#### TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

# CUSTOMER SERVICE AND PROTECTION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Texas Department of Motor Vehicles
Lone Star Conference Room
4000 Jackson Ave., Building 1
Austin, Texas 78731

and

via Webex

Friday
September 20, 2024
9:00 a.m.

#### COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

KRISTEN HOYT, Presiding Officer CARROLL SMITH, 1st Vice Chair CHERYL JOHNSON, 2nd Vice Chair JOHN AMES (absent) DOROTHY BROOKS (absent) TINA CARTER (absent) LAIRD DORAN PHIL ELAM (absent) CHRISTOPHER GASTON RUBEN GONZALEZ MARK "JIM" HARDICK RUSSELL HAYTER CHARLES HICKS LORI KING TRACI McCULLAH (absent) MATTHEW NANCE RAYMOND "RAY" OLAH JACKIE POLK (absent) JEANETTE RASH (absent) BRUCE STIDHAM SUSAN SUTTON FORD WAGNER (absent) CHRISTOPHER WALL

#### Staff:

LAURA MORIATY, General Counsel

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

### I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM			PAGE
1.	CALL A.	TO ORDER Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	DISCIA.	USSION, BRIEFING, AND ACTION ITEMS Consider Draft Rule Amendments to 43 TAC Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution; §215.245, Availability of Motor Vehicles (BRIEFING AND DISCUSSION ONLY)	8
	В.	Recommendations of Advisory Committee for Presentation to the Board on Amendments to 43 TAC Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution; §215.245, Availability of Motor Vehicles (DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEM	) 42
3.	PUBL	IC COMMENT	53
4.	ADJOURNMENT		57

#### PROCEEDINGS

(9:01 a.m.)

MS. HOYT: Good morning. My name is Kristen

Hoyt, and I am pleased to open this meeting of the

Customer Service and Protection Advisory Committee. For

ease of reference, I will refer to this Advisory Committee

as CSPAC, which is the acronym for this committee.

It is 9:02 a.m. and I am now calling the CSPAC meeting for September 20, 2024, to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on September 12, 2024.

This meeting is being held by telephone conference call in accordance with Texas Government Code Chapter 551.125. Members of the public may attend this meeting in person at 4000 Jackson Avenue, Building One, Lone Star Conference Room, Austin, Texas, 78731.

Also, members of the public may attend this meeting by clicking on the Webex link in the posted agenda for this meeting and following the instructions to attend the meeting via Webex. In addition, members of the public may attend this meeting remotely by calling the toll free telephone number, which is listed in the posted agenda for this meeting along with the access code.

At this time, I am asking all attendees, please

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 mute your phone for the entire duration of this meeting, unless I recognize you to speak. I am asking our meeting host to make sure all participants phones are muted, except for Advisory Committee members and those who are presenting. Callers will be removed for any disruption, including background noise.

I would like to remind all participants that this is a conference call meeting. Because this meeting is being held by conference call, there are a few things that will help to make the meeting run smoothly, and assist the court reporter to get an accurate record.

Please identify yourself before speaking.

Speak clearly and slowly. Remember that there may be a slight delay, due to the conference call format, so please wait a little longer than usual before responding to participants.

Do not speak over others. Please ask the Presiding Officer to speak, and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

And for members participating in the room, please remember to press the button on your microphone to unmute before speaking. Then press the same button to mute again, after speaking.

I would also like to thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting.

Committee, but wish to address the Advisory Committee or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker sheet at the registration table prior to the agenda item being taken up by the Advisory Committee, or send an email to GCO\_general@txdmv.gov to register by providing the required information prior to the agenda item and being taken up by the Advisory Committee. Please identify the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself.

If your comment does not pertain to the specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting. When addressing the Advisory Committee, please state your name and your affiliation for the record.

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our Advisory Committee meetings. I have the authority to supervise the conduct of this Advisory Committee meeting, and this includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive. Disruptive speakers will be muted, given a warning about disruptive behavior, then removed from the meeting for any continued

1	disruption.				
2	Advisory Committee members, if you become				
3	disconnected from the call, please rejoin as soon as				
4	possible. If you encounter technical difficulties, please				
5	reach out to our technical support team at				
6	board.tech.help@txdmv.gov.				
7	Agenda Item Number 1, roll call and				
8	establishment of quorum. I would like to call the roll				
9	for the Advisory Committee members. Please respond				
10	verbally, and when I call your name, please indicate if				
11	you are present.				
12	Member Ames, are you present?				
13	(No response.)				
14	MS. HOYT: Member Brooks.				
15	(No response.)				
16	MS. HOYT: Member Carter?				
17	(No response.)				
18	MS. HOYT: Member Doran.				
19	MR. DORAN: Present.				
20	MS. HOYT: Thank you. Member Elam.				
21	(No response.)				
22	MS. HOYT: Member Gaston.				
23	MR. GASTON: Member Gaston is present.				
24	MS. HOYT: Thank you. Member Gonzalez.				
25	MR. GONZALEZ: Present.				

1	MS.	HOYT:	Thank you. Member Hardick.
2	MR.	HARDICI	K: Present.
3	MS.	HOYT:	Thank you. Member Hayter.
4	MR.	HAYTER	: Member Hayter is here.
5	MS.	HOYT:	Yes, sir. Thank you.
6	Mem	ber Hic	ks.
7	MR.	HICKS:	Here.
8	MS.	HOYT:	Okay. Member Hoyt, I am here,
9	present.		
10	Men	ber Johi	nson.
11	MS.	JOHNSO	N: I'm present.
12	MS.	HOYT:	Thank you. Member King.
13	MS.	KING:	Present. Member King, present.
14	MS.	HOYT:	Thank you. Member McCullah.
15	(No	respons	se.)
16	MS.	HOYT:	Member Nance.
17	MR.	NANCE:	Member Nance, present.
18	MS.	HOYT:	Thank you. Member Olah.
19	MR.	OLAH:	Member Olah, present.
20	MS.	HOYT:	Thank you. Member Polk.
21	(No	respons	se.)
22	MS.	HOYT:	Member Rash.
23	(No	respons	se.)
24	MS.	HOYT:	Member Smith.
25	MR.	SMITH:	Member Smith, present.

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. HOYT: Thank you. Member Stidham.			
2	MR. STIDHAM: Member Stidham, present.			
3	MS. HOYT: Member Sutton.			
4	(No response.)			
5	MS. HOYT: Member Wagner.			
6	(No response.)			
7	MS. HOYT: Member Wall.			
8	MR. WALL: Present.			
9	MS. HOYT: Okay. And again, I am Kristen Hoyt.			
10	I am present also. So, we do have a quorum.			
11	The purpose of this Committee is to assist the			
12	Department in obtaining feedback regarding important			
13	legislation, policymaking, and rulemaking. The CSPAC			
14	advises the Department concerning issues related to			
15	improving and enhancing customer service, and consumer			
16	protection by the Department. Thank you all for being			
17	present today.			
18	The next agenda item of 2(a) will be consider			
19	rule amendments to 43 Texas Administrative Code, TAC,			
20	Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution. We will move			
21	turn the meeting over to Corrie Thompson, Director of the			
22	Enforcement Division for an overview of the draft rule			
23	amendments to 43 TAC 215.245.			
24	Members, just as a reminder, if you would like			
25	to comment or ask questions, please unmute yourself and			

ask the floor -- I am sorry. That is after her presentation.

Sorry, Ms. Thompson.

MS. THOMPSON: Good morning. Thank you,

Presiding Officer Hoyt. Corrie Thompson, Director of the

Enforcement Division for the Texas Department of Motor

Vehicles.

And as Presiding Officer mentioned, we are here today to consider draft amendments to one of our advertising rules, specifically, 215.245, and subpart C specifically, here today. And that is regarding availability of motor vehicles that are advertised for sale by our licensed dealer community.

So, the current language and rule reads that if an advertised price pertains only to one specific motor vehicle, then an advertisement must disclose the motor vehicle's stock number or the VIN. And so, the advertising rules we have listed have consumer protection elements for them. So, this rule in particular would be to prevent a bait and switch tactic, to allow a consumer to do some additional research on the vehicle that is being advertised for sale to determine if there is anything that would turn them away from that vehicle, and look for another. But to gather additional details about that vehicle.

And this rule is up for discussion today because we received information at the Department indicating that VINs were actually being pulled from dealer advertisements and then being used on stolen or damaged vehicles. And then, those vehicles being resold with that erroneous information. So, this is often called VIN flipping or VIN cloning, where those criminals are finding the VINs from that advertisement, and then using it on those stolen or damaged vehicles inappropriately.

So, the rule currently again, specifies that when you have one vehicle that you are offering for sale at that specific price, you have to include that additional identifier. Currently, the rule language reads the stock number for that vehicle or the VIN. And so, up for discussion today by the members is, do we make any changes to that language concerning the inclusion of the VIN?

The Department has put together draft rule language that reads as follows: if an advertised price pertains only to one specific motor vehicle, then the advertisement must also disclose the vehicle's stock number, which must be unique to the specific motor vehicle advertised or the last four digits of the VIN.

And so, that draft language proposed by the Department is what we would like to open up to the

membership for discussion. 1 2 MS. HOYT: Thank you, Ms. Thompson. Members, as a reminder, if you want to comment 3 4 or ask questions, please unmute yourself and ask for the 5 floor, then wait until you are recognized before speaking. 6 Are there any questions for Ms. Thompson? 7 MR. HARDICK: Member Hoyt? 8 MS. HOYT: Yes. Who is speaking? 9 MR. HARDICK: This is Member Hardick. 10 MS. HOYT: Hi, Member Hardick. Yes, sir. MR. HARDICK: So, the way it states right now 11 12 is just the stock number or the last four digits of the 13 VIN number in order to identify that vehicle in the ad. 14 Correct? 15 MS. THOMPSON: The current rule language is 16 stock number or VIN, which would indicate the full VIN for 17 the vehicle. The proposed draft language changes that by adding some specific identifying language that is 18 19 connected to the stock number, and then also restricting 20 the VIN to the last four digits rather than the full number. 21 22 MR. HARDICK: Okay. I would be for not using 23 the VIN number at all. Yes, as long as that is left out. 24

Because that does happen, and any information

25

that the consumer might need, additional information on 1 2 that vehicle, once they contact the dealer, I think most 3 dealers are open to showing them whatever they wanted to 4 see. So, that would be the way I would vote. 5 MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, Director of the 6 Enforcement Division again. I just wanted to make a 7 clarifying point on that recommendation. 8 So, the draft rule language proposed currently 9 by the Department changes that from the full VIN to the 10 last four digits of the VIN. But I believe that I heard a separate recommendation to just remove the VIN in its 11 12 entirety. 13 MR. HARDICK: Yes. 14 MS. HOYT: Member Hardick, we will take 15 recommendations in the next agenda item, so hang on to 16 that thought. 17 Any other questions for Ms. Thompson about her comments? 18 19 MR. DORAN: Presiding Officer Hoyt, this is 20 Member Doran. May I be recognized? MS. HOYT: Yes, please, Member Doran. 21 22 MR. DORAN: Thank you. 23 So Corrie, you talked about the Agency being 24 provided with information. Can you go into a little bit 25

greater detail about what that information entailed?

there statistics or data that attribute certain auto theft to this type of crime or practice?

MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, Director of the Enforcement Division. No, the Department did not receive any specifics.

And we had one individual reach out to us, indicating that dealers had presented this as a problem with advertisements that a membership with an association were a part of -- and brought that to the association's attention. And so, it was brought to the Department.

And so now, the Department is here considering whether or not a change is warranted based on that information. We have looked at it internally, and looked at the makeup of the VIN. And there are various things that could happen here that people can discuss as recommendations.

But we can do various things. The Department is proposing leaving in the last four numbers. We could remove it, in its entirety, or we could leave it as is and have the full VIN there. Again, it can go both ways, right.

So, there are consumer protection elements attached to having the VIN there. It lets the consumer do a title check beforehand without having to reach out to the dealer separately.

But we heard from a separate member here, just now, that dealers are likely very willing to provide that information outside of the advertisement as well. And so it is good to have that information for the Committee to consider here.

MR. DORAN: May I ask a follow-up question?

MS. HOYT: Yes.

MR. DORAN: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for that response.

So, the way I view this, this is a significant change because we really don't necessarily understand what all the consequences might be to the consumer or to other stakeholders for making this change. And those could be inconvenience to the consumer, right. It could have some measurable impact in a positive way, for law enforcement. But we haven't yet in the course of this conversation quantified that.

And there are some -- you know, there could be other factors at play, right. Whether it is the financial cost that a dealer would have to incur to make the switch, maybe we will hear from some of our dealer members today on that.

My follow-up question is, are there any other states that have tried to address this problem at all?

And if so, have they pursued this type of proposal?

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you for the question.

Corrie Thompson, Director of the Enforcement Division.

The Department does not currently have any statistics from other states, or information regarding how they have handled this matter.

MR. DORAN: May I ask one more follow-up question? And then I will -- Member Doran again.

Does the Agency have a position if this issue were to be, let's say, the subject of a study that the Legislature asked the Agency to do, to get that information. And when I say, a study, I am not saying it would be the sole and exclusive responsibility of the Agency to do this study, but would perhaps involve law enforcement agencies, DPS, local law enforcement, et cetera, to maybe paint a more comprehensive picture as to the return on investment, so to speak, that we might get from this kind of proposal.

MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, Director of the Enforcement Division.

So, for clarification, is the question: would the Agency be able to gather that information if asked to do so from the Legislature? Yes, the Agency does have the ability to go out and gather information from other states. And of course, if tasked with doing so, the Department would be able to gather that information.

1	MR. DORAN: Thank you.				
2	MR. HICKS: Chairman Hoyt, Member Hicks. May I				
3	be recognized?				
4	MS. HOYT: I am sorry. Say that one more time?				
5	MR. HICKS: Member Hicks. May I be recognized?				
6	MS. HOYT: Yes, sir, Member Hicks.				
7	MR. HICKS: Thank you.				
8	Ms. Thompson, has there been any research into				
9	the manufacturer requirements? When we run				
10	advertisements I am a franchise dealer. So, we have				
11	four different franchises.				
12	Oftentimes, they require the full 17-digit VIN				
13	for an ad to be considered compliant for co-op purposes				
14	and also, just as a franchise dealer, for that ad to meet				
15	state law. But most importantly, a manufacturer would				
16	require that we have the full VIN. Has there been any				
17	research into whether or not the manufacturers would				
18	support the move to four digits for the VIN?				
19	Thank you.				
20	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. Corrie Thompson,				
21	Director of Enforcement.				
22	The Department does not currently have any				
23	information on manufacturer requirements concerning those				
24	specifications that they put on their franchise dealers to				

place in their advertisements. We do not have that

25

information at this time.

MR. HICKS: Thank you. Again, Member Hicks.

As a follow-up, are there any manufacturer reps on the Committee who might know that?

MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran. I will just chime in. I can't speak for other manufacturers.

And I would actually have to do some research internally to understand what Toyota and Gulf States

Toyota specific requirements are of the dealers, as it relates to the advertising that you are describing. I think that is an excellent point to bring up, and one that we should thoughtfully consider.

MR. HICKS: Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Chairman Hoyt, Member Smith.

MS. HOYT: Yes, Member Smith.

MR. SMITH: I certainly understand what this is intended to get at, and would not oppose that. But one of the things that I think we need to consider and look into -- many shoppers, if it is a new car, these shoppers not only want to see the information that we as dealers post, but they also want to see the Monroney sticker.

The Monroney sticker has a lot of information that we simply cannot get in our ads, from fuel economy to annual fuel costs. And those Monroney stickers, of course, identify the vehicle in full VIN. Used car buyers

typically most always want to see a CARFAX on a used vehicle that they are shopping. And they want to do that at the time they are shopping, not after they have identified vehicles.

And of course, the reason I am getting at this is because when we as dealers show links to either a Monroney sticker or a CARFAX, or other entity that does vehicle information, and you go to those sites, those sites are going to have the full vehicle ID. And I think we have got to consider very strongly that what we are doing from a consumer standpoint, who wants this information, and the DMV and the dealers' inability to prevent that information from being accessible, being hyperlinked to either of those sites.

(Pause.)

MS. HOYT: Thank you.

Any other comments, Members?

MR. NANCE: This is Member Nance. May I be recognized?

MS. HOYT: Yes, Member Nance.

MR. NANCE: Director Thompson, you had mentioned title checks, and I just had a follow-up question about title checks. If I understood you right, you had said that a consumer using this information in the proposal, either a unique identifying stock number or the

last four digits of the VIN -- I had understood that you 1 had said that a consumer could use that information to do a title check. 3 4 And just because I am not familiar with this, 5 could you elaborate on where a consumer would go to do 6 that and how they would use that information to complete 7 the title check? 8 MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, Director of 9 Enforcement. I don't believe we have anybody with us from 10 VTR, but I do believe that you need the full VIN in order to conduct the title check, and we do have links on the 11 12 Department website at txdmv.gov.

I think that the moniker is Don't buy a wreck, do a title check. It has links to various entities that consumers can pay a nominal fee in order to conduct that check prior to deciding to enter into the purchase of a vehicle.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NANCE: Okay. So, this is Member Nance. So just -- if I am understanding you right, a specific dealer stock number or the last four digits of the VIN would not be sufficient to do a title check. Is that right?

MS. THOMPSON: That would be my understanding. Corrie Thompson, again.

MR. NANCE: Okay. And then, I have one more

question about the process. I appreciate some of the members' concerns about wanting to hear from stakeholders.

But am I correct that this would be a proposal with additional opportunities for public comment from stakeholders before this proceeds further? Could you elaborate just a little bit on where we are in the process, and the opportunities for stakeholder comment?

MS. MORIATY: This is Laura Moriaty, General Counsel of the DMV. Yes. So, we are at a very preliminary stage of this process.

If this were to go forward, we would have another opportunity for stakeholder response to the proposal of the rule. And you could even come to the Board meeting and comment in person before the rule was proposed. And there will be another opportunity to comment at the adoption of the rule.

So, if this goes forward into rulemaking, there will be more official opportunities for public comment.

This is an early effort by the Agency to basically take the temperature from this Committee and get input on what issues you were all spotting in this proposal, so that we can decide whether we even want to go down the official rulemaking path.

MR. NANCE: Okay. Yes. Thank you. That is helpful.

I, like other members, I am also interested in 1 2 how stakeholders are -- you know, their approach to this, and what other states are doing, and how this interacts 3 4 with some of the other requirements that other members had 5 mentioned. So, thank you. That is helpful. 6 MR. HAYTER: Member Hayter has a question. 7 MS. HOYT: Member Hayter. 8 (No response.) 9 MS. HOYT: Member Hayter. 10 MR. HAYTER: Sorry. Would the Department's proposal prohibit the dealers from displaying the entire 11 12 VIN, or this is just an option? MS. THOMPSON: I will let -- Corrie Thompson. 13 14 I will let General Counsel correct me if I am wrong, but I 15 do not see that the limitation on the four digits would 16 prohibit the dealer from then choosing to add the 17 additional identifiers for the VIN, outside of the four. As long as they were compliant with the rule, 18 19 they had either the stock number or the last four digits 20 of the VIN, if the rule were to be amended to read that 21 way. I don't see that that would prohibit them from 22 including the additional numbers. 23 MR. HAYTER: Thank you. 24 MR. GONZALEZ: This is Member Gonzalez. 25 Permission to speak.

MS. HOYT: Yes, Member Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Yes. My experience, by showing just the four digits of the VIN number on a brand new vehicle, there is not much that can be done as far as obtaining a title history, because there is no record for that new vehicle, as a title being issued.

I would favor including the four-digit VIN number for a brand new vehicle. Now, on a used vehicle, that would be a little bit more different. But I don't think there is no harm in showing the four-digit VIN number on the advertisement, and it does give the option to the dealer to show the stock number or the four-digit VIN number.

And if people wanted to do a title check, for example, on a used vehicle, they do need to get a complete serial number of the vehicle. Or if they want to use it for a stolen vehicle activity, then that is where there is more harm on a used vehicle in showing the complete VIN number.

On a brand new vehicle, just showing four digits, it is not going to harm anybody. There is no title record on it because it is a brand new vehicle. So, I think, I believe that showing the four-digit VIN number on a brand new vehicle would not create any harm to the consumer.

1	That is my commentary on that proposal. Thank				
2	you.				
3	MS. HOYT: Yes. Thank you, Member Gonzalez.				
4	Member King, you have your hand raised.				
5	MS. KING: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. I would				
6	like to say that I agree with Member Gonzalez.				
7	As a tax office, we are seeing a huge uptick in				
8	stolen vehicles and cloned VINs. And I believe				
9	offering making this a rule, I feel the benefits				
10	outweigh any potential impact to the consumer or the				
11	dealer.				
12	MS. HOYT: Thank you, Member King.				
13	MR. DORAN: Presiding Officer Hoyt, this is				
14	Member Doran. May I be recognized?				
15	MS. HOYT: Yes, sir.				
16	MR. DORAN: Thank you.				
17	Corrie, so a question about administrative rule				
18	history here. When you were laying out the background and				
19	doing the introduction of the rule proposal, you mentioned				
20	bait and switch. And you may have said transparency, but				
21	I think you were alluding to customer transparency in the				
22	advertising process. The language that we have here in				
23	(c), can you speak to kind of how that came to be?				
24	And I will just kind of cut to the punch. I am				
25	aware of a particular situation where we had a House				

Transportation Chairman who traveled, you know, a couple hours, I think, from his hometown to a major metropolitan area within Texas to go see a vehicle that he thought was in the dealer's inventory. And it had been identified some way, maybe not with enough specificity, I don't know. But was very angry when he arrived at the dealer only to learn that they did not have that vehicle in stock as advertised.

And I seem to recall that there were some changes that were made by the Agency around advertising, particularly around dealer inventory display, coming out of that particular situation. And so I am just curious if this existing language here was driven by concerns raised by the Chairman or other members, even of the public, who had concerns.

MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson. As to the history of the rules creation and adoption, I can't speak specifically as to whether that is why it currently exists as it does. But as to situations that you speak to, and the example that you provided, yes. That is the type of behavior and activity that the Department gets wind of through complaints regarding advertisements, that the rule does seek to prevent.

So, that could happen regarding a change in the price of the vehicle or a change in the availability of

the vehicle. So, the advertisement rules are there to 1 2 protect the consumers, to ensure that the vehicle that 3 they see in the ad is the vehicle that is there, present 4 at the dealership when they show up, and that it is the 5 same specification, it is the same price. The other rules 6 that we are not discussing today cover similar protections 7 that way as well, too, for the consumer. 8 Thank you. A quick follow-up MR. DORAN: 9 question. 10 So I guess, just to wrap that up with a bow, we are not sure whether the specific language regarding the 11 12 VIN number and stock number came out of a rule change that may have followed from those complaints that were made, 13 14 let's say, over a decade ago. 15 MS. THOMPSON: That is correct. I don't have that information. 16 17 MR. DORAN: Okay. Thank you. MS. HOYT: Are there any other questions or 18 thoughts? 19 MR. GONZALEZ: Gonzalez. Permission to speak. 20 MR. SMITH: Member Smith. Question. 21 22 MS. HOYT: Okay. Member Gonzalez first, 23 please. 24 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes. Permission to speak. 25 Corrie, does this rule, will it include the

2 the rules for the new car vehicles, pretty much. But would it also extend to the used cars? 3 4 MS. THOMPSON: Excellent question, Member 5 Gonzalez. So, the rule actually covers in different 6 points specific provisions for new vehicles and specific 7 provisions for used vehicles. Specifically, subpart (c) here, I would read to cover new vehicles and used 8 9 vehicles. 10 And so when you were mentioning earlier your recommendation that we can discuss in the next agenda 11 item, it seemed to me that you were discussing possible 12 13 different parameters for new vehicles than used vehicles. 14 MS. HOYT: Thank you for the clarification. 15 16 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes. Thank you very much. MS. HOYT: Member Smith. 17 MR. SMITH: Yes. Thank you. This is a 18 19 question for Corrie. I can understand -- first of all, I don't think 20 21 dealers would have an objection to this rule at all. 22 of course, we are limited an awful lot by what 23 manufacturers do, in their control of our website. But 24 that having been said, for Corrie. 25 If we have a hyperlink in our ad for CARFAX or

used car dealer industry also? I know it is identified in

1

for the Monroney sticker, and then when a prospective 1 2 buyer, consumer, were to go to those sites -- and CARFAX 3 is the example I am using. And CARFAX would have the entire serial number. Would a dealer under this rule be 4 5 in violation, if in fact, they could get the entire VIN 6 from a hyperlink? 7 MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson. I would say 8 no. 9 Of course it would be dependent on the facts of 10 the specific case before the investigator reviewing the advertisement. But I would say, as long as the ad was 11 compliant with the specifications in the advertising rule 12 13 as written, that there would be no issue for the dealer. 14 MR. SMITH: Okay. Do we come to a point here 15 today where we might recommend some sort of change in 16 verbiage, or additional verbiage? 17 That is up for discussion with MS. THOMPSON: the next agenda item, after everybody has had a chance to 18 19 speak their thoughts on the proposed language. 20 MR. SMITH: Perfect. Thank you. 21 MR. HICKS: This is Member Hicks. May I be 22 recognized? 23 MS. HOYT: Yes. Member Hicks. 24 MR. HICKS: Ms. Thompson, just for point of 25 clarification, if a consumer sees a compliant ad with a

stock number and the last four of the VIN, and they go to a dealer's website. It transfers to the dealer's website. Put in that stock number, and the full VIN is part of that display; the vehicle display page on that website. Would the dealer still be considered compliant, and not in violation?

MS. THOMPSON: Can you rephrase the question? I think I was following you at the beginning, and I lost you in the middle.

MR. HICKS: Yes. So, let's say we run an ad for a particular vehicle, online or in print. The customer gets the stock number, takes that stock number. Visits a particular dealer's website, puts in that stock number.

It is going to pull up the vehicle display page that connects with that stock number. That page on the dealer's website would have the full VIN.

So, the question would be, if the ad is compliant by having either the stock number or the last four digits to the VIN and that customer takes that information and goes to a dealer's website. Would dealer in any way be at risk, or in violation because our websites do have the full VIN number? Hopefully, that clarifies it.

MS. THOMPSON: So, I think I am understanding

the question correctly. Corrie Thompson here.

So, we are starting with the advertisement.

And the provision here speaks only to the advertisement that is offering the one vehicle at a particular price for sale in that advertisement. That advertisement has to contain currently, under the current rule language, either the stock number or the VIN.

Under the proposed language, it would either have to have the stock number or the last four digits of the VIN. And if a consumer filed a complaint, that is what the investigator would be looking at, whether or not it contained one of those two items alone. As long as those two items are present, the advertising rule is satisfied.

MR. HICKS: Okay. And to follow up on this, a dealer -- if my ad is compliant, because it has one of those two pieces, one of those two identifiers -- either the stock number, or the last four of the VIN. We have checked the box for a compliant ad.

If the consumer takes that information and visits our website, puts in that stock number, and is able to access the full VIN, is the dealer considered still compliant? I believe we are, under the language.

But I just want to make sure that -- and it does relate to Member Smith's comments about a hyperlink,

where they can just simply click a button in a digital ad and access a CARFAX report with the full VIN. We are looking to make sure. We want to be transparent and provide all the information we can to a consumer, whether that is the Monroney, CARFAX, or any other element that would help them make an informed decision.

We just want to make sure that we are not putting a dealer at risk for non-compliance, and potentially causing concern down the stream.

MS. THOMPSON: And I realize -- Corrie

Thompson -- where I am confused now. So, we started with
the advertisement, and then we moved over to going to the
dealer's website where the vehicle is there again, I would
say, advertised for sale.

So I would say that we are kind of talking about the same thing. So, if the consumer is obtaining information, I know some dealerships maintain separate websites. They have their own websites. They have their websites through the manufacturer.

So, I would say that in either of those locations, the vehicle that is being offered for sale -- again, if it is one vehicle being offered at a specific price, then both of those locations would need to have either the stock number or the VIN in both locations if it was the one vehicle being offered for sale at the price.

And then the dealer would be compliant. There would be no concerns.

Now again, if the consumer shows up at the dealership and they are told a different price for that vehicle, or they are presented with a different vehicle, we are out of the advertising rules now. And then we are into more something like a misrepresentation to a consumer, or some other type of violation that we would be looking at in our case.

MR. HICKS: Okay. If I am allowed to follow up -- I understand where you are going. I am not trying to go that deep into the process of the potential, as you referred to it earlier, bait and switch, where one VIN number or stock number -- and then I get there and that car is not available, and a dealer attempts to switch them to another.

Mine is more concerned with the dealers -- the franchise dealers' exposure, that we would be restricted to only showing the last four digits of the VIN on our website -- if a customer is searching for a vehicle and goes to our website. We are required to have the full VIN on our website with our manufacturer.

So, I think we may be entering an area where the language of this needs to really be considered with all ramifications. Whether a dealer can stay compliant

with their manufacturer by having a full VIN on their website, yet that would put them at risk of being potentially non-compliant in the eyes of the State, based on the language of this subchapter.

MS. THOMPSON: Again, Corrie Thompson. I do believe that we heard this discussed by several other members as well: if the rule were to be changed to stock number, or the last four digits of the VIN, would a dealer be non-compliant for showing the full VIN.

Again, General Counsel, you can correct me if I am wrong, but I would say that the dealer would not be out of compliance with the advertising rule for choosing to provide that additional information. So, the advertising rule is kind of the floor on the minimum of what you have to provide in the ad, pursuant to the rule. If that clarifies it for you.

MS. MORIATY: If I may, this is Laura Moriaty, General Counsel.

So, the original request about this rule had suggested that the DMV's rule was what was causing dealers to have to disclose the full VIN number, and that we were, therefore, causing whatever VIN washing occurs as a result of harvesting from those advertisements. This is an effort to get the DMV out of any causal relationship with VIN washing.

So, that is the effort here, that it is not our 1 2 rule that is making people put the full VIN on their 3 website. 4 MR. HICKS: Madam Chair. Okay. I am trying 5 to --6 MS. HOYT: Go ahead, Member Johnson. 7 MR. HICKS: Member Hicks. If you don't mind. 8 MS. HOYT: Member Hicks. 9 MR. HICKS: And I will close up and let the 10 next member speak. But I am still unclear. It sounds to me like 11 if we have the stock number or we have the full VIN, that 12 would meet -- I know it meets current criteria. But the 13 14 language of this, we would not be in violation if we had 15 the full VIN, even though this is saying it is a minimum. We would need the stock number and the last four. 16 If a dealer chose to have the full VIN, we 17 would still be compliant. Is that correct? 18 19 MS. THOMPSON: Correct. So, that is why 20 General Counsel was just clarifying that pursuant to our rule. And the information that we received was that our 21 22 requirement to have the full VIN pushed -- was creating 23 issues. If there is another -- an outside factor that is 24 mandating that the dealer include the full VIN somewhere

in connection with the vehicle, that would be outside of

25

the Department's purview regarding regulation of 1 2 advertisements. 3 MR. HICKS: Thank you for the clarification. 4 MS. HOYT: Thank you. 5 Member Johnson. 6 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Thank you. Member Johnson 7 asking to be recognized. Can you hear me? 8 We can hear you. MS. HOYT: 9 Okay. So, I almost feel like it MS. JOHNSON: 10 is the tail wagging the dog here. Because from a consumer standpoint, that information -- all information needs to 11 be available to them to have the assurance that all things 12 13 are open and fair. Trying to prevent a criminal activity, 14 I don't think that this rule is going to change that, 15 because there are still ways for the criminal aspect of 16 the population to get those VINs. So, they just need to 17 go one step further. But at the end of the day the DMV Board has a 18 19 manufacturer representative on the Board. So, perhaps 20 this needs to go back to the manufacturers. I have no 21 problem with removing this rule from DMV to take the 22 pressure off of them, to take the VIN out. 23 But because if the manufacturers are going to 24 require it anyway, then that is still going to put the new

dealers, the sellers, the franchiser dealers on the hook

25

1 for having to provide that information. But there is, 2 again, no real VIN until that car is titled. 3 So, I think we have to take the criminal 4 activity out of consideration here, and look at what is in 5 the best interests of our dealerships. What will the 6 manufacturers go along with? And then what is in the best 7 interest of the consumer? 8 I think providing the most information possible 9 is in the best interest of the consumer. 10 MS. HOYT: Thank you, Member Johnson. Does anyone else wish to ask questions or 11 discuss this item? 12 MR. DORAN: Presiding Officer Hoyt, this is 13 14 Member Doran. 15 MS. HOYT: Yes. 16 MR. DORAN: I just kind of wanted to recap what 17 I think I have heard. So, I think as I understand it, the language here about the four digits is permissive, not 18 19 mandatory. In other words, if the dealer provides the 20 full VIN, they are in compliance. 21 And then I think what I have also heard is, we 22 don't know if we are contravening the direction provided 23 to the Agency by the former Chairman of the House 24 Transportation Committee. We don't know how much the 25

consumer would be inconvenienced by this change.

We have focused on title checks. But consumers will oftentimes shop online after hours, looking to line up their financing, run a credit check, get an insurance quote. We talked about CARFAX reports, recall checks. I mean, there is a litany of the things that the consumer can do today with that full VIN that they may not be able to do, or may not be able to do as easily, as a result of this change if it were mandatory.

Three, we don't know what the cost would be to the dealer to make these changes in terms of what they are dealing with with their website provider. We don't know what the cost would be to the manufacturers, if the dealer was relying solely on the manufacturer. We don't know what the downstream costs would be if that dealer is sending their inventory feed to third-party sources like Cars.com, Autotrader, et cetera.

We don't know. And this is the most important question. We don't know what the benefit would be to law enforcement from this particular change.

I think that we can acknowledge that it is an imperfect solution, especially if all they need to do is just one additional click to get to the Monroney label.

Or the criminal could pick up the phone and call the dealership, or come in and see the vehicle that they want to steal the VIN number from.

1	So, because the proverbial we don't know
2	whether the juice is worth the squeeze, I am very much
3	gravitating towards wanting the Agency to study this,
4	rather than us coming to a recommendation that this is a
5	positive change, without knowing the answers to some of
6	those questions. So, maybe that wasn't a question. Maybe
7	that was a summation.
8	I apologize. But that is where I stand on this
9	currently. Thank you.
10	MS. HOYT: Thank you, Member Doran.
11	Any other comments or questions about Ms.
12	Thompson's presentation?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. HOYT: Okay. Seeing none, Ms. Moriaty, are
15	there any public comments on Item 2(a)?
16	MS. MORIATY: Yes, ma'am. We have three public
17	comments. The first one is from Drew Campbell, from the
18	Alliance for Automotive Innovation.
19	MS. HOYT: Okay. We will now hear from Drew
20	Campbell. Please state your name for the record. And
21	you will have three minutes.
22	MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you,
23	Members. Thanks for the opportunity.
24	My name is Drew Campbell. I am here
25	representing the Alliance for Automotive Innovation, for

the manufacturing arm of the automobile retail industry.

And without sounding like I am from the Department of Redundancy Department, in going through everything that you have already heard today, there is a lot of things we don't know. We don't know how many of these activities go on. We don't know whether or not this would actually help law enforcement.

But the one thing I do know, because I was here when we implemented this rule way back in the 1980s; back then, we had the newspapers. We didn't have the internet. So, there wasn't access to the VIN.

And this was all set in place to protect the consumer. And I think that is where we ought to be on this.

And I am here on behalf of the Alliance today to ask the Committee if they would please, let's take another hard look at this thing. Let's get together with the manufacturers.

I know that we have individual manufacturers who produce and put together the websites for the dealers. And they do it, not just in Texas, but they do it nationwide. Hence, anything they do nationwide is going to be affected by what shows up here in Texas, and vice versa. And we are talking about millions of dollars of investment that will cost the manufacturers, but

eventually cost the consumers.

And so, I would very much like to pledge today that we are here to work with you. We are here to provide information. If we can come to a conclusion that hey, this is really going to stop VIN washing -- but I know that personally -- because when this first came up, I thought, well, I am going to go see if I can really do this.

I went to the NHTSA website, and guess what. You can pretty much build any VIN off that website you want. If you have four numbers and you know who the manufacturer is, it is all right there, just for anybody to do.

And I would suggest to you that most consumers are not criminals. They are out there looking to buy a car. But most criminals, all this is, is just a little impediment along the way. But if there is a way for us to really do some good, not only for law enforcement, but for the consumers as well, we are here to work with you.

And with that, thank you. I would be happy to answer any questions.

MS. HOYT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Campbell.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

MS. MORIATY: Our second speaker is Cathy Dewitt from General Motors.

MS. HOYT: Okay. We will now hear from Cathy 1 2 Dewitt. Please state your name at the podium. And you 3 will have three minutes. Thank you. 4 MS. DEWITT: Good morning. My name is Cathy 5 Dewitt, and I am with General Motors. 6 We would also like to echo the concerns. We do 7 believe not being able to provide the full VIN number 8 might be in violation of our federal requirement of the 9 Monroney label. It also defeats the intentions of the 10 consumer protection that the Monroney label provides. We do think that access for consumers is 11 12 important. We do also have concerns of what -- especially 13 the smaller dealers, of the cost of changing systems to do 14 that. 15 We are more than happy to help with this issue 16 and provide expertise. And I am more than happy to follow 17 up with our group to see if they have any information by state, if anyone is tackling this in any other way. So, 18 19 we would love to participate in doing that. 20 MS. HOYT: Thank you, Ms. Dewitt. Thank you 21 for your time. 22 MS. MORIATY: Our third speaker is Chris 23 Shields from Toyota Motors. 24 MS. HOYT: Okay. We will now hear from Chris

Shields. Please approach the podium. State your name for

the record, and if you are representing anyone.

You will have three minutes. Thank you for being here.

MR. SHIELDS: Thank you. My name is Chris Shields. I am a consultant for Toyota.

My testimony was based on a reading of the rule that you would prohibit us from having the full VIN. I want to thank Members Hicks and Smith in particular for their line of questioning, which kind of helped tease this out a little bit.

Some of you may know there was another hearing on this same issue in a different committee. And I think the understanding of this proposal is very different than what has been expressed here.

So, I am going to hopefully not get in too much trouble by veering from the testimony that I was asked to come and provide, by simply saying that Toyota's primary position is that we recognize there is a problem here.

And we want to help solve the problem. And we support the adoption of a rule that would help solve the problem.

But our understanding of the rule as we read it, it would not help solve the problem, because there continue to be multiple other avenues of access for criminals to the full VIN information. We don't feel that this rule prohibits that, even with the better

understanding of the draft rule that I have now that 1 2 access would clearly still be available. 3 So, I think Toyota's request simply would be 4 that this is an issue that requires further consideration 5 and discussion and impact. It doesn't make sense to us to 6 adopt a rule that may cost a lot of money and may not 7 really solve the problem that we are all hoping to 8 address. 9 So, that would be my testimony. I am happy to 10 answer any questions. Thank you. MS. HOYT: Thank you, Mr. Shields. 11 Okay. Are there any other public comments? 12 13 MS. MORIATY: No more public comments. 14 Okay. So with that, we will move on MS. HOYT: 15 to Agenda Item 2(b), Recommendations of Advisory Committee 16 for presentation to the Board. 17 So, now that the Committee has been briefed by TxDMV staff on the proposed draft amendments to Chapter 43 18 TAC, Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution, Section 19 20 215.245, Availability of Motor Vehicles. It is now time 21 to discuss and vote on any recommendations that we see as 22 an Advisory Committee, that we would like to present to 23 the TxDMV Board. 24 To assist the court reporter in getting an

accurate record, please cite to the blue page number at

the top right-hand side of your written materials, and the line number located on the left-hand side of the draft Amendments to Chapter 215, when discussing any portion of the provisions in the written materials that TxDMV staff provided to you. Any recommendations from this Advisory Committee should be made in the form of a motion that this Advisory Committee votes on, unless TxDMV staff are willing to accept an informal comment.

An example of a motion is: I move that this Advisory Committee recommend to the TxDMV Board that the proposed amendments to Section 215.245 should be modified to add a clause that says X.

Members, do you have any recommendations to present to the DMV Board, regarding the draft amendment to Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution?

MR. SMITH: Presiding Office Hoyt. Member Smith.

MS. HOYT: Yes. Member Smith.

MR. SMITH: It is my understanding that we would follow this with a vote up or down on this. And I think that people, members and speakers have raised questions that may indicate whether or not we should vote for it.

However, I would like for us to look at Exhibit B, page one of two. The area that we are talking about

beginning on line 15.

If we -- I would propose and make a motion that we amend or add to on the line 17 the following verbiage, just to make it clear from a dealer's perspective. And that verbiage would be: the use of a stock number or the last four digits of the VIN, or the full VIN, does not prohibit a dealer from including a hyperlink in the advertisement that includes the VIN.

So just here I am trying to clear up that we could link to the Monroney. We could link to CARFAX or post some other title check entity and not be in violation. Given the explanation from Corrie that we can put a full VIN in there probably preempts this.

But I would just like to make it clear that the way this verbiage is, we are in the clear to have a hyperlink to that information.

So again, I will read that. The addition that I am proposing says: the use of a stock number, the last four digits of the VIN, or the full VIN, does not prohibit a dealer from including a hyperlink in the advertisement that includes the VIN.

I make the motion. And that is all.

MS. HOYT: Okay. So, we have a motion to adopt the draft language in lines 15 through 17, with the addition -- with an additional sentence that says: the

1	use of the stock number, the last four digits of the VIN,
2	or the full VIN does not exclude the dealer I am sorry.
3	I don't have the other.
4	Ms. Moriaty, maybe you can help me as you
5	always do.
6	MS. MORIATY: Okay. So, I believe the sentence
7	is: the use of a stock number, or the last four digits of
8	the VIN, or the full VIN, does not prohibit a dealer from
9	including a hyperlink to the full VIN.
10	MS. HOYT: Right. Thank you.
11	Do we have any comments?
12	MR. SMITH: Let me speak again. My motion does
13	not include adopting this. My motion was adding this
14	verbiage to the proposed amendment.
15	MS. HOYT: Correct. Yes. Adding it to the
16	current draft language in lines 15, 16, and 17. Adding
17	your comments. Adding that one sentence to the end of
18	that.
19	MR. SMITH: Correct.
20	MS. HOYT: Correct.
21	Okay. Are there any comments on that motion?
22	MR. DORAN: Presiding Officer Hoyt, this is
23	Member Doran.
24	MS. HOYT: Member Doran.
25	MR. DORAN: A question for staff. So, I very

much appreciate what Member Smith is trying to do in trying to ensure that dealers that utilize the Monroney label as part of their advertising do not get caught up in a potential violation. And I think dealers have probably made some investments with their website providers to enable that functionality, and we don't want to see that jeopardized.

My question, and frankly my concern is by -again, part of this is framed by the conversation we all
just had that this is permissive, as opposed to mandatory.

Because I think that is kind of a game changer for many
of us that are in attendance here today.

I just want to make sure that by the inclusion of the language that is being suggested by Member Smith, that from an interpretation, an administrative law interpretation, we are not then reading it to exclude out the ability of a dealer who does not utilize the Monroney label, but instead chooses to merely include the full VIN, right. And that could be a smaller dealer, a rural dealer, a dealer that doesn't participate with their web provider.

You know, I think, and I will just say this.

The last thing, I will say this. I think we all come into this thinking that these are Chevy dealers, Toyota dealers. But the fact of the matter is, you could be

talking about a Moped dealer. You know, we are talking 1 2 about smaller players in the market that might not have 3 the sophistication, and the cost could be quite burdensome for them. 4 5 So, I just want to make sure that from an 6 administrative law construction standpoint, that we are 7 not doing anything that lends itself to an argument that 8 it was the will of the Agency to exclude the ability for 9 that dealer to utilize the full VIN, whether they choose 10 to rely on a Monroney sticker or not. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson. Director of 11 Enforcement. 12 And the way that I am reading this, we are 13 14 saying the use of the stock number, the last four of the 15 VIN or the full VIN. So, I think that that is sufficient 16 to include the dealers that you mentioned in your comment. 17 And then with the additional language that would be more pertinent to the franchise dealers should be fine. 18 19 MR. DORAN: Thank you so much. Appreciate it. 20 21 Thank you. MS. HOYT: 22 MR. NANCE: This is Member Nance. Can I be 23 recognized? 24 MS. HOYT: Yes. Member Nance.

MR. NANCE: So, I also appreciate Member

Smith's suggestion. But it seems to me that that might be an unnecessarily complicated way to get at the point that the full VIN can be disclosed.

And so, this might be a separate motion on my part in a moment. But I would anticipate that rather than trying to add language about hyperlinks, it seems to me that a better approach might be to simply say something like, this subsection does not prohibit an advertisement from disclosing the full VIN.

And that kind of gets at what I think was assumed but not stated in Member Smith's suggested language. I think that is kind of the point the stakeholders seem to be concerned about.

I would favor a just more straightforward statement that this subsection does not prohibit the advertisement from disclosing the full VIN. It seems to me that that would be a simpler way of addressing this important concern from stakeholders.

MS. MORIATY: If I may, Chairwoman. This is Laura Moriaty, General Counsel.

I just wanted to suggest that perhaps we could get at this concern by adding two words, "at least." So that it said: or at least the last four digits of the VIN. Would that address all of these concerns, by making it clearly permissive?

1	MR. DORAN: Can you repeat that? This is
2	Member Doran. Sorry.
3	MS. MORIATY: Making it, "at least the last
4	four digits of the VIN."
5	MS. HOYT: On line 17 ?
6	MS. MORIATY: I am sorry. Yes, on line 17.
7	MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran, again. I
8	think that would provide the flexibility to cover all of
9	the situations we are discussing, correct.
10	MS. MORIATY: It seems like it to me. But I
11	want to I mean, the reason we are coming to this
12	Committee is to make sure you all don't see something we
13	don't see.
14	MR. DORAN: I like your suggested change.
15	MS. MORIATY: But we have a motion on the
16	table, right.
17	MS. HOYT: Yes.
18	MS. MORIATY: So, we would
19	MR. SMITH: Chairman Hoyt. Member Smith.
20	MS. HOYT: Yes, sir.
21	MR. SMITH: May I amend my motion? May I amend
22	my motion?
23	MS. HOYT: Sure.
24	MR. SMITH: Then I would say, include the
25	verbiage that General Counsel just included, which says

1	"at least." Wherever she put that in there, that is the
2	motion.
3	MS. HOYT: Okay. So, we do have a motion by
4	Member Smith, an amendment to the original motion, to
5	include on line 17: which must be a unique specific motor
6	vehicle advertised, or at least the four digits of the
7	VIN.
8	MS. MORIATY: Madam Chair. This is Laura
9	Moriaty again. I just wanted to clarify that the revised
10	motion does not include the sentence about the hyperlink.
11	MS. HOYT: Correct.
12	MS. MORIATY: Is that correct?
13	MS. HOYT: I apologize. Yes. It does not
14	include the sentence about the hyperlink, and the yes.
15	
16	So, we do have a motion on the table. Any
17	discussion or questions?
18	MR. HICKS: Member Hicks.
19	MR. DORAN: Member Hoyt. A quick question. Do
20	we need a second to this motion, or does that come after
21	we discuss it.
22	MS. HOYT: We would need a second.
23	MR. DORAN: Okay.
24	MR. HICKS: Member Hicks. I would second that
25	motion.

1	MS. HOYT: Okay. So, we have a motion by
2	Member Smith and a second by Member Hicks. Do we have any
3	further discussion. Or would anyone like Ms. Moriaty to
4	read that out one final time?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. HOYT: Okay. So, I see no comments, no
7	hands raised.
8	So, we will now call for the vote. When I call
9	your name, please state your name for the record and
10	whether you support the motion or not. If you support the
11	motion, please respond by saying support. If you do not
12	support the motion, please respond by saying no.
13	I do not believe Member Ames is Member Ames.
14	(No response.)
15	MS. HOYT: Member Brooks.
16	(No response.)
17	MS. HOYT: Member Carter?
18	(No response.)
19	MS. HOYT: Member Doran.
20	MR. DORAN: I support the motion.
21	MS. HOYT: Member Elam.
22	(No response.)
23	MS. HOYT: Member Gaston.
24	MR. GASTON: Member Gaston. I support the
25	motion.

1		MS. HOYT: Member Gonzalez.
2		MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez supports the
3	motion.	
4		MS. HOYT: Member Hardick.
5		MR. HARDICK: Support.
6		MS. HOYT: Member Hayter.
7		MR. HAYTER: Support.
8		MS. HOYT: Member Hicks.
9		MR. HICKS: I support the motion.
10		MS. HOYT: Member Johnson.
11		MS. JOHNSON: Member Johnson. I support the
12	motion.	
13		MS. HOYT: Member King.
14		MS. KING: Member King. I support the motion.
15		MS. HOYT: Member McCullah.
16		(No response.)
17		MS. HOYT: Member Nance.
18		MR. NANCE: I support.
19		MS. HOYT: Member Olah.
20		MR. OLAH: Member Olah. I support the motion.
21		
22		MS. HOYT: Member Polk, I don't believe is
23	present.	
24		(No response.)
25		MS. HOYT: Member Rash.
	1	

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	(No response.)
2	MS. HOYT: Member Smith.
3	MR. SMITH: Member Smith supports.
4	MS. HOYT: Member Stidham.
5	MR. STIDHAM: Member Stidham supports.
6	MS. HOYT: Member Sutton.
7	MS. SUTTON: Member Sutton supports.
8	MS. HOYT: Member Wagner.
9	(No response.)
10	MS. HOYT: Member Wall.
11	MR. WALL: Member Wall supports.
12	MS. HOYT: And I Kristen Hoyt support as well.
13	Okay. So, with 15 votes in support, I believe that that
14	motion does carry. Okay.
15	Do we have any other discussion or any other
16	motions on this item?
17	MR. NANCE: Member Nance. May I be recognized?
18	MS. HOYT: Yes.
19	MR. NANCE: So, I just wanted to say something
20	quick about getting feedback from stakeholders and more
21	information before final adoption of this rule. I really
22	do appreciate those concerns from stakeholders, but it
23	seems to me that the notice and comment rulemaking process
24	where we put out a proposal and allow stakeholders an
о г	

opportunity to comment provides that opportunity for

studying the issue and getting additional information on, 1 2 you know, whether this will be an effective way of 3 preventing the harms that have been discussed. And, you know, getting feedback from manufacturers and others. 4 5 And it seems to me that is an opportunity for 6 staff to study the issue. So, I appreciate and agree with 7 a lot of the concerns about studying the issue further. 8 It seems to me, though, that proposing this rule, getting 9 the rule text out there for stakeholders to comment on is 10 an opportunity to gather some of that information. MS. HOYT: Thank you, Member Nance. I agree 11 12 with you. Okay. Do we have any other public comment on this 13 14 agenda item? 15 MS. MORIATY: No, ma'am. No public comment. 16 MS. HOYT: Excellent. Thank you. We will now 17 move on to Agenda Item number three, I apologize, the public comment. And so you said there is no public 18 19 comment. 20 MS. MORIATY: Still no public comment. You are 21 right. 22 MS. HOYT: Thank you. Thank you. 23 Then we will move on to Agenda Item 4, for 24 adjournment. Unless there is any further business, I 25

would like to entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. HICKS: This is Member Hicks. May I be 1 2 recognized first? 3 MS. HOYT: Yes. Member Hicks. 4 MR. HICKS: Yes. 5 Ms. Thompson, could you give us a bit of a 6 timeline. I know you said that there is going to be time. 7 This is very early in the process. What does this look 8 like over the next weeks, months before, as this moves 9 forward to adoption? 10 MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson. I believe the General Counsel would love to provide us with that 11 timeline. 12 13 MS. MORIATY: This is Laura Moriaty, General 14 Counsel. So we have -- as you well know, we have a lot of 15 rulemaking going on right now, implementing House Bill 718. 16 17 I do not anticipate that we will take this up prior to session, even. I think it will be -- if we bring 18 19 a rule on this, it will be after session, and part of a 20 larger rulemaking package. So, we are not in any rush. 21 And if anybody has further comments or input, 22 or information they want to give us, we are definitely 23 open to all of that. 24 MR. HICKS: Thank you. 25 MS. HOYT: Thank you.

MR. NANCE: This is Member Nance. Just so I understand the procedure. Did we -- and I am sorry if this is a dumb question.

Have we recommended that the Board consider these amendments for a proposal? Or did we just amend the existing proposal with the motion that was just voted on?

MS. MORIATY: This is Laura Moriaty. So, you did not -- the language of the motion did not recommend.

You simply added new language and recommended that if the Board is going to do this, that they add this new language. If you would like to recommend for the Board to take this up, I think we would probably need a separate motion.

(Pause.)

MR. NANCE: Okay. So, this proposal has not been recommended to be presented to the Board as described on the agenda. Is that right?

MS. MORIATY: Right. So, the Committee has not taken the position that you all are in favor of this language. What you have said is that if it is going to be presented, you recommend this amendment to clarify it. I think we would need a different motion if we wanted to suggest that the Board definitely take this up and definitely make this rule.

But the amendment language will be presented to

1	the Board. And your Chair will have an opportunity to
2	speak to them when they consider when and if they
3	consider this rule.
4	MR. NANCE: Okay. Thank you.
5	MS. HOYT: Okay. Any other comments?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. HOYT: Motion to adjourn?
8	MR. HICKS: I move. Member Hicks.
9	MS. HOYT: Okay. Member Hicks has a motion to
10	adjourn. Do I have a second?
11	MR. DORAN: Member Doran seconds.
12	MR. STIDHAM: Second.
13	MS. HOYT: Member Stidham has a second. All in
14	favor.
15	(A chorus of ayes.)
16	MS. HOYT: Let the record reflect that the
17	vote, thank you, is unanimous.
18	It is now 10:10 a.m. And we are now adjourned.
19	Thank you all for participating in this conversation and
20	being part of this Board. Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, at 10:10 a.m., the meeting was
22	concluded.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: TxDMV Customer Service & Protection 4 Advisory Committee 5 6 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 7 DATE: September 20, 2024 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 8 numbers 1 through 58, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 9 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 10 made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before 11 12 the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. DATE: September 30, 2024 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 /s/ Nancy H. King 20 (Transcriber) 21 22 On the Record Reporting & 23 Transcription, Inc. 24 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 25 Austin, Texas 78752 26