Office of Administrative Hearings. If a Board member asks a question about evidence that is not in SOAH's administrative record for this case, the parties should respond by saying that the question is about evidence that is not in that administrative record.

Protestant Tommie Vaughn Motors, Incorporated, is represented by Mr. Mark Bankston, who will now make Tommie Vaughn Motors' oral presentation through the proposed agreed order.

So, good morning and welcome, Mr. Bankston. The podium is yours.

MR. BANKSTON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Mark Allan Bankston, and I am proud to be here this morning to appear before you. It is an honor to be here on behalf of my client, Tommie Vaughn Ford and the Janke family, as counsel.

As mentioned, this is a relocation protest between two friendly competitors, Chastang Ford and Tommie Vaughn Ford. The protest was a lengthy process. All the issues were fully litigated in a very professional and

civil manner.

We appreciate Mr. Komkov and Mr. Bennett's efforts in that. Even though the parties were fighting, we got along pretty well and fully vetted these issues.

There is another protest that is being resolved at the same time as this protest between these parties.

The parties are ready to get back to selling cars and serving their customers. And so, for that reason, we ask the Board to grant the joint motion to dismiss, and enter the agreed, final order of dismissal with prejudice.

And we appreciate your time this morning. If you have any questions, I am happy to answer them.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Bankston.

Any questions from members?

Member Gillman, turn your mic on, make sure.

MS. GILLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Bankston. Talk to me. I don't understand. You said there is another case being resolved at this time?

And then you said there is a joint resolution.

Does that mean that the one that was intending to be heard today, plus the second is jointly being resolved?

MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, if I may. I am sorry. I am concerned we are going to get outside the record because the other litigation to which counsel was referring is not part of the record in this case, I don't

1	believe.
2	MR. BANKSTON: That is correct.
3	MS. MORIATY: Oh, okay.
4	MR. BANKSTON: And I am sorry if I misspoke.
5	That is not before you today. That is getting resolved
6	before we ever get to the proposal for decision, or a
7	hearing on the merits in front of SOAH. And through the
8	great efforts of the Department's mediation process and
9	their staff mediator, we were able to get that one
10	resolved in addition to this one.
11	However, because we did have a merits hearing,
12	we are asking that just the relocation protest that
13	settlement agreement which is subject to an enforceable
14	settlement agreement of both issues, but just the
15	relocation protest be dismissed.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions of
17	Mr. Bankston?
18	Member Pruitt, I see you are moving your
19	microphone now. Okay. You are just rearranging.
20	Okay. Then no other questions, Members?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Bankston.
23	MR. BANKSTON: Thank you.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Appreciate your time.
25	Applicant Chastang Enterprises Houston LLC d/b/a Chastang

Ford is represented by Bruce Bennett and Leon Komkov, who 1 will now make an oral presentation for Chastang Ford. 3 gentlemen, please. 4 MR. KOMKOV: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members 5 of the Board. Thank you. I echo what Mr. Bankston said. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Please state your name for the 7 record. 8 Oh, I am sorry. You had -- I MR. KOMKOV: 9 obviously ignored. Leon Komkov, K-O-M-K-O-V, and I am 10 here for Chastang Enterprises Houston, LLC. MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. 11 12 MR. KOMKOV: It is always nice to come before 13 the Board when we are making peace and not war. And so, 14 that is what this morning is about, after three years. 15 Well fought, and I agree with Mr. Bankston, 16 honorably fought, well litigated. But the parties desire 17 to lay down their swords and get back to selling cars. very much hope that the Board will entertain and enter the 18 19 agreed order of dismissal that we have, ending this fight, 20 and instructing the Board to continue with the relocation 21 license processing. 22 I stand ready to answer any questions the Board 23 may have. Thank you. 24 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Komkov.

Members, any questions for Counsel, here on

25

1	this item?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. BACARISSE: I think we are good. Thank
4	you, sir.
5	MR. KOMKOV: All right. Thank you all.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Yes. Thank you.
7	Laura, are there any public comments on this
8	particular agenda item?
9	MS. MORIATY: No, sir. No public comments.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you.
11	I would the Chair would entertain a motion
12	on Agenda Item 5.
13	MS. OMUMU: Mr. Chairman?
14	MR. BACARISSE: I am sorry. Yes.
15	MS. OMUMU: I would like to make the motion.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
17	MS. OMUMU: I move that the Board enter an
18	agreed final order incorporating the findings of fact and
19	conclusions of law in the parties' proposed agreed final
20	order of dismissal with prejudice. I also move that the
21	contested case before us today be dismissed with
22	prejudice. I further move that Department licensing staff
23	continue processing Chastang Ford's application for
24	relocation with this protest resolved.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Omumu.

1	Is there a second to that motion?
2	MS. MCRAE: I will second.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Vice Chair McRae. Okay.
4	Getting this down for the record.
5	Member Omumu, as a maker of this motion, do you
6	need to say anything further or are we good?
7	MS. OMUMU: No. Just happy that both parties
8	agreed to settle this.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Any further discussion
10	on this motion, Members?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Seeing none, I
13	would now call the vote.
14	Board member Gillman.
15	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Board member Graham.
17	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
19	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Board member Omumu.
21	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Board member Schlosser.
25	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.

MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse vote aye as well. That is unanimous. So, that motion passes.

Thank you, gentlemen. Appreciate it.

The second item on our agenda this morning is the contested case, Item 6. And I am just moving towards

that.

This is an oral presentation. The gentleman is with us this morning. So, unfortunately he did not sign up in time to have the full 15 minutes of time.

So, the person is limited to -- is it three minutes? Three minutes of presentation. And of course, Board members may ask questions.

This is the situation. During the oral presentations, this time spent by a party responding to any Board questions, of course, is not counted against the party's time. And the timer lights will be up. It will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red when the time is up.

I also would like to remind Board members and the parties that the Board's decision must be based solely on the evidence contained within this administrative record from the State Office of Administrative Hearings.

If a Board member asks a question about evidence that is not in SOAH's administrative record for this case -- and

sorry, I forgot to mention the case. It is SOAH Docket 1 608-23-24732. The Texas Department of Motor Vehicles 3 versus Whaley Boy, Incorporated, d/b/a WB Motors, Inc. 4 The petitioner for the Texas Department of 5 Motor Vehicles is represented by Lorelei Evans, who will 6 now -- is that right? 7 MS. MORIATY: I am supposed to give you an 8 introductory talk, sir. 9 MR. BACARISSE: Very good. Okay. 10 MS. MORIATY: I am sorry. MR. BACARISSE: I will give the floor the floor 11 to you, our General Counsel Laura Moriaty. 12 13 MS. MORIATY: Thank you. I appreciate it. 14 you said, I am Laura Moriaty, General Counsel for the DMV. 15 The second case you will be looking at this 16 morning is a licensure case brought by the Texas 17 Department of Motor Vehicles against Whaley Boy Incorporated. Whaley Boy holds a general distinguishing 18 19 number issued by the Department. 20 The questions for the Board to decide today are 21 whether Whaley Boy violated the Board's statutes and 22 rules. And if so, whether to revoke Whaley Boy's GDN, and 23 what the appropriate monetary penalty is. 24 This case began when the Department issued a

Notice of Department Decision on July 18, 2023.

25

Department set the case for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings, which I will call SOAH, and the hearing took place on December 4, 2023.

The SOAH administrative law judge issued a proposal for decision on February 2, 2024. In the proposal for decision, the administrative law judge found that Whaley Boy had misused buyer's temporary tags between May 1, 2022, and April 30, 2023, by improperly issuing 174 buyers tags, at least some of which were on vehicles that Whaley Boy had not sold.

Whaley Boy also violated the premises requirements in the Board's rules by not having a display area large enough to display at least five vehicles separated from any other businesses' display area by a barrier that cannot be readily removed; by not having a physical office location that is at least 100 square feet and again, separated from other businesses by a permanent interior walls on all sides; and not having posted business hours for each day of the week.

The ALJ noted that Respondent had three prior final orders from the Department in 2018, 2021, and 2022.

Those were all settlements of enforcement actions. None of the orders related to premises violations, and only one related to a temporary tag violation. That was an issuance of a buyers tag without a valid vehicle

inspection.

The other violations addressed in the prior orders were failure to pay vehicle sales taxes, a failure to apply for title and registration in connection with the sale of a vehicle, and a failure to keep or produce records.

The ALJ recommended that the Board suspend Whaley Boy's GDN for one year, and assess a penalty of \$17,400. So, that is \$100 per misused temporary tag.

On February 14, 2024, the Department's attorneys filed exceptions to the decision that are included in your Board materials. The ALJ issued a response to those exceptions, but did not make any changes in response to them and submitted the case to you for consideration on March 12, 2024.

Both parties received notice that the Board would hear this case today. But only Department's attorney announced that she wanted to make an oral argument. So, as the Chairman said earlier, we will hear from the Department's attorney for her full time.

And then we will be able to hear from Alejandro Whaley, who has signed up for public comment on behalf of Whaley Boy, for three minutes during the public comment period.

So, I need to review your options today as you

review this proposal for decision. At the outset, it is always important to remember how the role of SOAH is different than your role.

The role of SOAH is to find the facts, decide what comes into the record, and what stays out, decide what witnesses are believable, what evidence is believable. And they give that to you as findings of fact. They also make recommendations on findings of fact and conclusions of law and then they recommend a penalty.

You cannot try to interfere with SOAH's role by hearing new evidence or making new findings of fact.

Those are -- that is completely SOAH's job. Your role here today is to figure out whether SOAH properly interpreted your statutes and rules, and to figure out what the appropriate penalty is in the case.

So, you have three options. You could just accept the PFD. If you do, that means we are going to do a one-year suspension of Whaley Boy's GDN and a civil penalty of \$17,400.

Your other options are to amend the PFD, to make changes potentially to the findings of fact or conclusions of law, or to have a sanction that is different from what the ALJ recommended. But if you do that, we have to have the motion to comply with Section

2001.058(e) of the Government Code, which requires that if we are going to make a change to a finding of fact we have to be just correcting a technical error like a typo.

And if we are making a change to a conclusion of law or the ultimate penalty, we have to explain how the ALJ misapplied or misinterpreted the statutes, the rules, the written policies, or some prior decision that we were relying on.

So, when you make a motion to change a conclusion of law or the penalty or a finding of fact, we have to identify the specific change we are requesting, explain why we are making that change. And if it is a change to a conclusion of law or the penalty, we need to explain why the interpretation was incorrect, how the findings of fact support the interpretation. We can't do anything that isn't supported by the findings of fact. And then, explain how this is all supported by our interpretation of the statutes and rules.

Now, you have a third option, which is to remand back to SOAH. And we can only do that if we need SOAH to make new findings of fact on areas that it hasn't made findings of fact on, or clarify something. We can't ask them to change their decision, or to make findings of fact that contradict what they have already said.

And SOAH doesn't have to accept our remand.

They could just reject it and send it right back to us.

So, the area where you have the largest discretion is in the penalty. We just need to comply with the statutes there, and explain why we are doing it.

Under the statutes, the amount of civil penalty for temporary tag misuse must be at least \$50 per tag, and can be no more than \$10,000 per tag.

In deciding the amount of the civil penalty, the Occupations Code requires the Board to consider the seriousness of the violation, the economic damage to the public caused by the violation, the history of previous violations, the amount necessary to deter a future violation, any efforts to correct the violation. And then there is that fun catch-all, any other matters that justice may require.

Similarly, when you are deciding whether to revoke, we have to make sure that the reason for revocation is one of the reasons listed under the Transportation Code, or the Occupations Code. But those include violation of the Board rules or statutes, the failure to maintain the qualifications for licensure, which could include failure to meet the premises requirements. And misuse, or allowing someone else to misuse a temporary tag.

So, if we make a motion, just make sure we are

explaining why we are making the change, and how it is 1 2 supported by those legal authorities. So, with all of that, I will turn it back over to Chairman Bacarisse to 3 4 start the oral presentations. 5 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. So, at this point, 6 is Lorelei Evans here? 7 MS. MORIATY: She is, sir. 8 MR. BACARISSE: If she is ready to present, 9 we'll just have you begin. Ms. Evans is the petitioner 10 for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. And she is -will make the Department's oral presentation. 11 12 You have 15 minutes. So, good morning and 13 welcome. 14 MS. EVANS: Good morning, Chairman, Board 15 members, Mr. Avitia. My name is Lorelei Evans. I am the 16 enforcement attorney who tried this case at SOAH. 17 During the introduction, I believe it was stated that this was a licensure case, when it is actually 18 19 respectfully, an enforcement case. So, I think that was 20 just accidentally stated. 21 But this case involves two types of 22 allegations. One is buyer tag misuse of 174 buyers 23 temporary tags, and the dealer's failure to maintain basic 24 premise requirements.

Prior to today's hearing, I did submit written

25

materials for your consideration, which consisted of a draft proposed final order. I highlighted a number of issues with the conclusions of law and findings of fact, where there was mostly typographical errors to the ALJ's PFD, that I would request that you review and take into consideration my suggested changes. And I am going to defer to those written materials and not cover them during my oral presentation. But I am happy to answer any questions that you might have regarding anything in those written materials.

So, my oral presentation today is going to be solely focused on why a revocation is not just justified, but warranted in this current case. It is the only appropriate sanction for the premise violations that are ongoing, and that were founded during this hearing.

So, on the hearing date, the Respondent admitted, as well as the ALJ found, that there were three premise violations. So, these are uncontested. They occurred. And those occurred on June 22, 2023, during a site inspection.

The investigator found that the Respondent failed to have a designated display area that was separated from a shared business location with another dealership. He was required to have a designated area that had a material barrier that cannot readily be

removed, and could display at least five vehicles. So, he was found not to have had that.

Additionally, he was required to have posted business hours for each day of the week. And then finally, and the most serious, was that he did not have an office space that was at least 100 square feet with permanent walls on each side of his office space, separated from that shared business location with another dealer.

So, if you were to walk into the office, and you can see from the photographs, that there were two desks for the two different dealerships. And there was no partition or a permanent wall that would allow you to have private transactions, as well as any sort of financial documentation that would be received from a consumer. All of that is open up to the public, or whoever walks into that door, and not separated.

So, we have to kind of go back from when these requirements came into play. So, the Board adopted new rules under the Texas Administrative Code 215.140.5(h)(I). And those rules were adopted January 1, 2023.

And there was reasoning behind it, which was there was significant tag misuse. And a lot of the things that were identified were some of these business locations and dealers premises that did not seem to have further

common trends, I would say.

So one of it was that you would have these shared locations that did not have what appeared to be individual business office spaces that were private. That there was parking lots, but you couldn't tell whose inventory belonged to what dealership, and it made it very difficult, if a customer was going to come to that dealership, to know which inventory belonged to which dealer. If an investigator came, being able to identify, again, which vehicles belong to which dealer.

So, these were things that were identified by the Board that required some new changes to the premise requirements. And so, those came into effect January 1, 2023. So, the Respondent's license was valid when -- he met all the premise requirements when he obtained his license, but the rules changed during that license period.

The Occupations Code 2301.263 requires that all licensees, whether they are new licensees or under a previous license, must conform to those new Board rules and laws that take effect during the term of that license. So, the Respondent was still required to meet the new rules that went into effect on January 1, 2023. There was no grandfather clause. Excuse me.

So, the new premise rules were enacted in January 1, 2023. And there were several changes. And

again, it was intended to combat a lot of the tag misuse that was seen, and to ensure that -- these rules were intended to protect consumers.

So, they are not arbitrary. There is reasoning behind each of these new premise rules. And most legitimate dealerships already met the rules. So, it was only a few dealerships that had to make some changes.

The issue here is that, despite the new rules going into effect on January 1st, on June 22nd, when that investigator did the site inspection, nearly six months after the rules went into effect, he found these deficiencies. He notified the Respondent of these deficiencies.

The Respondent wasn't there at the dealership during his normal business hours. But he was able to communicate that these issues were present, and they needed to be fixed.

The Respondent on the date of the hearing,

December 4, 2023, nearly a year after the rules went into

effect, was still -- there was no evidence that he was in

compliance. So, they still remained uncured. There has

been no efforts by the Respondent to make any of the

requested changes.

So, the issue here is that, not just that they were present, but they are continual and they haven't been

fixed. The uncured premise violations alone warrant revocation of the dealer's license. However, couple it with the 174 substantiated allegations of tag misuse also present in this case, a sanction of revocation is absolutely justified and appropriate. Respondent had nearly a year before the hearing to come into compliance, and had not.

As stated earlier, these rules are not arbitrary. They were rules that were enacted by the Board for a specific purpose. It will set a big precedent to other dealers if they are not required to follow the rules that the Board has enacted. And again, the whole purpose was to protect consumers, and to ensure the legitimacy of these offices and business spaces.

Revocation for the premise violations is authorized under Transportation Code Section 503.038(a)(7), (12), and (14). So, when a dealer fails to maintain the qualifications for a general distinguishing number, misuses, or allows another to misuse a temporary tag authorized under the Chapter, or otherwise violates a chapter rule, or a Board rule adopted under the Chapter, revocation is proper, and it is allowed.

The dealer would not be approved for a license, if he were to apply currently. He would not be allowed to renew the license. So, he should not be allowed to have

and keep a license. And revocation, again, is the only appropriate sanction in this case.

With regard to the civil penalty, the

Petitioner originally recommended the penalty of \$174,000, which was \$1,000 per tag violation. But I defer to the Board on what they believe is just and fair under the circumstances of this case when determining the appropriate penalty, and in line with previous Board decisions on tag misuse.

But the Petitioner respects that you -respectfully requests that you reject the ALJ's
recommendation to suspend the license for a one-year
period, and instead, impose a revocation of the dealer's
license. Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Evans.

Are there questions from Board members?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. Laura, I would hand this back to you.

MS. MORIATY: So, yes. We have a public commenter, sir.

MR. BACARISSE: Yes.

MS. MORIATY: Alejandro Whaley. You may approach the podium and speak to the Board for three minutes.

MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Whaley, thanks for making 1 2 time to come today. 3 MR. WHALEY: Thank you. 4 MR. BACARISSE: We appreciate hearing from you. 5 MR. WHALEY: Okay. I don't know too much about 6 what to say. So, I didn't -- I tried to get a lawyer, but --7 8 MR. BACARISSE: Would you do me a favor. Just 9 say your name for the record, so that we get it right. 10 MR. WHALEY: Okay. I am Alejandro Whaley. Ι am the owner of WB Motors. I was accused of misusing 11 12 dealer tags. And I just heard about the -- I wasn't aware of 13 14 the building. I thought that was going through on the 15 other guy. I haven't heard anything from anybody about 16 that one. The misuse, saying that I didn't have enough 17 I have a large sign in front of the building. I 18 19 am the primary lessee on the building, and I have one guy that shares the room with me. And it is about 200, 300 20 21 square feet. 22 But they are still going back and forth. 23 Because they are telling him that he is grandfathered in, 24 which he sent me the information on that. But it is still 25

ongoing.

So, he was already there before they changed the rules. So, I had no control over that. And they are still going back and forth with -- their case is still open with them. I think she worked there with him. But as far as the dealer tags, I was not aware of the dealer tags at the time. When they told me, I was in shock, myself. And they completely right then shut me down. Which, I was confused.

And I didn't know -- because I had come in there. I wasn't there when they came and said that they heard about the dealer tags. I wasn't in my car lot.

When they told me that, I immediately contacted them, and let them know that, hey, I will try to figure this out. But they immediately shut me down. I had one tag that they showed me.

They gave me a list, and said, do you recognize any of these cars? I said, there is only one that I noticed, which was my nephew. Which I told them.

I said, yes. That one I did. Because he moved out of town.

He stayed with me and he needed a car. And he couldn't afford to pay all of it right then. So, I did it. Like, two or three times, maybe, with him only.

And then, when they told me that I was doing wrong, once they came, Mr. Schultz, I wasn't there. And

they told me, hey. Which one of you did.

I said, this one. But I can go home and take care of this now. I immediately, the next day, corrected that situation, and went and got his car registered.

They were saying that -- oh, and I told her. I didn't know where it come from. I had just gotten burglarized. I had got burglarized about like, five or six months.

I showed them the police report, because I didn't know where else it could come from. I wasn't having a lot of people working for me. So, I've kind of been doing research after the fact to see what I could narrow it down to.

I tried to get a lawyer. For the last year and a half, I have been trying to get a lawyer. I couldn't find nobody.

So, I have been digging, doing research myself.

I can't find nobody to help me in this case. So, I have been only digging myself to try to find some information.

I couldn't find nothing.

They said that I did it. When I showed them proof that I got burglarized. Computers, monitors, five of my cars got stolen. And I didn't have nothing else that I could think of, that can happen.

So, that is all I have. I know I wouldn't do

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

it. I have been in business ten years. I never ever 1 misused a taq. 3 Just, this is the way I have been living, for 15 years I have been in the car industry. I did my own --4 5 MR. BACARISSE: Go ahead, and finish your 6 sentence. 7 MR. WHALEY: I have been in the car industry 8 for 15 years. I started a Nissan in McKinney. I did 9 finance there for five years, and I decided to do it on my 10 own. And I didn't have any guidance. 11 So, all the penalties that she said I did, I 12 did get some -- because I didn't have -- you don't have 13 schooling on how to be a dealer. So, I had to learn by 14 getting fines, which I paid every fine that they told me. 15 And I never done them again. 16 I was never accused again. Dealer tags was 17 something that -- this is my life. This is not just a job. This is my career. 18 19 I would never misuse a dealer tag. That was 20 just --21 MR. BACARISSE: All right. I thank you for 22 your time. Thank you. 23 Staff here, because members may have questions 24 for you, if you will just hang with us for a minute. I am 25 going to ask the members.

Members, do y'all have any questions for Mr. 1 2 Whaley? 3 MS. MCRAE: I have a question. MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae. 4 5 MS. MCRAE: Mr. Whaley, I have been in this 6 business for 39 years. And I do believe that there is 7 dealer training that is provided. I think our General 8 Counsel could speak more accurately to that. 9 But I do believe there is dealer training that 10 is available. And you have never taken advantage of that? 11 MR. WHALEY: Yes, ma'am, After the first -after the first one, the first offense that I got, I took 12 a dealer training. I got the certificate for that. 13 I sent them that as well. I showed them that I 14 15 did take the training. But that was like, three or four 16 years before then. 17 And I never -- it wasn't a problem of dealer tags, so I didn't -- I know not to do that. That is 18 19 something I know not to do. And that is something I 20 didn't do at all. 21 Like I said, I got burglarized. I got, after 22 going through it, looking at it, I found so much other 23 evidence that they did to me. Whoever broke in my 24 building, and stole my information.

I just didn't have time to submit it. Because

25

when I found that I had to come here, that I could come here, and announce that I can show you guys proof, I didn't have time to upload it, and show you guys.

Like, hey, this is what I found. Like, I have got like ten cars that is in impounds. They are saying that they are mine, that I never owned.

I got my mail, my name, my personal information going to -- I put a post out on Facebook, asking for a lawyer. People started sending me stuff.

One girl sent me a letter. She said,
Alejandro, I got your name on about 15 tow tags coming to
my house.

I am like, how did you do that? I don't even know -- I didn't even know where she lived. I don't even know her like that at all. I know who she was, but I didn't know nothing about where she lived at. And she is telling me that mail going to her house in my name.

So, I was like -- I started gathering information to try and figure out what is it. And I could never. I tried to get lawyers, and nobody could come help me. Because I got multiple dollars that I owe in total.

So, it is not just this that is going on. It is actually a lot going on outside of this, since I got burglarized. And I am not blaming it on that. I don't know where it comes from. Somehow, somebody got my

1	information.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, sir.
3	Members, any other questions?
4	(No response.)
5	MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, if I may. I would
6	just caution that a lot of the information that you just
7	heard is outside the record. So, if we have questions
8	about what is in or outside with the record, let's just
9	check with the Agency's attorney as well. Just to so
10	we make sure we know.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Sure. Okay. Great. Thank
12	you.
13	Any other questions, Members?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, sir. Appreciate
16	your time. In that situation, do we need to come back and
17	kind of understand what is
18	MS. MORIATY: If you have any questions for Ms.
19	Evans, you are welcome to ask them. But its up to the
20	Board.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Members, do you have any
22	questions for Ms. Evans, based on Mr. Whaley's comments?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. BACARISSE: Good. Okay. Seeing none, I
25	the Chairman would entertain a motion on this agenda item.

Anybody want to make a motion?

MS. MCRAE: I'll make a motion.

MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.

MS. MCRAE: I move that the Board adopt
Findings of Fact 2 through 18, and 20 through 21, and
Conclusions of Law 1 through 8, as set out in the proposal
for decision. I further move that the Board modify
Findings of Fact 1 and 19, because the administrative law
judge made technical errors in those findings of fact by
incorrectly stating dates.

In Finding of Fact 1, the administrative law judge misstated the date on which the Department-issued Respondent's GDN. I move that Finding of Fact 1 be amended to state, Whaley Boy, Inc. holds general distinguishing number P131278, a license issued by the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles on October 20, 2015, and is considered a dealer.

In Finding of Fact 19, the administrative law judge misstated the date the second amended notice was issued and misstated the date that the Department's investigator visited Respondent's dealership. I move that Finding of Fact 19 be amended to state, the Department has issued a second amended Notice Of Department Decision, dated November 20, 2023. The notice alleged that Respondent violated statutes and rules relating to a

dealer's permanent place of business, on or about June 22, 2023. And regarding the use of issuance of e-tags from on or about May 20, 2022, through April 2023.

I also move that the Board strike Conclusions of Law 9, and amend Conclusions of Law 10 through 12, as follows. Conclusion of Law 10, Respondent should be assessed a penalty of \$87,000 for issuing 174 e-tags without corresponding vehicle sales.

Conclusion of Law 11, Respondent should not be assessed a monetary penalty for failing to comply with certain Department premises requirements, because the Department did not request a monetary penalty for those violations. Conclusion of Law 12, Respondent's GDN license should be revoked.

These amendments are necessary because the administrative law judge improperly applied or interpreted Texas Transportation Code 503.095, and 503.038, Occupations Code 2301.651, and 2301.801, and the factors specified in the Department's disciplinary matrix, when she recommended a one-year suspension and a penalty of \$100 per improperly issued temporary tag, for a total penalty of \$17,400.

Conclusion of Law 9 should be struck, because it is a sanction recommendation that reflects the ALJ's misinterpretation of the sanctioning statutes, rules and

guidelines. The Board and not the ALJ is the ultimate decision maker about sanctions.

To determine the appropriate penalty for misuse of temporary tags, the Board looks at factors in Occupations Code 2301.801, including the seriousness of the violation and the amount necessary to deter a future violation. Misuse of temporary buyers tags is a very serious offense, a terrible breach of the trust that the state puts in a GDN holder when it allows the licensee access to the state's temporary tag system.

Finding of Fact 11 shows that Respondent's misuse was particularly serious because he issued temporary tags for vehicles that he had not sold. A strong sanction is necessary to create a sufficient deterrent to Respondent and others like him, who attempted to misuse temporary tags.

Respondent has shown that he is not easily deterred through his three prior disciplinary orders, described in Finding of Fact 18. The record in this case also shows that Respondent was not deterred, even when the Department's investigator informed the Respondent that he was under investigation for temporary tag misuse, because Respondent improperly issued another temporary tag after meeting with the investigator.

The ALJ's recommended sanction of \$100 per

misused temporary tag is not sufficient to address these concerns. A penalty of \$500 per each improperly issued temporary tag would offer more deterrent value and would better reflect the seriousness of the violation.

Conclusion of Law 10 must therefore be changed to statute that Respondent should be assessed a penalty of \$87,000 for issuing 174 e-tags without corresponding vehicle sales.

Under Transportation Code 503.038, cancellation or revocation of a GDN license is appropriate when a GDN license holder has failed to maintain the qualifications for that license, including maintaining premises that meet the requirements of the Board's rules. Findings of Fact 4 and 5 show that Respondent has premise violations that put him in violation of the Department's rules.

While the Department did not request a monetary penalty for those violations, a sanction is necessary to address the premises violations, and revocation is the appropriate sanction. Conclusion of Law 11 should therefore be changed to reflect that the Department requested a sanction other than a monetary penalty to address the premises violation.

Moreover, revocation is an appropriate sanction under Transportation Code 503.038, when a GDN license holder has misused or allowed the misuse of temporary

tags. Findings of Fact 8 through 11, and 16 show that Respondent either misused 174 temporary tags, or allowed the misuse, by failing to change its password when he knew his computer had been stolen.

Moreover, Findings of Fact 11 states that

Respondent issued temporary tags on vehicles he had not sold. Taking into account the seriousness, serious violations from Respondent's misuse of 174 temporary tags, combined with his premise violations, revocation is appropriate in this case.

Respondent's prior orders, noted in Findings of Fact 18, shows that Respondent needs a strong deterrent, and a one-year suspension is insufficient. Conclusion of Law 12 should therefore be changed to state that Respondent's GDN license should be revoked. Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law, with these modifications, I move that the Board revoke Respondent's GDN license, and issue a penalty of \$87,000.

MR. BACARISSE: Is there a second to that motion?

MR. SCHLOSSER: I'll second it.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser seconds.

Now, we can have any discussion of the Board here. Is there any -- are there any questions or discussion? Any questions for Laura or the legal team?

Member Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. I support the motion generally. I certainly support revocation of the license.

I am kind of just trying to work through it mentally, as far as the fine, when we don't have true clarity as to the misuse of those tags. We know they were misused. We don't really know -- I mean, there is no evidence to support that they were sold improperly, only that they were misused.

So, I am just trying to figure out where that scale should come down on misuse that falls in sort of that vague category. And I don't know what that is. I just kind of wanted to have that quick discussion, and see. I would appreciate any Board input on that.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman, did you have a comment or question?

MS. GILLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes. I also did not disagree with the violations that have occurred here. I am particularly sensitive to the printing of tags when a vehicle is not in inventory, or if you have not sold the vehicle.

It is a clear violation in my eyes, and I think that Mr. Whaley should have reacted sooner, and with more respect for the rules and provisions and privileges of being a dealer.

The premises violations, I am a little bit more 1 2 sympathetic, because premises rules change. And I am not 3 sure that the Department does enough to explain and get those rules out. 4 5 So, I am feeling that revocation based on 6 premises violations is too strong. I feel that the 7 penalty of \$87,000 as presented in the motion is also too 8 strong. 9 And like I said, I am -- I cannot and won't 10 defend the clear violations here. But I just don't put as much weight as \$87,000. And therefore, I tend to agree 11 12 with the ALJ's recommendation of \$17,000 in that regard. 13 MR. BACARISSE: Do you have a request for a 14 friendly amendment, or is there some action you would like 15 the Board to consider, based on your comments? 16 MS. GILLMAN: Perhaps. MR. BACARISSE: Well, let's hear it. Let's get 17 on with it. We have got one. Let's go. 18 19 MS. GILLMAN: I don't exactly know how to have 20 a -- may I request a friendly amendment? 21 MS. MORIATY: It is Laura Moriaty. We need to 22 tell her what the friendly amendment is. 23 MR. BACARISSE: Yes. You have to make the 24 friendly amendment, and then the maker of the motion gets

an opportunity to accept or reject.

25

1	MS. MORIATY: If your friendly amendment is
2	to Member Gillman, it sounds like you might just want
3	to accept the PFD.
4	MS. GILLMAN: That is correct.
5	MS. MORIATY: That would be an alternate
6	motion.
7	MS. GILLMAN: That is correct.
8	MS. MORIATY: But you could make that as an
9	alternative motion.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Well, we can't make that.
11	MS. MORIATY: Right.
12	MR. BACARISSE: We have a motion on the floor.
13	MS. MORIATY: It would be a substitute motion.
14	And you would have to vote on it.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Yes.
16	MS. MORIATY: It might be easier to vote on the
17	current motion and see what happens, and decide then if
18	you want to make that separate motion.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Unless, yes. Okay.
20	Member McRae, Vice Chair McRae.
21	MS. MCRAE: I would just like to say that Texas
22	was under attack. This Agency was under attack in 2023
23	with the misuse of temporary tags, and we acted swiftly
24	and to try to eradicate that behavior in this state.
25	And I agree with something that Member Gillman

stated. We have outstanding, some of the greatest dealers in this state. And for those dealers, I am most thankful. It is a privilege to do business in this state.

And for the gross negligence of someone either by not changing a password, or allowing the sharing of a password, or whatever the case may be. To turn a blind eye, and not oversee your business as required, I think is as -- it is, like I said, gross negligence.

But some of these vehicles that these were issued to, we don't know if they were even able to be on the roadways, whether they were salvage vehicles, or any of that kind of information, we don't have. So, these vehicles, there is a reason why there is records. There is a reason why all of that is required.

And to have 174 tags issued on vehicles that was not sold by a dealer, I think is -- I just think that is unacceptable in the State of Texas. And I stand behind my motion.

MR. BACARISSE: Okay. We have a motion and a second. Any other questions. We don't need to make -- if we have question, let's ask the question. And let's move the agenda.

Any other questions, Members?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, the Chair will

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	call the roll for this vote. Member Gillman.
2	MS. GILLMAN: Nay.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Board member Graham.
4	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
6	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Board member Omumu.
8	MS. OMUMU: Nay.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
10	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
12	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse vote
14	aye as well. It shows here that we have two negative and
15	four, five positive. So, it passes five to two. Thank
16	you.
17	We'll now move on to Agenda Item 7. These are
18	rule adoptions, and we'll hear from Corrie Thompson.
19	MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Good morning, Chairman,
20	Vice Chair McRae, Members of the Board. Director of ETF,
21	Corrie Thompson, Director of the Enforcement Division.
22	I am presenting Agenda Item 7 for you today,
23	which begins in 215 in your Board book. And before you is
24	a recommendation to approve the adoption of amendments, a
25	new section, and a repeal to 43 Texas Administrative Code

Chapter 223, relating to compliance and investigations.

These recommendations serve as a general cleanup in connection with the rule review being conducted by the Department. And they are also necessary to revise the title of the Chapter, by removing the word "Division," following Compliance and Investigations, because that division was disbanded and reorganized under the Enforcement Division several years ago.

These amendments are also necessary to bring the rules into alignment with statute, to clarify existing requirements, improve readability and consistency, to describe the Department's methods and procedures for counties to report fraud, waste and abuse to the Department, and to update the Department's processes for external risk based monitoring regarding external users of the Department's Registration and Title System.

New 223.5 would replace Subchapter B, 223.101, which is being repealed. These proposed changes were published in the *Texas Register* for public comment following the April Board meeting, and we received no comment.

There will be no significant fiscal implications in connection with the amendments, the new section, or the repeal. And if the Board adopts new 223.5, the amendments, and the repeal during this meeting,

we anticipate publication in the August 23rd issue of the 1 2 Texas Register, with an effective date of August 29th. 3 So, I am happy to answer any questions. And if 4 there aren't, then I would ask the Board to approve the 5 adoption of new 225, the amendments, and the repeal. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Thompson. 7 Members, any questions for Ms. Thompson on 8 Agenda Item 7? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, the Chair would entertain a motion on Agenda Item 7. 11 12 MR. SCHLOSSER: Member Schlosser. I will make 13 a motion. 14 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. Please. 15 MR. SCHLOSSER: I move that the Board approve 16 the adoption of 43 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 223, 17 as recommended by staff, for publication in the Texas Register. In addition, I move the Board grant the 18 19 Department the authority to make changes to the preamble 20 and text of the Chapter 223 revisions based on non-21 substantive corrections made by Texas Register. 22 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Is there a second 23 to this motion? 24 MS. GILLMAN: I second. 25 MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman. Thank you.

1	Any further discussion on this motion, Members?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. I would now call
4	the vote, please.
5	Member Gillman.
6	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
8	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
10	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
12	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
14	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
16	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse vote
18	aye as well. It is unanimous. Thank you.
19	Agenda Item 8 is rule review and adoption under
20	Government Code 2001.039. And we will hear from our
21	General Counsel, Laura Moriaty.
22	General Counsel Moriaty.
23	MS. MORIATY: Good morning, Board. Laura
24	Moriaty, General Counsel, back for more. Today you have
25	the opportunity to do rule reviews, adopt rule reviews for

two of our chapters: Chapter 208 which covers employment practices, and Chapter 223, which covers the Compliance and Investigation Division.

Every state agency that adopts rules has to comply with the Government Code by examining them every four years to make sure that the reasons for initially adopting them continue to exist. Chapter 208 was last reviewed in 2019, and Chapter 223 was last reviewed in 2020.

So, actually, we are almost on time with these. We published our notice for intent to review with these chapters with the *Texas Register*. But we did not receive any public comments.

We went through the rule review process. We identified the amendments and repeals that needed to be made. For Chapter 208, we didn't identify any amendments. But for Chapter 223, you just adopted the necessary amendments and repeals.

So, this motion will readopt the remaining provisions in both chapters and we will be good to go for another four years. And with that, I am open to questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Any questions, Members, for Ms. Moriaty on this Agenda Item 8?

(No response.)

1	MR. BACARISSE: No. I am stunned that there
2	were no public comments. That is amazing. And we have
3	none today on this.
4	MS. MORIATY: Right. No public comments today,
5	either, sir.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Right. Thank you. Seeing no
7	questions, the Chair would entertain a motion on Agenda
8	Item 8.
9	MS. MCRAE: I'll make the motion, Chairman.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
11	MS. MCRAE: I move that the Board approve the
12	notice of the readoptions of 43 Texas Administrative Code,
13	Chapters 208 and 223 as recommended by staff for
14	publication in the Texas Register. In addition, I move
15	that the Board grant the Department the authority to make
16	changes to the notice of re-adoption of Chapters 208 and
17	223, based on non-substantive corrections made by the
18	Texas Register.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Vice Chair McRae.
20	And is there a second for that motion?
21	MR. GRAHAM: Second.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham. Thank you.
23	And any further discussion on this motion,
24	members?
25	(No response.)

1	MR. BACARISSE: I will now call the vote,
2	seeing no discussion.
3	Member Gillman.
4	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
6	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
8	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
10	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
12	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
14	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chair Bacarisse vote aye
16	as well. It is unanimous. Thank you.
17	Now we move to Agenda Item 9. And Laura will
18	stay up there, and run through this agenda item on Chapter
19	223.
20	MS. MORIATY: I believe we are on Chapter 218
21	right now.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Oh 218, sorry.
23	MS. MORIATY: But, I am still Laura Moriaty,
24	General Counsel.
25	So, this is Chapter 218, which is our Motor

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 Carrier chapter, and we are proposing the rule review for this one. Again, this is the time when we come under the Government Code to look at it, and decide whether the reasons for initially adopting continue to exist.

Chapter 218 was last reviewed in 2015. So, we are behind on this one. And in that time, it could be that the statutory authority has changed because the Legislature has been in session, or that the practices at either the Agency or the industry have changed.

If either of those happen, we are going to need to align with statute. We are -- we have identified some amendments and repeal for Chapter 218. And those will be coming ahead before you in Agenda Item 11.

But for this item, we are recommending that you open the rule review for Chapter 218.

If you vote to open the rule review, then staff will publish the notice of intent to review in the Texas Register. We'll ask the public to send us comments on whether the reasons for initially adopting this continue to exist. And then, in December, we will bring you back both any public comments we get as a result of that publication, and the rule amendments and repeals for you to consider adopting. You will have the opportunity to review any public comments on both of those.

So with that, I am open to questions.

1 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Moriaty. 2 Members, are there any questions for Ms. 3 Moriaty on Agenda Item 9? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, the Chair would 6 entertain a motion. 7 Were there no public comments on this item? 8 MS. MORIATY: No public comments, sir. 9 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Fine. The chair would 10 entertain a motion on Agenda Item 9. MR. GRAHAM: Chairman, I will make a motion. 11 12 MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham. 13 MR. GRAHAM: I move that the Board approve the 14 proposed notice of intention to review 43 Texas 15 Administrative Code, Chapter 218 as recommended by staff, 16 for publication in the Texas Register. I also move that 17 the Board grant the Department the authority to make changes to the proposed notice of intention to review 18 19 Chapter 218, as they are approved by the Department's 20 General Counsel as necessary for compliance with the state 21 or federal law, or for acceptance by the Secretary of 22 State for filing and publication in the Texas Register. 23 In addition, I move that the Board grant the

Department the authority to make changes to the proposed

notice of intention to review Chapter 218, based on non-

24

25

1	substantive corrections made by the Texas Register.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Graham.
3	Is there a second for this motion?
4	MS. GILLMAN: I second.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman. Thank you.
6	We have a motion and a second. Any further
7	discussion on this agenda item, Members?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. The Chair would
10	call the vote, please.
11	Member Gillman.
12	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
14	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
16	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
18	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
20	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
22	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
23	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse vote
24	aye as well. It is unanimous. Thank you.
25	MS. MORIATY: Thank you.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Agenda Item 10, is 2 Advisory Committee recommendations on the Motor Carrier 3 Regulation Advisory Committee. And well, it is M -- I 4 don't know how you say that acronym. We will have General 5 Counsel -- Associate General Counsel Aline Aucoin to brief 6 us on this, please. 7 So, good morning Aline. 8 MS. AUCOIN: Good morning, Board members and 9 Executive Director Avitia. I am Aline Aucoin, Associate 10 General Counsel for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. This is a briefing item only and it begins on 11 12 page 233 of your Board book. Under our DMV rule, the Board is required to 13 14 consider the written recommendations of an advisory 15 committee regarding proposed amendments to the 16 Department's rules. In December, the Motor Carrier 17 Regulation Advisory Committee, and we have been pronouncing the acronym MC-RAC. 18 19 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you. 20 (General laughter.) MS. AUCOIN: So, MCRAC --21 22 MR. BACARISSE: I got all tangled up on that. 23 Thank you. Lots of acronyms. 24 MS. AUCOIN:

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE:

25

MS. AUCOIN: So, the MCRAC committee met in December to review and discuss the Department's potential amendments to two sections of our Motor Carrier rules: Sections 218.13 and 218.14. And the MCRAC was created to consider recommendations to the Board on topics related to motor carrier registration, as well as motor carrier regulation.

And the focus of that December MCRAC meeting was to discuss potential amendments to our rules to detect and prevent what we call a chameleon motor carrier. And a chameleon carrier is a carrier that attempts to continue operating under a certificate of registration which is issued by DMV without addressing a prior violation of the law.

They do that by trying to operate under a different certificate of registration. And there are two types of chameleon carrier. One is a motor carrier who violates the law, and then applies for a new certificate of registration from DMV, using a different person's name or a different legal entity.

And the other type of chameleon carrier is a motor carrier who already has more than one certificate of registration. And the moment they violate the law and get in trouble under one of their certificates of registrations, they then start operating under one of

their other existing certificates of registration.

The MCRAC made recommendations that were both informal and formal. On their informal recommendations, the Department addressed all of the informal recommendations and modified our proposed amendments.

There were two formal recommendations. And the Department addressed one of the formal recommendations entirely, as recommended by the MCRAC. But there was another MCRAC recommendation that would have deleted proposed language that was specifically targeted to getting information that we need to detect and prevent chameleon carriers.

So, instead of just outright deleting that proposed language, we modified it to address the MCRAC, their concerns. And their concern really was that this was language requesting information on the applicants managers and operators who oversee the applicant's business activities. And the MCRAC members thought that that requirement would have applied to a lot of their employees, including employees who don't necessarily direct the operations of the motor carrier.

And there is also a requirement for motor carriers to update their information. And some of those motor carriers have a lower level employee, and there is a lot of turnover.

So, we think that we addressed the MCRAC's concerns by modifying the language. And again, we need that information to detect an affiliation between an existing motor carrier who has violated the law and the applicant. And there is lots of different pieces of information that we can use to do that.

And one common affiliation factor is, they have the same owners. They have the same managers. And we just need that information to do our jobs, to detect and prevent the chameleon carriers.

And our primary goal is to prevent chameleon carriers from the very outset, just not even let them get a certification of registration. So, that is why we couldn't just outright delete that language. But we modified it.

And the Board will consider the recommended amendments to Section 218.13 in the next agenda item, at Agenda Item 11. But again, this is just a briefing to provide the Board with MCRAC's recommendations for you all to consider when you take action on Agenda Item 11.

And I am happy to answer any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Aucoin.

Members, are there any questions?

MR. PREWITT: Just a comment, Mr. Chairman.

Just as a member of the motor carrier industry, I just

want to thank MCRAC for all their hard work and the 1 2 diligence in eliminating chameleon carriers. Thank you. 3 MS. AUCOIN: Thank you. 4 MR. BACARISSE: Any other questions or 5 comments? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Aucoin. 8 Thank you. Appreciate it. 9 We'll now move to Agenda Item 11, which is Chapter 218, Motor Carriers. And Mr. Archer will brief us 10 on this. 11 12 MR. ARCHER: Good morning. 13 MR. BACARISSE: Good morning. 14 MR. ARCHER: Chair Bacarisse, Executive 15 Director Avitia, Board members, for the record, my name is 16 Jimmy Archer. I am the Director of the Motor Carrier 17 Division. As General Counsel Moriaty and Associate 18 19 General Counsel Aucoin laid out, this is going to be the 20 proposed rule amendments to Chapter 218, based on MCRAC 21 and our rule review. This item is 11 on today's agenda, 22 and the materials are found beginning on page 236 of your 23 Board books. 24 For the Board's consideration, I am presenting 25 these proposed rule amendments to 43 Administrative Code,

Chapter 218, for approval and publication in the *Texas*Register. The proposed rule amendments are necessary

because the Department is proposing a review of these

rules under Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 218.

It applies the Government Code Section 2001.039. Proposed amendments and repeal would clean up the language in Chapter 218.

The proposed amendments are necessary to do the following: requiring new applicants for operating authority to provide the Department with more information and documents so the Department can detect and prevent chameleon carriers; make the rules consistent with the Department's current practices and processes; make the rules consistent with current law; delete language for which the Department does not have rulemaking authority; clarify and delete unnecessary language; and otherwise clean up the rule text.

The proposed repeal is necessary to delete language for which the Department does not have rulemaking authority. There will be no significant fiscal implications due to the proposed amendments and repeal.

And I ask that the Board approve these proposed rule amendments for publication and comment. I am happy to answer any questions you might have.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Archer. Are

there any questions for Mr. Archer on this action item 1 before we entertain a motion? 3 (No response.) 4 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, is there a motion 5 on this agenda item? 6 MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman. 7 MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt. My name is 8 John Prewitt. I move that the Board approve the proposed 9 amendments and repeal in 43 Texas Administrative Code, 10 Chapter 218, as recommended by staff, for publication in 11 the Texas Register. 12 I also move that the Board grant the Department 13 the authority to make changes to the preamble and text of 14 the proposed Chapter 218 revisions that are approved by 15 the Department's General Counsel as necessary for 16 compliance with the state or federal law, or for 17 acceptance by the Secretary of State for filing and publication in the Texas Register. 18 19 In addition, I move the Board grant the 20 Department the authority to make changes to the preamble and text of proposed Chapter 218 revisions, based on the 21 22 non-substantive corrections made by the Texas Register. 23 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Prewitt. Is there a second for that motion? 24

MS. OMUMU: I second.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
2	Laura, are there any public commenters on
3	Agenda Item 11?
4	MS. MORIATY: No, sir. No public comments.
5	MR. BACARISSE: All right. Thanks.
6	Is there any other question from the Board
7	members about this motion that we have before us?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. The Chair will
10	call the vote, please.
11	Member Gillman.
12	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
14	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
16	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
18	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
20	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
22	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
23	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chair Bacarisse vote aye
24	as well. It is unanimous.
25	And we now move to Agenda Item 12. Chapter

224 -- Corrie Thompson is here to brief us. This is an action item, so --

MS. THOMPSON: Yes.

MR. BACARISSE: Good morning, Ms. Thompson.

MS. THOMPSON: Hello again, Chairman, Vice
Chair McRae, Board members, Director Avitia. I am still
Corrie Thompson, Director of the Enforcement Division.

Presenting the last rule item for you, Agenda Item 12, which begins on page 350 in your Board books. And before you now is a recommendation to approve the publishing of proposed amendments to 43 Texas Administrative Code, 224.27 and 224.54, in the Texas Register for public comment.

The proposed amendments to these rules make minor conforming changes to support the implementation of House Bill 718, which eliminates the use of temporary tags when purchasing a motor vehicle, and replaces them with metal license plates, effective July 1, 2025. Proposed amendments in 224.27 delete the phrase "temporary tag database," and replace it with "license plate system."

And then, proposed amendments to 224.54 would delete the phrases "or temporary tags" and "internet down tag." Another proposed amendment to 224.54 would delete -- would correct missing punctuation. There are no financial implications associated with the proposal.

1 And if there are no questions, I would ask the 2 Board to approve publication in the Texas Register, so 3 that we may receive public comment. 4 MR. BACARISSE: Great. Are there any public 5 comments on this particular item? 6 MS. MORIATY: No, sir. No public comments. 7 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. In this situation, 8 we would love to entertain a motion, I believe on Agenda 9 Item 12. If a member has a motion to make. Or if they 10 have questions for Ms. Thompson. MS. GILLMAN: Chairman, I have a motion. 11 12 MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman. 13 MS. GILLMAN: I move that the Board approve the 14 proposed amendments to 43 Texas Administrative Code, 15 Chapter 224, as recommended by staff for publication in 16 the Texas Register. I also move that the Board grant the 17 Department the authority to make changes to the preamble and the text of the proposed Chapter 224 amendments that 18 19 are approved by the Department's General Counsel, as 20 necessary for compliance with state or federal law, or for 21 acceptance by the Secretary of State for filing and 22 publication in the Texas Register. 23 In addition, I move that the Board grant the

Department the authority to make changes to the preamble

and text of the proposed Chapter 224 amendments, based on

24

1	non-substantive corrections made by the Texas Register.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
3	Is there a second for that motion?
4	MR. SCHLOSSER: I'll second.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser. Thank you.
6	All right. There's a motion and a second. Is
7	there any further discussion on this Agenda Item 12?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. I would call the
10	vote, please.
11	Member Gillman.
12	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
14	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
16	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
18	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
20	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
22	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
23	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chair Bacarisse vote
24	aye. Thank you. It is unanimous.
25	And we are now moving to Agenda Item 13, which

is briefing on Finance and Audit Committee update. 1 2 Chairman Graham, you had a meeting yesterday. 3 Your subcommittee had a meeting yesterday. Do you have 4 any comments to make on that before we --5 MR. GRAHAM: I certainly do. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Please. The floor is yours. 7 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Chairman, Executive 8 Director Avitia, and Members. Yesterday, Vice Chair 9 McRae, Members Omumu, and Prewitt, and myself participated in the Finance and Audit Committee meeting. 10 MR. BACARISSE: I turned it on. 11 MR. GRAHAM: All right. Sorry. My bad. 12 13 Do you want me to start over, or did you get 14 it? It is nothing important. That part is not important. 15 I am getting to the important stuff. 16 We had a meeting, and we received briefings from the staff. And I will be walking through some of 17 those things currently. 18 19 So, first was Agenda Item 13(a), which is the 20 Fiscal Year 2025 recommended operating budget for the fiscal year that begins September 1, 2024, and ends August 21 22 31, 2025. And this will be an action item. 23 Director of Budgeting and Forecasting, John 24 Ralston, provided information on the fiscal year 2025 25 recommended operating budget. The fiscal year 2025

recommended operating budget totals \$301.7 million and supports 902 FTEs, in addition to amounts sufficient for the Department day-to-day operations.

The fiscal year 2025 budget includes funding for the following major initiatives and projects that began in fiscal year 2024, and will carry forward into fiscal year 2025. Those items include: \$34.6 million for the implementation of House Bill 718, relating to the replacement of temporary paper tags with metal plates; \$30.3 million in new funding to continue the implementation of Senate Bill 224, which is the bill to deter catalytic converter crime; and \$20.2 million for the carryforward of funds from fiscal year 2024, related to the first year of funding for Senate Bill 224 implementation.

\$5.3 million for the fiscal year 2025 costs that are related to salary adjustments. \$4.2 million for the carryforward of funds to replace -- related to the first phase of RTS. And \$1.7 million for the continued implementation of additional Regional Service Center locations in Dallas and Houston. And \$1.9 million for new FTEs added in fiscal year 2024, to address the workload, and initiatives, and multiple TxDMV programs.

So the fiscal year 2025 recommended budget document also contains information on the estimated

revenues, the capital project expenditures, and estimated 1 2 major contracts for fiscal year 2025. 3 The Finance and Audit Committee recommended to 4 the full Board approval of the fiscal year 2025 5 recommended operating budget. I will stop there, and see 6 if anybody has a question. And if I can't answer it, I am 7 sure Mr. Ralston will be glad to. 8 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Chairman Graham. 9 think this is a transformational time, this next year and 10 a half, two years for the Agency, with so many big projects going on. And enormous both in capital and 11 12 programming and everything in between, so your plate is full. And I appreciate your and your Committee's work 13 14 with our staff on these important issues. 15 Members, any questions of Chairman Graham on 16 this? Or any questions of staff on this report? 17 (No response.) MR. BACARISSE: We are going to get more of it 18 19 here, soon. 20 (No response.) MR. BACARISSE: No. You are off the hook. 21 22 Thank you. I appreciate it. 23 MR. GRAHAM: Okay. Are we going to take these 24 individually, or --25 MS. MORIATY: Right. We need to get a vote on

1	this one before we soldier on.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Yes. You are going to vote on
3	this one. Okay. So, I am sorry. It just said briefing
4	only for me.
5	MR. GUTHRIE: So, there is probably a pretty
6	good chance that I have a prepared motion for this
7	somewhere.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Well, that would be great. I
9	will take you up on it. It is an action item.
10	Sorry. I will be watching my agenda more
11	closely. The chair would entertain a motion on this
12	Chairman Graham's presentation.
13	MR. GRAHAM: Yes. I would be glad to do that.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
15	MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, I move that the
16	Board approve the fiscal year 2025 operating budget as
17	recommended by staff.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. That is short and
19	sweet and to the point.
20	Is there any public comment on this, Laura,
21	before we
22	MS. MORIATY: No, sir. There is no public
23	comment.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. That is great. Excuse
25	me.

1	This is Agenda Item 13(a) and Member Graham
2	made the motion. Is there a second?
3	MR. PREWITT: Second.
4	MR. BACARISSE: And Member Prewitt is the
5	seconder. Are there any further questions or discussion
6	on this Agenda Item approving the budget?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. The Chair would
9	call the vote, please.
10	Member Gillman first.
11	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
13	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
15	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
17	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
19	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
21	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chair Bacarisse vote aye
23	as well.
24	Brett, thanks for leading a great Committee
25	meeting yesterday. And a very, very full plate. That is

now Agenda Item 13(a). Continue, please, for Agenda Item 13(b).

MR. GRAHAM: I'm trying to remember what show it was where they would say, but wait, there is more.

MR. BACARISSE: But wait. There is more.

MR. GRAHAM: That is right. There is more.

(General laughter.)

MR. GRAHAM: All right. We also had a discussion related to the delegation of contract approval, and signature authority for the Executive Director.

Director of Budget and Forecasting, John Ralston, provided information on this delegation of contract approval and signature authority.

And as I am sure some of you will remember, the Government Code 2261.254 requires that the Board either approve or delegate approval and signature authority for contracts over \$1 million. Historically, each year, the Board has delegated approval and signature authority for routine Department contracts to the Executive Director, and has approved the operating budget, which has constituted approval of contracts contained therein. The Department has proposed a revised contract approval procedures to simplify these approvals, and align more closely with what is authorized and required by statute.

Under these revised procedures, the Executive

1 Director will be delegated the authority to approve and sign all contracts, up to and including \$1 million, with 3 the authority to further delegate to staff. Additionally, 4 any contracts of \$1 million or more, the Executive 5 Director is delegated the authority to sign and approve, 6 but may not further delegate that authority. 7 The Department will continue to present all 8 expected contracts within the operating budget for 9 information purposes, and will continue to bring contracts 10 required by law to be approved by the Board. regarding 13(b), that is what I have on 13(b). And this 11 is an action item. 12 MR. BACARISSE: Great. 13 14 Members, are there any questions for Chairman 15 Graham, or staff, on Agenda Item 13(b), the designation of 16 authority to sign contracts? 17 (No response.) MR. BACARISSE: No. 18 19 Laura, any public commenters on this item? 20 MS. MORIATY: No, sir. No public comments. 21 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you. 22 I would entertain a motion on Agenda Item 13, 23 if there is one.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.

Mr. Chairman, I have a motion.

MR. GRAHAM:

24

1	MR. GRAHAM: I move that the Board approve the
2	revised contract approval procedures and delegate contract
3	approval and signature authority to the Executive Director
4	or his designee as recommended by staff.
5	MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second for this
6	motion?
7	MR. PREWITT: Second.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
9	MR. PREWITT: Yes.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Is there any
11	further discussion with this motion, now we have a motion
12	and a second?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. I will call the
15	vote, please.
16	Member Gillman.
17	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
19	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
21	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
23	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
25	MR. PREWITT: Aye.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.

MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.

MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chair Bacarisse vote aye as well. It is unanimous. Thank you.

Chairman Graham, please continue with your update on Agenda Item 13(c).

MR. GRAHAM: I'd be glad to. Chief Financial Officer Glenna Bowman provided an update on the fiscal year '26-'27 legislative appropriations process.

The Legislative Appropriations Request, or LAR has two components. It has baseline funding and it has exceptional items. In June of 2024, the Board approved a motion to authorize a baseline increase, not to exceed 5 percent. After additional analysis, the baseline amount for fiscal year 2026-27 is estimated to be \$424.5 million, which represents a 4.7 percent increase over fiscal year 2024-2025.

Now, major baseline increases are for cost increases of postage, freight, services, data center services, implementation of communication and technology contracts, and things such as that. There are no changes to the exceptional items that were presented in June of 2024, which were registration and titling RTS modernization, enhance and improve core services and customer support. The LAR policy letter and instructions

that were released on July 28th, and TxDMV's LAR must 1 2 be -- this must be submitted by August 23rd. 3 So, that is what I have on 13(c), which was the 4 LAR information. And Ms. Bowman is here to answer any 5 questions on this item. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for 7 Chairman Graham on 13(c)? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, please continue, 10 Chairman Graham. MR. GRAHAM: Okay. How about -- I was going to 11 say how about one more, but I have got two. All right, 12 13 13 (d). 14 MR. BACARISSE: Do them both. 15 MR. GRAHAM: But wait, there is more. MR. BACARISSE: Yes. There is more. 16 17 MR. GRAHAM: Internal Audit Division update. This is a briefing item only also. Interim Internal Audit 18 19 Director Jason Gonzalez briefed the Committee, Mr. 20 Chairman, on the Internal Audit Division status update. The investigation processes audit was 21 22 completed. The audit covered complaint prioritization, 23 investigation timeliness, investigation documentation, 24 uniformity of assessed penalties, and a penalty collection

as it pertains to the investigative process.

The report identified four results, which included number one, improved definition of complaint priority levels; two, strengthening case communication and oversight. Third was assessing penalties within established guidelines. And the fourth was expanding key performance indicators to provide more visibility.

The Internal Audit Division made eight recommendations related to updating procedures to better define complaint priority levels and provide training to ensure consistency, establishing processes for timely notices to be sent to ensure efficient case closure, considering the expansion of key performance indicators for more transparency, working with ITS to ensure system flags and notifications are set up properly, ensuring that introductory and closure emails are provided to complainants, and making sure penalties assessed in cases are within the ranges established in the established disciplinary matrix or providing comments that support those decisions clearly.

And so, that -- you know, that audit went quite a while, and they worked really hard. I think it came out very good. Some good findings. And I think it will be really beneficial to the Agency.

Also, the inventory management audit has been provided. Field work has been completed. It has been

provided to management for their review, and responses.

That audit covers purchasing and receiving, segregation of duties, the onsite inventory verification process, which is only going to become more and important very soon.

MR. BACARISSE: Very, very important. Yes.

MR. GRAHAM: And the reporting processes, process for missing assets. Also, the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts completed a desk audit. It was a -- we didn't know it was coming, but it came.

MR. BACARISSE: That is the way that works.

MR. GRAHAM: And it was sort of like, hello. We are here. And that desk audit was of the commercial charge card rebate program, and it involved audits on 97 state agencies, including us, of course.

The audit's objective was to determine compliance with the Texas Government Code for agencies to take advantage of early payment discounts and rebates, as required by statute in fiscal year 2023. The Department spent approximately \$282,000 with Citibank credit cards. The Department paid 89 percent of its expenses in less than 30 days, and earning 93 percent of the rebate amount.

However, the Department incurred \$104.44 in late interest payments, which in the big picture, that is like one little booboo.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Yes.
2	MR. GRAHAM: So, not bad. And a CPA
3	recommended that agencies change payment processes to take
4	advantage of rebates, and by receiving card account
5	statements online, work with Citibank to develop automated
6	reconciliation and make partial payments based on
7	supporting documentation as costs arise.
8	And I think the last thing I will add on this
9	audit was, as I recall, out of the 99 state agencies on a
10	percentage basis, we're fourth, fifth.
11	VOICE: We were like number six. There were
12	five better than us.
13	MR. GRAHAM: They said there was five better
14	than us. We are still trying to flesh that out. But they
15	say we are number five out of 99, so
16	MR. BACARISSE: That's pretty good.
17	MR. GRAHAM: Yes.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Gonzalez. Good morning.
19	MR. GONZALEZ: Good morning. Thank you for
20	having me. For the record, this is Jason Gonzalez,
21	Internal Audit Director.
22	You are correct. We are number five out of 99.
23	MR. BACARISSE: That is pretty good.
24	MR. GONZALEZ: Not too bad. Yes.
25	MR. GRAHAM: If we weren't really busy, we

1	would go fight them and try to prove we are really better
2	than that. But top five
3	MR. BACARISSE: We'll take it.
4	MR. GRAHAM: The first time in my life I have
5	been you know, top fives.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Yes. That is right.
7	(General laughter.)
8	MR. GRAHAM: We will take it; it's good.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Yes.
10	MR. GRAHAM: Okay. All right. Mr. Chairman, I
11	have one more. That is not an action item.
12	MR. BACARISSE: No. We are not done.
13	MR. GRAHAM: But I do have one last thing. And
14	it is very, very important.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Item (e).
16	MR. GRAHAM: And it is an action item.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir.
18	MR. GRAHAM: And it involves the Internal Audit
19	Plan.
20	The interim Internal Audit Director, Jason
21	Gonzalez, briefed the Committee on the fiscal year 2025
22	Internal Audit Plan, which of course, is required. The
23	Internal Audit team conducted their annual risk assessment
24	by interviewing the Executive Director's office, all
25	division directors, and selected deputy division directors

and senior managers. These interviews resulted in the fiscal year '25 Internal Audit Plan.

The Internal Audit Plan consists of five required audit activities that include the Internal Audit annual report, the quality assurance and improvement program, the audit recommendation implementation status reporting, the annual risk assessment and audit plan development, and an external peer review. Additionally, the plan includes five internal audits that include reviews of fleet management, information security, public information requests, network infrastructure and monitoring, and special plates. Finally, the audit plan includes three advisory projects that include motor carrier licensing, Consumer Relations Division quality assurance, and contract monitoring.

The Internal Audit Division is requesting that the Board approve the proposed fiscal year 2025 Internal Audit Plan.

And I will conclude by saying that obviously that became a bigger, more difficult task, what is ahead of us. But Jason Gonzalez, who has been -- has worked in the capacity as interim before. And we have total confidence in him.

And he is going to have his hands full, but we will make it work. So, this is an action item, Mr.

1	Chairman.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir.
3	MR. GRAHAM: Thank you.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. I want to echo
5	Chairman Graham's comments about Mr. Gonzalez.
6	Thank you again for stepping in, and taking
7	this responsibility for us. And you are a great team
8	player. We appreciate it.
9	So, we do have an Agenda Item (e), which is an
10	action item.
11	Ms. Healy, are there any public commenters on
12	this agenda item?
13	MS. MORIATY: I can tell you that there is no
14	public comments. I am not sure whether she can.
15	MR. BACARISSE: That is all right. I want to
16	keep her in the game. I am just going to go let her sit
17	there, you know, poor lady. Okay. I might do that.
18	MS. HEALY: No, there are not.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Thank you very
20	much.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. BACARISSE: Well, yes. I am going to do
23	that every now and then. Okay. Keep you on your toes.
24	So, thank you.
25	The Chair would entertain a motion on Agenda

1	Item (e).
2	MR. SCHLOSSER: I have a motion.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
4	MR. SCHLOSSER: Short and sweet. I move that
5	the Board approve the fiscal year 2025 Internal Audit Plan
6	as recommended by staff.
7	MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second?
8	MR. PREWITT: Second.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt. Thank you.
10	Any further discussion on this agenda item?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. The Chair will
13	call the vote, please.
14	Member Gillman.
15	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
17	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
19	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
21	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
25	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.

MR. BACARISSE: And I, Member Bacarisse vote 1 2 aye as well. It is unanimous. Thank you. 3 MR. GRAHAM: That concludes my report. 4 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Chairman Graham. 5 Great work. And there is a lot coming your way, 6 absolutely. 7 We move now to Agenda Item 14, Legislative and 8 Public Affairs. We will hear from Mr. Yawn. And this is 9 also an action item, Members. 10 So, good morning, Keith. It is still morning. It is, yes. 11 12 MR. YAWN: Good morning. I am Keith Yawn, Director of Government and Strategic Communications. 13 14 Agenda Item 14 before you is an action item. It would 15 start seeking approval of proposed legislative 16 recommendations to the 89th Texas Legislature. 17 As you recall, I was before you in June during the Legislative and Public Affairs Committee meeting and 18 19 the full Board meeting, presenting staff proposed 20 legislative revision recommendations. They are in your 21 packet. 22 As a reminder, these recommendations relate to 23 amendments to Title Act requirements, amendments to 24 registration and license plate requirements, and

amendments to oversize and overweight permitting

requirements. There are a total of twelve general recommendations. Half of these have previously been approved by the Board in previous legislative cycles, and half are new to this legislative cycle for the 89th session. The staff continued discussing these recommendations and vetting them through our stakeholder groups, industry representatives, and members of the

recommendations and vetting them through our stakeholder groups, industry representatives, and members of the public since our last meeting in June. And to date, there have been no material revisions identified as necessary to the recommendations proposed in June. There was a minor grammatical correction identified that has been made to Item 10(c).

So, with that, I would be happy to answer any remaining questions related to these, or seek approval of these recommendations for communication to legislative offices for their consideration as legislation.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Yawn.

Members, any questions of Mr. Yawn on our legislative agenda coming up here?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: No. I think we are all in agreement. Thank you. That is wonderful.

Are there any public comments, Ashley?

MS. HEALY: No, sir, there are not.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. In that case, the
2	Chair would entertain a motion on Agenda Item 14, of
3	Legislative and Public Affairs.
4	MS. OMUMU: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make
5	a motion, please.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
7	MS. OMUMU: I move that the Board approve the
8	89th Regular Session legislative recommendations as
9	presented by staff.
10	MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second to that
11	motion?
12	MR. SCHLOSSER: I will second it.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser. Is there any
14	further discussion on this agenda item, Members?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. I will call the
17	roll, please.
18	Member Gillman.
19	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
21	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
23	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
25	MS. OMUMU: Aye.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
2	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
4	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
5	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Member Bacarisse vote
6	aye as well. It is unanimous.
7	Thank you, Keith. Go get them. Yes.
8	MR. YAWN: Good luck.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Yes. That is right. Yes.
10	We now move to Agenda Item 15, which is next
11	is Permitting and Routing Optimization System, TxPROS, all
12	that kind of good stuff.
13	So, William is you are not William. But
14	good morning.
15	MR. PAYNE: Not William. Good morning,
16	Chairman, Board members, Executive Director Avitia. For
17	the record, I am Brad Payne, Director of Purchasing for
18	the Department.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Right. Welcome.
20	MR. PAYNE: I will be discussing Agenda Item
21	15, which is on page 437 of your Board book, regarding the
22	pending procurement for the Texas Permitting and Routing
23	Optimization System or TxPROS. This is a briefing item
24	only.
25	The Government Code 2261.255 requires that the

Procurement Director or contract management office of the Department submit information to the Board on the solicitation process for any contract that has a value exceeding \$5 million. This agenda item will provide the Board with information about the pending procurement for the TxPROS system, which has an estimated value that could exceed \$5 million.

TxPROS is the online portal for permitting of size and weight loads used by both motor carriers and in-house by permit specialists in the Motor Carrier Division. This system issues more than 700,000 permits annually.

The Department's existing contract to maintain the TxPROS system was established in September of 2016, and is coming up for expiration soon. The historical compensation for this contract is around \$600,000 annually. So, with that in mind, the Department estimates that the lifetime value of the new eight-year contract could exceed \$5 million.

So, with that I am before the Board today, verifying that I have reviewed the pending solicitation documents, and verifying that solicitation and purchasing method and contractor selection process comply with state law and Agency policy, as required by Government Code. With that, I am available for any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Payne. 1 2 Members, are there questions on this very 3 important piece of software or program that will drive our 4 optimization, routing optimization? Can't say it. 5 (No response.) 6 MR. BACARISSE: I don't see any questions. 7 questions. All right. 8 Mr. Payne, thank you. 9 MR. PAYNE: Thank you all. 10 MR. BACARISSE: Appreciate it. 11 We'll now move to Agenda Item 16. And I really will turn it over to William Diggs at this point. So, I 12 13 just got ahead of myself. 14 Good morning. 15 MR. DIGGS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 16 Members, and Executive Director Avitia. It is a pleasure 17 to be here with you today to provide you with a brief update on MVCPA activities. 18 19 For the record, I am William Diggs. I am the Director of the MVCPA. I was introduced as the new 20 Director of the MVCPA to the MVCPA Board on December 7th, 21 22 in Houston, which became effective on December 15th. 23 I want to thank Executive Director Avitia and 24 Deputy Executive Director Luna, right over there -- he is

behind that pillar -- for their continued support.

For your awareness, the MVCPA is governed by a seven member board, appointed by the Governor. The Chairman of the MVCPA Board is Chief Mike Rodriguez, the Chief of Police of Laredo Police Department. The MVCPA program is made up of 15 FTEs: myself, the Director, the Deputy Director, three law enforcement trainers, three Grant Specialists, an Audit manager, three auditors, one Insurance Collections Specialist, and one administrative support staff, and one management analyst.

And I am happy to report, my Division is full. We have no vacancies. So, thank you.

So now, I want to give you a brief update on SB 224 catalytic converter strategy. The 88th Texas Legislature, with the passage of SB 224, provided a total of \$24.6 million in FY '24 and \$30.3 million in FY '25. The funding is being used to support efforts in deterring catalytic converter theft throughout Texas.

To date, the funding has been distributed as follows. For FY '24, there were a total of 34 grantees, law enforcement agencies, totaling \$15.5 million. The state partners agencies, which are: the Texas Department of Public Safety distributed \$2.3 million; the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, we distributed just under half a million dollars; and the TxDMV, \$1.3 million.

In FY '25, to date, our Board approved on July 19th in Houston 24 grantees, law enforcement agencies, totaling \$7.2 million. State partner agencies -- the Texas DPS is \$1.7 million for FY '25. And TDLR and TxDMV

are to be determined the level of funding for FY '25.

The MVCPA was charged with the development and implementation of SB 224 plan of operation to coordinate activities with the Texas Department of Public Safety, the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulations, and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. The SB 224 Plan of Operation became effective in January of 2024.

The Plan of Operation describes a strategy to ensure regulated persons are not inserting stolen catalytic converters into the legitimate stream of commerce and provide risk-based targeting and random auditing of the records of regulated entities. SB 224 created enhanced criminal penalties related to criminal conduct involving catalytic converters, and administrative penalties relating to regulatory provisions for the metal recycling entity.

An advisory committee was formed by the MVCPA Board which meets quarterly. The advisory committee is made up of industry stakeholders, law enforcement officers, and state partner agencies. The Committee is chaired by an MVCPA Board Member. She is the Texas DPS

Major Sharon Jones.

The conversations are fluid and fruitful, with all participants providing invaluable information. As to date, we have had a total of three of these advisory committee meetings throughout Texas: one of them in El Paso, one in Houston, and one in San Marcos.

Bimonthly virtual meetings have been conducted with the senior staff from the state partner agencies, and the Texas DPS, the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles, and the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulations. These meetings focus on development of risk-based factors, intelligence sharing, and ensuring there are no unmet needs in support of partner agency SB224 activities.

Interagency contracts have been executed with both of the Texas DPS, and TDLR.

Now, I would like to transition to our Motor

Vehicle Crime Prevention strategy, which is our MVCPA task

force funding. The MVCPA provides financial support to

law enforcement agencies to combat motor vehicle theft and

burglary through the creation of single and multi

jurisdictional task forces. Funding is provided for

investigators, analysts, equipment, vehicles, technology,

prosecutor support, and educational activities, to include

operational expenses.

The 88th Texas Legislature provided \$24.2

million in FY '24 and \$24.9 million in FY '25 for the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention strategy.

The FY '24 highlights -- there were 25 grantees, law enforcement agencies, that were awarded \$22.2 million. And for FY '25, through our July 19th meeting in Houston, the MVCPA Board awarded 25 grantees, \$23.9 million. And we have pending for approval five grantees that will be re-addressed in our October Board meeting, and that total is \$2.2 million.

And then, I would like to just give you a brief update from our conference that was held in Houston on July 15th through the 19th. The Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority hosted its annual conference in Houston with over 280 -- was that my timer? Am I up?

MR. BACARISSE: No. That was just us. (General laughter.)

MR. DIGGS: So, anyway -- so in Houston we had over 280 law enforcement officers and grant management staff in attendance. The event was filled with numerous sessions and discussions regarding grant administration, auto theft related courses, community outreach, social media, law enforcement officer health, financial planning, effects of catalytic converter theft, victims assistance grants, and cargo theft.

And I do want to say thank you to Member

Schlosser for being an instructor during our conference.

And again, thank you so much for all your efforts.

The staff of the Vehicle Title and Registration Division, in the law enforcement assistance team, and the Enforcement Division participated in sessions covering SB 224, state partner agency responsibilities, and the use of our lead team here that works in Enforcement.

The MVCPA Board and I presented the following awards during our banquet on Thursday night. The Partnership Award went to Gina Doolittle. She is an analyst that works in the Galveston County Auto Crimes Task Force.

The Investigator of the Year Award went to Special Agent James McNalley. He is with the National Insurance Crime Bureau. He is assigned to the Tarrant County task force, an amazing investigator.

The Commander of the Year Award went to
Lieutenant Tolan Harding of the Houston Police Department.

He is in the Auto Crimes Task Force, an extremely dynamic law enforcement officer, phenomenal.

And then, the Chairman's Award went to Sergeant Brian Rodan, with the Dallas PD Auto Theft Task Force.

This year's Task Force of the Year went to the El Paso PD Auto Theft Task Force. They do an absolutely phenomenal job up there on the border, in El Paso.

And so, with that, I am going to close. Again, I would like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide you with an update. I look forward to a successful year working collaboratively together with Daniel and Roland to make a difference in the lives of all Texas citizens.

And with that, I will take any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Great, Mr. Diggs. Let me just take a moment of personal privilege to say thank you for your leadership of the MVCPA over this period of time. I think that everybody is cheering your work and your approach, and it is getting stronger. So, we appreciate it.

Members, any other comments or questions of Mr. Diggs?

Member Schlosser.

MR. SCHLOSSER: Mr. Diggs, I just want to take this opportunity to say you are doing a phenomenal job. You are an incredible leader of the organization, in working with the Houston Police Auto Theft Division as I do, seeing it first hand. You are doing a fabulous job, and your team is amazing.

The conference was one of the best I have ever attended. I had excellent instructional time. And I was very fortunate to be able to present there.

I am always loving the opportunity to explain the vehicle fraud world. And so, any chance I get, I try to. And the conference was a huge success.

All of those people that received awards from that were extremely deserving. I know all of them personally, everybody from Gina Doolittle down in Galveston to James McNally. And our own personal Lieutenant Harding, who is the Commander of our task force at Houston. Of course, El Paso just does phenomenal.

So, once again, they are just an amazing group of people; you all chose very well for the awards. I agree wholeheartedly with all of your selections, and how that worked out. So, I look forward to continue partnership with you all, and seeing what all we can do to fight crime.

MR. DIGGS: Well, thank you both for your kind words. I really appreciate it. I also wanted to say I have 14 amazing staff members now. They participated and helped getting this conference together along with Major Sharon Jones.

And I would be remiss if I didn't mention David Richards over here, and all the support he has provided me. And I am so thankful for him, and his counsel. Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: But wait. There is more.

1	Executive Director Avitia.
2	MR. AVITIA: Just one more. Chairman, thank
3	you.
4	William, I echo the Chairman and Member
5	Schlosser's comments. I am excited for the future of
6	MVCPA under your leadership. Great job, sir.
7	MR. DIGGS: Thank you.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Appreciate your
9	time. All right.
10	We're coming to Agenda Item 17, which is our
11	closed session. We are going to take that up.
12	First of all, are there any other public
13	commenters? Ashley?
14	MS. HEALY: No, sir, there are not.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you. Since there
16	are none, let me note that the time is now 11:08 a.m. And
17	on August 8, 2024, we will go into closed session under
18	Texas Government Code, Section 551.071, 551.074, 551.076,
19	and 551.089.
20	For those of you in the audience, I anticipate
21	being in this closed session for approximately one hour.
22	And we will reconvene in open session after that. With
23	that, we are now recessed from the public meeting. We are
24	going to closed session.
I	

(Whereupon, the Board recessed into Executive

1	Session at 11:08 a.m.)
2	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. It is now 12:32 p.m.
3	and the Board of the Texas DMV is now back in open
4	session.
5	We will now take up Agenda Item 18, and that is
6	the performance evaluation of the Executive Director,
7	including any modifications to compensation.
8	Are there any public comments on this, Ashley?
9	MS. HEALY: No, sir, there are not.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you. All right.
11	There are no public comments.
12	I would entertain a motion regarding Agenda
13	Item 18.
14	MR. GRAHAM: I have a motion, Mr. Chairman.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
16	MR. GRAHAM: I move the Board approve the
17	evaluation of the Executive Director, Mr. Daniel Avitia
18	from our closed session, increase Mr. Avitia's salary to
19	\$230,000, effective September 1, 2024, and authorize
20	Department staff to take the necessary actions to
21	implement the actions authorized by this motion.
22	MR. BACARISSE: And do I have a second to that
23	motion?
24	MS. MCRAE: Second.
25	MS. GILLMAN: Second.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae, Member Gillman.
2	I'll give everybody credit. All right. So, now we have a
3	motion and a second on the floor.
4	Is there any further discussion on this motion?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none. Hearing none. I
7	would now call the roll, please.
8	Member Gillman.
9	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
11	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
13	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
15	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
17	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
19	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Member Bacarisse vote
21	aye. It is unanimous.
22	Congratulations, Daniel.
23	So, now moving to Agenda Item 20. Unless there
24	is further business, I would like to entertain a motion to
25	adjourn.

1	MR. GRAHAM: So moved.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Graham.
3	MS. MCRAE: Second.
4	MS. MCRAE: McRae.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Any discussion on this motion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. BACARISSE: None. Let's vote. Member
8	Gillman.
9	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
11	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
13	MS. MCRAE: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
15	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt.
17	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser.
19	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Bacarisse vote aye, too.
21	It is unanimous. We are adjourned.
22	(Whereupon, the meeting was concluded at 12:31
23	p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: TxDMV Board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

5 DATE: August 8, 2024

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 114, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: August 20, 2024

/s/ Carol Bourgeois (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting & Transcription, Inc. 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 Austin, Texas 78752