TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES BOARD MEETING

Texas Department of Motor Vehicles
4000 Jackson Avenue
Building 1
Lone Star Room
Austin, Texas 78731

Thursday, April 10, 2025 9:00 a.m.

BOARD MEMBERS:

Charles Bacarisse, Chair Tammy McRae, Vice Chair Christian Alvarado Stacey Gillman (absent) Brett Graham Mark Jones Sharla Omumu John Prewitt Darren Schlosser

I N D E X

AGENI	DA ITEM	PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	7
3.	Chair's Reports (BRIEFING ONLY)	7
4.	Executive Director's Reports (BRIEFING ONLY)	
	A. State Agency Internal Audit Forum Elected Technology Chair - Jason Gonzalez	9
	B. American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA) Autonomous Vehicle Subcommittee Visit	10
	C. Awards, Recognition of Years of Service, and Announcements	11
CONT	ESTED CASE	
5.	Consideration and Approval of Proposed Final Order on Enforcement Case Texas Department of Motor Vehicles v. T. C. Auto Finance, LLC; Enforcement Docket No. 21-0015970; SOAH Docket No. 608-24-19753.ENF(ACTION ITEM)	14
BRIEI 6.	FING AND ACTION ITEMS Specialty Plate Design (ACTION ITEMS) A. Sigma Gamma Rho - New Design Proposed under Transportation Code §504.851 B. Ducks Unlimited - Crossover Design Proposed under Transportation Code §504.851 & §504.6013 C. Houston Christian University - New Design proposed under Transportation Code §504.851	59 1
7.	Projects and Operations Committee Update (BRIEFING ONLY) A. Enterprise Projects I. Camp Hubbard Renewal Project ii. House Bill (HB) 718 Implementation iii. Regional Service Center (RSC) Expansions, Moves, and Renovations a. RSC Expansions - Dallas - Houston - Pharr	63
	b. Long Range Facilities Plan B. Technology Projects I. Registration and Title System (RTS) Replacement and Ecosystem Modernization	75

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

	 ii. Other Projects a. Voice to Cloud b. Motor Carrier Credentialing System (MCCS) Rewrite C. Payment Processing Transition D. Accounts Receivable Project 	77 78
8.	Legislative and Public Affairs A. 89th Regular Session Legislative Update	80
	(BRIEFING ONLY) B. FY 2026 - 2027 Legislative Appropriations Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	85
9.	Finance and Audit	
	A. Semi-Annual Report of Revenues and Expenditures (BRIEFING ONLY)	87
	B. Internal Audit Division Status Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	89
	I. Internal Engagements a. Public Information Request Audit b. Motor Carrier Division Credentialing Advisory c. Annual Risk Assessment ii. External Engagement - Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts Post Payment Audit	
CLOSI	ED SESSION The Board may enter into closed session under one or more provisions of the Texas Open Meeting Act, Government Code Chapter 551, including but not limited to: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.076 Section 551.089	94 s
11.	Action Items from Closed Session	
12.	Public Comment	none
13.	Adjournment	96

MR. BACARISSE: Good morning. My name is
Charles Bacarisse, and I am pleased to open this Board
meeting of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. It is
9:01 a.m. and I am now calling the Board meeting for
April 10, 2025, to order. I want to note for the record
that the public notice of this meeting, containing all
items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of
Secretary of State on April 2, 2025.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in silent mode. Also, as a courtesy to others, please do not engage in side conversations in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's Board meeting. If you wish to address the Board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table or send an email to gco_general@txdmv.gov. That's gco_general@txdmv.gov.

Please identify in the email the specific item you're interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

In accordance with the Department's administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided at the podium. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow when the speaker has one minute left, and red when the speaker's time is up.

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers, and comments should be pertain to the issue stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are few things that will keep the meeting -- will help make the meeting run smoothly and assist the court reporter back there in getting an accurate record. So please identify yourself before speaking. Speak clearly and slowly. Do not speak over others, and ask the Chair for permission to speak and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I'd like to thank the court reporter back there who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rule of conduct at our Board meetings. In the Department's administrative rule, the Chair has the authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine

1	when a speaker is being disruptive in the meeting or is
2	otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules that
3	I've just discussed.
4	So with that, we can now move to Agenda Item 1,
5	which is a roll call. So Board members, please respond
6	when I call your name.
7	Member Alvarado, are you present?
8	MR. ALVARADO: Present.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
10	MR. GRAHAM: Present.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member Jones?
12	MR. JONES: Present.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae?
14	MS. McRAE: Present.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
16	MS. OMUMU: Present.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
18	MR. PREWITT: Present.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser?
20	MR. SCHLOSSER: Present.
21	MR. BACARISSE: And let the record reflect that
22	I, Charles Bacarisse, am here too. We do have a quorum,
23	and let the record also reflect that we are missing dearly
24	our friend, Member Gillman, who is absent, but she's

fine -- so just not with us today. So we're all good.

25

So Agenda Item 2 is Pledges of Allegiance to 1 2 the U.S. and Texas flags, and to honor our country, please 3 stand with me, and I'm going to turn it over to Member Graham to lead us in the U.S. Pledge, and then Member 4 5 Jones will lead us in the Texas Pledge. 6 (The Pledges were recited.) 7 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you all. Thank you, 8 Member Graham and Member Jones for leading us in the 9 Pledges. 10 Before we get into the depth of things with all kinds of good business today, I just want to take a moment 11 12 of personal privilege to recognize a fellow county elected official here who performs incredible responsibilities for 13 14 Bell County. It's the Bell County Tax Assessor-Collector, 15 Shay Luedeke. 16 Shay is in the -- is with us this morning. 17 Thank you for being here, Shay. (Applause.) 18 19 MR. BACARISSE: Well, look at that. He is the current Chairman of the Tax Assessors Association this 20 year, and we're all looking forward to coming down to 21

So Vice Chairman McRae, would you like to add anything?

Galveston for the annual convention.

22

23

24

25

MS. McRAE: I -- Shay, I'd just to thank you

for being here. Shay is an awesome leader. He's a fearless leader, and he's been in Austin quite a bit this session, fighting alongside other members of our association, testifying on various bills.

So thank you for being here. Thank you for taking the time to come.

MR. BACARISSE: I want to take one other moment of personal privilege to say a thank you to all of the folks that are over here and your colleagues. The staff of this agency -- I've been here long enough to have a feel for it now -- the staff and leadership of this agency are really tremendous.

You are the best representatives of what public service really is, and professionalism. And I feel like I need to tell you that now, because we're in interesting times, but don't let that bother you. Keep your head as you have always done and be the wonderful professionals that you are.

No matter what gets thrown at us, we manage it.

And I'm thankful to be part of your team. So my thanks
to the each of you in this agency. Thank you.

With all of that grandstanding now off, I am ready to move to Item 4, which is the Executive Director's Reports.

And all I said over there to that group, that

goes for you too, Daniel, so --

MR. AVITIA: Thank you, sir. Good morning. Chairman, Members, good morning. Thank you.

For the record my name is Daniel Avitia. I have the pleasure of serving as the Executive Director for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

Agenda Items 4.A. through 4.C. are briefing items only. I'll start with Item 4.A., the State Agency Internal Audit Forum. Information can be found on page 6 of your Board materials.

Chairman, Members, in February, the State

Agency Internal Audit Forum, or SAIAF, appointed our very
own Internal Audit Director, Mr. Jason Gonzalez, has the

Technology Chair. The forum aims to promote the effective
and efficient use of Internal Audit resources within State
agencies, enhancing accountability, productivity, and
management controls over operations. Serving on the forum
will provide opportunities to identify areas of
improvement, potential risks, and other issues across
agency lines. It will also facilitate the sharing of
information regarding best practices, technology solutions
and other suggested, deemed beneficial, and cost-effective
initiatives for State agencies and stakeholder.

So Jason, congratulations on your selection. I know that you will undoubtedly make substantial

contributions to that organization.

Chairman, Members, Agenda Item 4.B can be found on page 7 of your Board materials. The American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators, AAMVA, Automated -- what is with all the acronyms this morning?

(General laughter.)

MR. AVITIA: Automated Vehicle Subcommittee convened in Austin from March 25 to March 28 for its annual in-person meeting, comprising of leaders from various state law enforcement, state motor vehicle agencies, as well as representatives from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, and the U.S. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. The AV Subcommittee here was hosted here at the DMV headquarters by Deputy Executive Directors Luna and Mellott, including myself, as well as other members of the Executive Team.

During the meeting, the Subcommittee engaged in discussions and on key initiatives and project, legislative implementation efforts, and proposed autonomous vehicle legislation. The AV Subcommittee is chaired by none other than our very own Deputy Executive Director, Mr. Luna.

The Subcommittee plays a vital role in advancing discussions on autonomous vehicle technology.

Its primary mission is to collaborate with jurisdictional

members, law enforcement, federal agencies, and other stakeholders to collect, organize and disseminate information within the AAMVA community. Additionally, Committee members attended an autonomous vehicle demonstration at TxDOT, and met with several autonomous vehicle entities to discuss AV features, technology advancements, and future developments in the field.

I want to thank Roland for his leadership in this very important and emerging technology conversation. So Roland, thank you for that.

Any questions there, Chairman or Members?
(No response.)

MR. AVITIA: All right. Then we will move on to Agenda Item 4.C. 4.C. can be found on page 8 of your Board materials.

At this time, I would like to recognize our employees that have reached a service milestone. We recognize these employees to show our appreciation for their years of service and certainly their dedication to the state of Texas.

I'll begin with 20 years of State service. We have Kathy Chung, with the Vehicle, Title and Registration Division. Next, with 25 years of State service, we have Charlie Escobedo, Enforcement Division; Connie Noble, Motor Carrier Division; and Diana Noble [sic], Motor

Carrier Division.

Next, with 30 years of State service, we have none other than -- joining us this morning, Mr. Richard Goldsmith with the Motor Carrier Division. And lastly, we have the following retirees, with 20 -- over 20 years of State service: Dawna Eckwall, with the Motor Carrier Division, 26 years, and Ms. Michelle Lingo, who is joining us this morning, with the Motor Vehicle Division, 28 years of State service.

Chairman and Members, I'd like to take a moment to speak about the two recipients who are with us here this morning. I'll start with Ms. Lingo.

Ms. Lingo has served as a staff attorney and mediator with the Motor Vehicle Division since joining the Department in November 2010. Throughout her tenure, Michelle has provided key legal insight, ensuring the resolution of numerous complex legal matters in alignment with the Department's mission. Her dedication to fairness in mediation has been instrumental, having successfully mediated over 200 cases, all fostering equitable solutions for the parties involved.

Michelle's contributions have left a lasting impact and her expertise has been valuable, and we'll certainly miss Michelle throughout the Department.

Michelle, congratulations on your well-deserved

retirement.

Now, for -- just for old times' sake, if you wouldn't mind, every once in a while, Michelle, sending me a multi-page, bolded, highlighted email that you have become so infamous for throughout the Department.

MS. LINGO: Only if you'll read it.

MR. AVITIA: Yes.

(General laughter.)

MR. AVITIA: You know, we'll all miss you, Ms. Lingo.

Next, with 30 years of service, Mr. Richard Goldsmith. Mr. Goldsmith serves as the manager of the Motor Carrier operations within the Motor Vehicle Division. He joined the Department in 2012.

Richard began his State career with TxDOT as a public information officer. I didn't know that. Good for you.

Leveraging his expertise in communication and industry knowledge to support the Department's mission, Richard has dedicated over a decade to leading the Motor Carrier Division's program coordination and support section, all while expertly managing administrative functions and driving solutions in customer-facing business areas. His commitment and leadership have been invaluable.

Richard, congratulations on your 30 years of 1 2 service. Chairman, Members, let's congratulate these 3 individuals for their dedication. 4 5 (Applause, photos taken.) 6 MR. AVITIA: Chairman, that concludes my 7 Executive Director's Updates. Thank you for the time this 8 morning. 9 MR. BACARISSE: Absolutely. And thank you to 10 our friends who are retiring from State service. What an amazing legacy they are leaving, and I only hope that we 11 can train up the next generation to be as good and 12 13 professional. 14 Agenda Item No. 5 is a contested case, and so 15 we will move there. Before we move to the oral 16 presentation from the parties to the contested case, 17 General Counsel Moriaty will -- excuse me -- present the procedural history and summary of the case. 18 19 So the floor is yours, Ms. Moriaty. 20 MS. MORIATY: Thank you, sir. Good morning, 21 Laura Moriaty, General Counsel for the DMV. Board. 22 The contested case before you today is a 23 licensee disciplinary case, Department of Motor Vehicles 24 against T. C. Auto Finance, LLC. T. C. Auto Finance holds

a general distinguishing number issued by the Department.

25

So the issues for the Board to decide today are, first, did T. C. Auto Finance violate the Board's rules and statutes? If so, should we revoke the GDN, or should -- and/or should we issue a monetary penalty, and if we should, how much should that be?

So this case began back on October 24, 2022, when the Department issued a notice of Department decision. The Respondent requested a hearing. So the Department's second case for hearing in front of the State Office of Administrative Hearings -- I will call SOAH -- and that hearing took place on October 17, 2024.

The SOAH Administrative Law Judge issued a proposal for decision on December 17, 2024. In the PFD, the ALJ found that T. C. Auto Finance had misused buyers' temporary tags by improperly issuing 903 of them between January 1, 2022 and July 31, 2022. The ALJ also noted that the Respondent had two prior agreed orders with the Department, one from 2015 and one from 2023, one of which related to the misuse of temporary tags. The ALJ recommended that the Board revoke T. C. Auto Finance's GDN license and assess a penalty of \$93,000 against T. C. Auto Finance.

Both parties filed exceptions to this proposal for decision in December 2024. The ALJ ruled on those

exceptions in response to Respondent's exceptions. The ALJ said that they were essentially arguments that had already been raised during the hearing, and she declined to make any changes on the basis of them.

The Department, in its exceptions, raised six technical errors, basically typos in the PFD. The ALJ agreed to correct all six of those. So those corrections are in the exceptions letter.

And then with regard to that sanction, the ALJ agreed that her calculation -- her description of the calculation had been incorrect and that the total number had been incorrect. So she altered both the calculation and the total number to be \$100 per violation, for a total of \$90,300, as her recommended monetary penalty.

So all of those changes are in the exceptions letter. In order to adopt those, the Board wouldn't need to make those changes; it could just adopt the exceptions letter, along with the PFD. So both parties received notice for the considering the case today, and both parties have announced ready to make oral presentations to you. Both parties have provided written materials that are in your Board materials for you to consider.

But before we get started, I want to review what your options are today to consider the case. So the first thing we always have to remember is the difference

between SOAH's role in these cases and the Board's role.

SOAH gets to hear the evidence. They get to decide who's believable. They get to decide what comes into the record and what stays out.

They make findings of fact. They make conclusions of law, and they recommended a sanction. So the Board cannot try to rehear the evidence.

We can't hear new testimony today. We can't look at new documents today. We can't decide that something SOAH thought was not believable is actually the truth. None of that is within your power.

We can't make new findings of fact. What is within your authority is to decide that the ALJ did not properly interpret your statutes or rules, and to make a new sanction determination, still based on the facts, the facts and conclusions of law, but potentially different than what the ALJ has recommended.

So in keeping with that, you have essentially three choices. You could accept the PFD and the -- as modified by the exceptions letter, and that would result in revocation of the GDN and a monetary penalty of \$90,300.

You could also amend the PFD. So you could make changes to the conclusions of law or to the recommended sanction, and we could issue a sanction that

was different than what the ALJ has recommended. However, if you do an amendment to the PFD, the Government Code requires that we explain what law the ALJ has misinterpreted, how they have misinterpreted it, and how the findings of fact that the ALJ made still align with the decision that we are coming to that is different than what the ALJ determined.

So we have to know all of that in our motion. So when you're making a motion to change a conclusion of law or the sanction, in order to comply with the Government Code, you have to state what specific change you're requesting, the law that was misapplied, how the interpretation was wrong, and then how the findings of fact support our conclusion. I know that makes for a long motion, but it's necessary to make sure that we are complying with the Government Code.

So your broadest discretion as a Board is to decide the penalty in this case. The main thing that guides is Texas Occupations Code 2301.801(b), which sets out factors you need to consider in determining a financial penalty. Those are: the seriousness of the violation; the economic damage to the public caused by the violation; the history of previous violations; the amount necessary to deter a future violation; any efforts to correct the violation; and the great catch-all, any other

matters that you think justice may require.

So when we make that motion to change, we have to explain how the new sanction is appropriate and what factors from those ones I just discussed are supporting this decision that you're making. So with all of that, if there are no questions for me, I will turn it back over to Chairman Bacarisse to introduce the parties and describe the rules for their oral presentation.

MR. BACARISSE: Great. Members, before I, you know, give some direction, do you have any other questions of Ms. Moriaty at this point?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, let me just move into a description here. Under the Board's rules, a party of the title who has submitted a request, as Ms. Moriaty made the point, to make oral presentation will be allowed up to 15 minutes to make an oral presentation in this case. Both parties have done so, and so we'll hear from them.

During the oral presentations, time spent by a party responding to any Board questions is not counted against that party's time. The timer light will be green for the first 14 minutes, yellow when there's one minute left, and then red when a party's time is up.

I'd also like to remind the Board members

that -- and the parties that the Board's decision must be based solely on the evidence contained within the administrative record of the Office of Administrative Hearings, as Ms. Moriaty pointed out. If a Board member asked a question about evidence that is not in the SOAH administrative record for this case, the party should respond by saying, the question is about evidence that is not in the administrative record.

Respondent, T. C. Auto Finance, is represented by Mr. Hernandez, who is with us this morning.

Good morning, sir. Thank you --

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning.

MR. BACARISSE: -- for being here. And you can have the floor now to make your presentation, if you're ready, sir. Yeah. Come over here to the podium, and we'll get you set up.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning to everybody, the Board members and everybody else today. Thank you so very much for giving me the opportunity to present my case.

Back in 2022 October 24, I received notice from the Department. That notice was not only a notice to deny access to the eTAG system, but it was also a notice to not renew my driver's -- my dealer's license. But in 2022, the dealer's license -- it was supposed to be renewed. Ever since, it hasn't been renewed anymore, so I think the

Department violated my rights to renew my license.

The same notice for decision recommended some penalties and fines, but it also said at the end of the note that I was able to continue running my license and continuing doing business. However, the Department violated that right to me. I was reading on the Occupation Codes, 231.651(d), that -- it says, A license may not be denied, revoked, or suspended, and disciplinary action may not be taken unless [sic] this subchapter, respondent -- unless the respondent is given an opportunity for a hearing. The board may deny, revoke, or suspend a license or disciplinary action might be taken only by order.

I think the Department violated this law, because, since 2022, the Department didn't give me the opportunity to continue with my license. So I think they violated that law.

Also, when we presented the hearing, we collected 32 pieces of evidence. Out of the 32 pieces of evidence, the Judge only accepted two of them. There were two.

One of them was the cyber assessment that was done by a third-party company. That cyber assessment was presented that day, and the CEO for the company also testified that there was a malware on the system for T. C.

Auto. However, that assessment wasn't uploaded to the Court's system after the end of the hearing.

The Department promised that they would upload the assessment and I would present it today, but it wasn't like that. So there was two exhibits accepted out of 32 exhibits, and only one was uploaded to the system. So I think they also mishandled evidence, so you guys can see it today. So I think that was another violation by the Department.

After the notice for decision, we investigated for two and a half years the facts that -- the accusations for that decision. We found that there was -- there were some malwares installed in the system for T. C. Auto Finance. We conducted a research through a tech company, which they provided a cyber assessment, saying that there was some malwares installed in T. C. Auto Finance's system.

So we presented all the proof to the Department the day of the hearing, and we also presented testimony from different people who witnessed selling the paper tags online. After the Department's decision, we hired this tech company and they found out that there was malwares in the T. C. Auto Finance's system. After that, we filed a police report.

From the police report, we started

investigating different individual places, how this could happen. One of the witnesses that we found told us that there was an online store set up, set up online. And if you research on a Google search, venta de platas de papel, you will find that that will trigger another ad that linked our website and our place of business.

So when you click there, you will link to Facebook and eventually you will link to a WhatsApp conversation. This witness told us that the way he found out our place was because, when you looked on venta de platas de papel, it will trigger our business. And from the business site, he would see the phone number for our business.

So we asked him, how did he found out that we were selling the plates? And he said, well, if you've seen the site, you can see the phone number, and I now could call from there.

So after that, we called the police. We told them what was happening. The police said, okay, we will investigate that.

If you do a research now with venta de platas de papel, you will still see that it links to our website and our business. So the words, venta de platas de papel, I'm not that anymore, because the police helped us do it.

But this witness told us that they were sending him there

through Facebook and around the business too.

So that was the way we found, first, and we filed three police reports. I requested them to show the police report on the --

MR. BACARISSE: It's coming up.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. There was -- after 2022, we discovered that somebody was hacking our account, not only the eTAG system. They also hacked our bank accounts, our online options, and all the data around the T. C. Auto Finance system.

The day of the hearing, the Department presented four witnesses that testified against T. C. Auto Finance, LLC. These four witnesses testified only on records. None of them are actually a witness of what happened there.

One of the witnesses -- I believe it's Mr.

Kyle. Mr. Kyle is his name. He testified that there was a breach on TxDMV in 2022, from January to July. What we told the Department is that the breach wasn't in the TxDMV system. It was in T. C. Auto Finance's system.

We also presented the day of the hearing the testimony from the CEO from the tech company that provided the assessment for the system of T. C. Auto Finance. And his assessment was saying that T. C. Auto Finance had malwares installed in the system and they were able to

transfer all the data in real time to a third party, outside T. C. Auto Finance. So we presented that piece of evidence, along with 32 pieces of evidence, the day of the hearing.

And that day, the attorney that represents the Department said that she did not have time to review the evidence. At that moment, we considered rescheduling the hearing, but after a few minutes, they decided not to do it and continue with the hearing.

I thought they would see all the evidence we had. It was 32 pieces of evidence. At the end, they only took two pieces of evidence, the police report and the cyber assessment.

At the end of the hearing, Ms. Heather Pierce said that she would upload the two pieces of evidence to the Court system. To my deep surprise, she did not upload the cyber assessment, but it is on the record, on the hearing of October 17, 2024. If you go there, you will see the CEO doing his confession about what he found on our system.

And there were like, about six or seven witnesses that confessed about being a witness of somebody selling the paper plates. We know someone is doing it.

If you go right now and do a Google search, venta de platas de papel, the first thing you will find is our

place of business. It's there right now, in this moment.

The police helped us to remove the words, venta de platas de papel, and we tried to tell Google to remove everything, but Google said, there's no way you can remove that ad, because you're not the author of the ad. So you cannot do it. But the police helped us to remove the words, venta de platas de papel.

We know somebody is doing it, because after that, many people have been coming to our place to either print the plates or laminate the plates or say something -- we have paper plates, actual plates, with pictures of the vehicle, VIN numbers, and vendor plates. Right now, they're selling not from our business, because our system is individual from that, but somebody is selling it from somebody else. We have the proof of that. And the Department also have.

As we collect the proof, we've been sending it to the Department as we do the investigation. We sent the reports from the police, like the one you see, and 32 more -- 31 more pieces of evidence. They bought two vehicles, one in Canada. It's a 2003 Honda CRV, and one Maserati in Texas.

They were able to get the one -- they were able to steal the one in Canada. They were not able to get the one in Texas. So they used the account to not only commit

crimes against T. C. Auto Finance, only with the paper tags, but also with the online auctions, and do some transfers with the bank accounts too.

At the end, we needed to -- we had to close the bank accounts, and we had to reset the passwords. And we had to hire the tech company to clean the system and install new antiviruses to prevent more damage to the T. C. of that.

We presented all the proof to the Department during this two and a half years, and the only response we got is that the Department represented the State, and they couldn't do any legal advice on it. I think that's their job to do it, but at the same time, the process has been somehow manipulated the way they want. And pretty much, we've been dealing with an entity that -- we're doing too much.

Since the beginning, our license was blocked. It wasn't renewed since the beginning. And it was, like, going against an entity that was a part and judge at the same time, because they were able to decide what to do since the beginning. We didn't have, up to now, a chance to say, no, we didn't do it. And we didn't have a chance to present everything, because the license, up to today, is not active, is suspended, basically.

A few months later after this notice for

decision, we found out there was a letter that will allow you to run the business, as I call them, APA letter -- after a few months, we found out, found out by investigating. This letter, though, is -- they only -- most of the places, they are only taking for three months. After they -- it's, like, they don't know -- they're not familiar about it, so they don't take it much -- it is like if you go around looking for a job with an expired driver's license.

So I believe the Department should have been more considerate, and they should have allowed us to prove what they were saying and not to block the license in the beginning, because not all the cases are the same. I heard some other cases and I watched the videos of some people that they lost their computer and somebody had printed paper plates. Some people that allowed the clerk to do the business, and she did things -- I watched the videos.

This case is different. We involved three police departments. We've hired a tech company. We've hired an investigator. And we've been working around the case.

The first time we noticed, back in the June, even before the Department decision, we noticed that the paper plates were going high. So we started investigating

inside the office what was done. I even requested more 1 2 paper plates at that moment, because I thought at the 3 beginning, oh, maybe the Department set a limit, and 4 now -- we usually sell between 300 to 400 vehicles a year. 5 And we've doing that for 15 years. 6 Our business is about selling vehicles, not 7 about selling paper plates. So with all that, I know the 8 time's short now. I wanted to say that this case should 9 be considered, and this case is not the same as the 10 others. And we should be given the opportunity to prove 11 what we think --12 (Timer sounds.) 13 14 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Your time has 15 expired. Thank you. 16 MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, if I may? I 17 believe a lot of the information you heard was outside the record, so --18 19 MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. MS. MORIATY: -- if the Board wouldn't mind 20 21 asking the other party to clarify if there's anything 22 outside --23 MR. BACARISSE: Sure. 24 MS. MORIATY: Thank you. 25 MR. BACARISSE: Sure. We'll hear -- we'd be

1	happy to hear from the other party. Are they present? Or
2	is that
3	MS. MORIATY: Right. I just wanted to make
4	sure that before you asked this party
5	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah.
6	MS. MORIATY: questions, we clarify the
7	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. Both sides. Yeah.
8	MS. MORIATY: Yeah.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Absolutely. Great. Is the
10	other who's the other party
11	MS. MORIATY: Yes. There's an attorney for the
12	Department who is here. Joyce?
13	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Great.
14	MS. MORIATY: Is there she is.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. Please approach.
16	MR. HERNANDEZ: Do you have any questions for
17	me
18	MR. BACARISSE: Not yet. So if you'll give the
19	other side an opportunity to present their case, we'll
20	then
21	MR. HERNANDEZ: Oh.
22	MR. BACARISSE: come back to you. Thank
23	you. Just hang in there.
24	MR. HERNANDEZ: All right. Thank you.
25	MR. BACARISSE: You have a seat right there.

Thank you, sir.

Good morning. Please state your name and so forth for the record, please.

MS. LOWE: Good morning, Board Members,

Chairman Bacarisse and Director Avitia. My name is Joyce

Lowe, and I'm the Enforcement attorney who tried this case

at SOAH.

I am here this morning to request that the Board adopt the proposal for decision as to Findings of Fact 1 through 7, and Conclusions of Law 1 through 11 and 13, as amended by the Administrative Law Judge's exceptions. However, as to Conclusion of Law 12, I am requesting that the civil penalty be changed to \$1,000 per excess buyer's temporary tag, and that the sanction be capped at \$500,000. This is in keeping with the allegations in the second amended notice of Department decision, as well as witness testimony presented at SOAH by Heather Pierce and as presented in the disciplinary matrix submitted into evidence at trial as Exhibit 28.

In this case, from January 1 through July 31, 2022, Respondent reported selling 177 vehicles, but issued 1,080 buyers' temporary tags. So in a seven-month period, Respondent issued 903 buyers' temporary tags in excess of reported sales.

Conclusion of Law 12 is a sanction

recommendation. The Board is not required to give presumptively binding effect to recommendations regarding sanctions in the same manner as to other findings of fact and conclusions of law. In the proposed final order in the written materials that I sent to Respondent and to the Board, I cite case law and reasoning for the request that I am making this morning.

The Board is the ultimate decision-maker regarding sanctions, so long as the Board follows Texas Government Code, Section 2001.058(e). The modification to Conclusion of Law 12 is necessary because the ALJ did not properly apply nor interpret the factors in Texas Occupations Code, Section 2301.801(b) that the Board considers in determining the amount of a civil penalty.

As we heard in Ms. Moriaty's introductory remarks, those factors are: the seriousness of the violation, including the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of any prohibited act, and the harm or potential harm to the safety of the public; two, the economic damage to the public caused by the violation; three, the history of previous violations; four, the amount necessary to deter a future violation; five, efforts to correct the violation; and six, any other matters that justice may require.

I will highlight two of these factors. As to

Factor 1, the seriousness of the violation, the misuse of buyers' temporary tags is a very serious offense because it breaches the trust that the State puts in a dealer when it allows access to the State's Registration and Title System.

As to Factor 6, any other matter that justice may require, Petitioners admitted Exhibit 28 is the disciplinary matrix in use at the time of the violations. The disciplinary matrix is a guide, so dealers know the types of violations and the civil penalty ranges to expect if those violations occur. The disciplinary matrix in effect at the time of the violations recommends a high sanction of \$2,000 per misuse of a buyer temporary tag. Keep in mind that the Texas Occupations Code, Section 2301.801(a) gives authority to assess civil penalties of up to \$10,000 per violation.

This morning, I ask the Board for a strong sanction that falls in the mid-range of the disciplinary matrix in effect at the time of the violations, and that reflects the adoption of the findings which includes Section 2301.801(b) factors. The appropriate sanction is \$1,000 per violation, given the egregiousness of the misuse of tags in such a short period of time, and that the penalty be capped at \$500,000, again, given the egregiousness of the misuse, which was 903 excess buyer

1 temporary tags in a seven-month period. 2 Respondent failed to provide any mitigating evidence at trial to diminish the civil penalty. 3 4 Remember, misuse of buyer temporary tags breaches the 5 trust that the State puts in a GDN holder when it allows 6 that license to access the State's Registration and Title 7 System. In the past, the Department has revoked GDNs of 8 Respondent's, as the ALJ has recommended in this case, and 9 in the past, the Board has ordered civil penalties of 10 \$1,000 per violation. For the aforementioned reasons, in this case, 11 Conclusion of Law 12 should read as follows: Respondent 12 should be assessed a penalty of \$500,000 for issuing 903 13 14 buyer temporary tags without corresponding vehicle sales. 15 Texas Transportation Code, Section 503.038(a)(12); Texas Occupations Code, Section 2301.801(a). 16 17 Thank you for your time and consideration this morning. 18 19 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Lowe. 20 Members, are there questions for Mr. Hernandez 21 or Ms. Lowe at this point? 22 MS. MORIATY: Chairman, if I may. I'm sorry --23 MR. BACARISSE: Oh, yes, Ms. Moriaty? 24 MS. MORIATY: Could you please ask the

representative of the Department if the statements made by

25

Respondent in the beginning were all reflecting 1 2 information that's in the record? I just want to make 3 sure they all aren't going to base their decision on --4 MR. BACARISSE: Right. And I understand there 5 were statements made that were not reflected in the 6 record. 7 Right. MS. MORIATY: 8 MR. BACARISSE: So do you want us -- do you 9 want to pinpoint those? 10 MS. MORIATY: I just was hoping Ms. Lowe --MR. BACARISSE: Is that your desire? 11 12 MS. MORIATY: -- could at least tell y'all 13 which broad areas were not part of the record. 14 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Ms. Lowe, I'll ask, as 15 the Chair, could you point to parts of Mr. Hernandez's testimony that would fall outside of the record? 16 17 Well, for one thing, he stated that MS. LOWE: there were two exhibits admitted into evidence, but if you 18 19 review the transcript, there was only one exhibit admitted 20 into evidence, which was Exhibit 7 that he presented to you this morning. And if you notice on that exhibit, the 21 22 Judge didn't give much weight to that, because it 23 wasn't -- you know, the violations occurring in 2022, and 24 the police report wasn't dated until March 2023. So there

wasn't that much credence given to that.

25

As far as the expert witness testimony, that 1 2 was all impeached by our witness. So he wasn't an IT 3 expert. I think his qualifications were that he helped 4 develop websites and promote businesses, not that he had 5 expertise in the ins and outs of hacking and breaching and 6 those type things. So the Judge didn't give that much 7 weight to that evidence. 8 As far as -- the license was in APA expired 9 status, which grants the license holder the ability to 10 continue doing business. He mentioned the APA letter. Those do go out to dealers so they can -- you know, until 11 12 the Board decides this case today, that license is still, 13 right now -- it's still an active license in APA expired 14 status. So that's a little bit of a change from what he 15 was talking about. 16 Do you have any other specific questions? 17 Sure. MR. BACARISSE: I don't. 18 19 Members, any other questions for Ms. Lowe? 20 Member Jones? 21 MR. JONES: I'm Member Jones. Ms. Lowe, if his 22 license is currently in the APA expired status, that means 23 he has been able to continue to sell cars? 24 MS. LOWE: Yes. 25 MR. JONES: Does that also mean that he has

intended to have access to print the temporary eTAGs?

MS. LOWE: No, because we did file a denial of access to the system, and that went into effect as soon as we filed that, and that was sent out to him. So at that point, there is an ability to potentially obtain the 30-day permits, if you sold a vehicle, but you can't -- he doesn't have access to the eTAG system.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, are there any other questions for Ms. Lowe?

Member Graham?

MR. GRAHAM: So trying to understand some of the testimony on the record regarding that period of time where he -- clearly, there were temporary plates being issued from the account, and there was a necessity to request additional paper plates -- additional plates, and that there was some testimony regarding that the GDN holder might need more for additional locations that were coming? Or then there was some -- one sentence about a statement that his system was hacked.

So could you just provide clarity as to, specifically in the record, those -- that issue? What was -- was there a statement that the system was hacked before the -- you know, during that period -- time frame where they were being issued? Am I making -- do you

understand my question?

MS. LOWE: There wasn't any evidence that the Judge gave credence to. There wasn't any evidence showing that there was any kind of hack. Our system was never hacked, and there was testimony to that effect. The testimony was also that he never contacted the Department.

If he had those suspicions, he would have contacted the Department, asked that he have a new, different login and password to prevent that login and password from being used. But that never happened and there was never any contact with the Department in that regard. The only contact was to ask for more tags.

MR. GRAHAM: And so those requests were granted?

MS. LOWE: No, they weren't, because in the first instance, there was more information requested from Respondent, which Respondent never provided. So then the Respondent re-asked for again more tags, but in a lesser amount. And again, when requested for information to determine whether it was appropriate to give those, the information wasn't fully provided, and so they weren't given again.

MR. GRAHAM: And so this was that period of time where we did not yet have things in place to trigger -- you know, where there was no -- nothing to tell

the system, okay, this is way over the normal use of tags. 1 This was before that occurred. Is that right? 3 I don't remember exactly what date it was that 4 we went in and -- the agency went in and made those 5 modifications. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Member -- Chairman Avitia? 7 MR. AVITIA: Member -- for the record, Daniel 8 Avitia, Executive Director. 9 I don't have the exact date where we put a tag 10 limit in place. I'm not sure if somebody in Enforcement recalls that date. Bryan or Corey [phonetic]? It's been 11 a couple of years. 12 But --13 VOICE: (From audience.) The beginning of '22. 14 MR. AVITIA: Beginning of '22 is what I'm 15 hearing from folks out there. Is that right? 16 MR. GRAHAM: Right in that time frame, I guess. MR. AVITIA: 17 Yeah. MR. GRAHAM: Okay. I'm just trying to get all 18 19 that straight in my head. So --20 MR. AVITIA: Yes, sir. 21 MR. GRAHAM: -- that's the only question I have 22 at this point. 23 MR. BACARISSE: I have a question. I'm not 24 sure to whom it ought to be directed, either Ms. Lowe or 25 Ms. Moriaty. What is a dealer's obligation if they

1	believe that there's been a breach of their system, under
2	either our operational guidelines, code, law? What is the
3	dealer's responsibility to report and sort of try to self-
4	correct the problem?
5	How would you explain that? Or is that in the
6	record? Am I outside the record?
7	MS. LOWE: I can't remember. Lucie Prieto was
8	the witness that spoke to that.
9	MR. BACARISSE: I just don't have the
10	transcript with me, but
11	MS. MORIATY: I don't believe that there's a
12	specific requirement in statute or rules that affect I
13	think that SOAH has described things that seem intuitive,
14	if you were trying to protect your information, but I
15	don't
16	MR. BACARISSE: Sure.
17	MS. MORIATY: believe that we have specific
18	requirements for reporting.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
20	MS. MORIATY: I'll let y'all know if I find out
21	I'm wrong.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
23	Member Omumu? Yes, Member Omumu.
24	MS. OMUMU: Along those lines, is there
25	anything in the system as well maybe this question is

for the agency -- is there anything in the system that would suggest more tags than what you thought you printed, what actually printed on a monthly basis, weekly basis?

Is there anything in the system that kind of shows you where you are, at any given point in time, when the quantity of tags printed?

MS. LOWE: Not --

MR. AVITIA: Chair, if we may? Member Omumu, if we may call Monique Johnston up and she can speak to that question.

MS. OMUMU: Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Sure.

Ms. Johnston, will you come up, please? And of course, state your name and position for the record. Thank you.

MS. JOHNSTON: Hi. Monique Johnston, Director of the Motor Vehicle Division.

Yes. There is the system -- they can log into the system and see how many tags they have remaining and how many they printed. The system also shows on our end what their balances are. And so if someone were to request an increase in tags, we can look at their tag history, as well as the dealer can look at their tag history and see how many they've used and what's been printed.

And it is stated, I think -- we think it's in the rule that it's stated that it's the responsibility of the dealer that they have to -- if they feel like there's been a breach of their eTAG system or of their eLICENSING accounting, they're responsible for changing passwords and making sure that is secure, keeping that secure, if -- whether it be an employee or someone else, or someone hacked into the system, that they make those changes, and then they should notify the Department as well.

MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, if I may? I wanted to clarify for y'all, on page 8 of the PFD, the ALJ recorded that there was testimony from our folks that if a

to clarify for y'all, on page 8 of the PFD, the ALJ recorded that there was testimony from our folks that if a dealer's account has a problem such as hacking, the dealer should immediately request their administrative information changed through the system. She noted that this was not done by Respondent.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

Member Jones, do you have a question?

MR. JONES: Yes. I'm not sure if this question is for Monique or for Ms. Lowe. You had mentioned that we have the ability as dealers to go in there and see that on a weekly, daily, monthly basis.

MS. JOHNSTON: They can see their balance.

MR. JONES: Correct.

MS. JOHNSTON: Yes.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 MR. JONES: I know we do a compliance check once a month to verify that the number of tags we've issued is very similar, if not exact, to the number of car sales that we're reporting.

But when Mr. Hernandez would have called to request additional tags, what type of information would he have been asked to provide in order to increase the number of tags? Is that a report from the Tax Assessor—Collector's office, show them where you've paid your yearly property tax on the number of sales? Or do you know what type of information —

MS. JOHNSTON: Yes.

MR. JONES: -- he would need?

MS. JOHNSTON: Our department -- Monique Johnston, for the record.

Yes. Our department actually are the ones who -- MVD processes those application requests for tag increases. And so when they submit there, we'll do a written report of the tags that they've printed. We'll compare it to their titles to see if -- you know, if it's one-to-one comparison, if there's duplicate tags, and then we will also ask copies of their statements and their sale reports to see if they had a large increase in sales, and if, you know, they have legitimate tag usage, compared to their sales and if they should get an increase in tags.

1	And so that's what the information we look
2	at. So we ask for that information from the dealer before
3	we make a decision. And that was not provided.
4	MR. JONES: But likely, that is what you was
5	asked of Mr. Hernandez
6	MS. JOHNSTON: Correct.
7	MR. JONES: to provide.
8	MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, if I may?
9	MR. BACARISSE: Ms. Moriaty?
10	MS. MORIATY: So on page 8, it says,
11	"Respondent was asked why additional tags were needed, and
12	Respondent indicated that, due to having two locations and
13	potentially a third one coming up, they needed more." He
14	also mentioned the possibility of the system being hacked,
15	and that's when Ms. Prieto noted that it was his
16	responsibility to contact them for new login information.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions
18	of yes, Member Schlosser?
19	MR. SCHLOSSER: Maybe this would be Member
20	Schlosser for the record. Within the system, is there an
21	IP address tracking for each requested tag to see where it
22	was requested from?
23	MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the
24	record.
25	That is out of my technical abilities. I might

1	have to ask IT for that
2	MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, if I may? I'm
3	concerned that that's outside the record.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. No problem.
5	MS. MORIATY: Thank you.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Sorry. We're
7	confined to the record.
8	Members, are there any other questions of Ms.
9	Lowe, Ms. Johnston? Anyone?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, certainly, we want
12	to we would open that Members, any questions for Mr.
13	Hernandez, the Respondent?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. BACARISSE: No? We good?
16	Member Jones has a question for Mr. Hernandez.
17	MR. JONES: Mr. Hernandez
18	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes?
19	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah.
20	MR. JONES: Member Jones.
21	Mr. Hernandez, did you have anyone that was
22	appointed at your dealership to have the responsibility of
23	making sure that the number of tags that the system was
24	issuing under your GDN number was similar or exact of what
25	you were actually doing in retail?

MR. HERNANDEZ: To be honest, we've been doing this for -- before doing a car dealership, I was a teacher for eight years, and we've been doing this since 2010.

That was my first dealership. Then I opened T. C. Auto Finance, 2012.

We have never had these issues with these paper plates. I heard, 2021, they would set a limit. When the system triggered, one of my clerk -- I don't really work in the office.

There are two ladies there. One has been working since 2014, and the other one was working since 2020. We never had a problem with paper tags in the past.

That wasn't something in my mind to see, oh, they're hacking my account. Right? We never had a problem. It's my first time that I have a problem with somebody stealing the information from the system or is stealing vehicles from the auctions.

Paper tag wasn't in my mind at all. I stay off-site, fixing the vehicles, buying the vehicles, going to the auction, and I'm so busy, busy, work. To be honest, the ladies that are there even right now, I -- they are the ones that control everything. And what they would come and tell me is people have called me for paper tags very often.

But I say, well, this -- you know what's going

on with the paper tags? They would call. They would say, no. And then they still call up to now, because they can get the phone number from the Google app.

If there was something I knew it would happen, of course I would do what is supposed to be, but this is something that -- it was unexpected. You know, you think of a prank. No, but it was a prank to happen. It's something that you're not thinking it was going to happen.

It just happened. Before conducting the hearing, the -- we did a phone call, a conference call with the Department and my attorney, because at the end, they basically forced me to get an attorney to hire, an attorney for two years. He really wanted me to accept the offers the Department was doing to me. Before the hearing, they did pretty much sweeten the offer to do this.

I told them the same thing. It's nice. Thank you so much, but accepting any offer is the same as accepting that I did it.

And we know, for two and a half years, that we have done -- we found people. We have -- we found paper tags. We found the -- you can go right now, search venta de platas de papel, which are -- it's not that -- it's there, still today.

We knew somebody hacked the account. The tech

company that we hired, he -- the person who testified, he has many workers. He testified that they -- that he is not the certified person, but the people who work for him are. So the ones that did the assessment was the people that -- and it's on record.

I'm not -- you can go back --

MR. JONES: There was no specified complaints, manager or anyone who was routinely checking the account. Right?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Not really, because the ladies at the office, they -- all they do, whenever we sell a vehicle -- just go and print the plates.

MR. JONES: Okay.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Whenever we have -- we had -- back in June, we had a knowledge from the system -- hey, this happened. What I thought at the moment -- I thought that, oh, they were going to set up the limit. So we sell anywhere from 300 to 400 plates. We probably get into the limit now.

Something else that I would like to say. At the beginning, the -- our decision was for 1,332 paper plates. It wasn't for 900, 1,000 -- 900 paper plates. I don't know. I don't control the system.

Ever since I got that notice, that notice wasn't only a notice. It was a sentence at the same time.

We got blocked from everything. We didn't have a chance 1 2 to go back. 3 But the first notice -- and you can go on the system -- it was for 1,332. I don't know how they came 4 5 from 1,332 back to 900 plates. I don't control that. 6 I'm saying, I think the process has been 7 somehow a little unfair, you know, going against somebody 8 on the other team that is ref and player at the same time. 9 That's the way it is. At the end, they control 10 everything. Thirty-two pieces of evidence were uploaded to 11 the hearing. There were only two taken by the Judge, and 12 13 then it's only one today. The assessment is not there. 14 But you can go to the hearing and you can see the 15 testimony from the person, and the assessment was also 16 there too. 17 MR. BACARISSE: Also --MR. HERNANDEZ: So --18 19 MR. BACARISSE: -- thank you, Mr. Hernandez. 20 MR. HERNANDEZ: No problem. 21 MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions 22 for Mr. Hernandez on this? 23 Member Graham? 24 MR. GRAHAM: And I'm going to ask Counsel to 25 walk through me here, very closely, to make sure this

would be in the record, and I will not know what his 1 2 response will be, whether it will be in the record or out 3 of the record. But my question would be, clearly no new -- you needed additional tags in early '22, somewhere between January and July '22. That is the point at which, if I understand, you requested additional tags? MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, yes, yeah. MR. GRAHAM: And it's also my understanding, 9

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

based on the testimony, that at that time, you made the statement that it was either -- you needed more tags, because either you were going to have additional locations or maybe your account was hacked. Is that correct?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, yes, yes, yes.

MR. GRAHAM: And then it's also my understanding, based on the testimony in the record, that you didn't take any action to address the potential hacking until sometime in early 2023. Would that be accurate?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Well, at that moment, I requested the plates, but at that moment I didn't think there was actual hacking. We went around the office to ask the people there if they had something to do with the plates? They said, no, everything is fine; everything is good.

I requested more plates because, at that

moment, I was somehow ignorant what was going -- or what's going on. One, we received the notice from the departmental decision, that it took me months to understand, months, not -- it wasn't overnight. It took me months to understand. We found out, these -- few months later.

We didn't know where to start. It was something new. So it took me months to understand everything, and looking for somebody that you cannot see was really hard. So this person who called us and testified what was going on online -- there was a store online that is still today -- you can research right now.

That was the main point that we started with. We started from there. It was March 2023.

If I knew in 2022, I probably won't be -wouldn't be here. I've been waiting for two and a half
years. I waived many offers they made, because I know by
a fact that we didn't do it.

So it's probably our fault that we didn't check. We wasn't expecting it, but it's also the system.

We had the system. We didn't make up the system.

The system had some problems. They could do this. I'm not saying it's Texas DMV's fault. If you're saying -- there was a way that they could do it and they're still doing it.

We also have paper plates from other dealers that are being sold. And the people come to our office to print them, to laminate them. So we have proof of that.

And they -- the Department also had them, with new dealerships.

So they're still hacking other people that -- and I knew -- I know now that there is a new law about this and new paper -- and new plates are going on. The reason why, the problem was because the other -- the old system had some problems.

And I believe not everybody is guilty all the time. Not everybody is at fault. So if the system failed and there's a new system in place now, I think it shouldn't be the responsibility for one person when something happened, but the whole system failed somehow.

So the new system is -- and the old system is not good anymore, so we're going to replace it. And I think that the benefit of the doubt should be given to T. C. Auto Finance.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions?

Ms. Moriaty, I see you looking at me.

MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, I phoned a friend and found a rule that's exactly applicable to the duties of a dealer under these circumstances.

MR. BACARISSE: And would you explain the 1 2 background of where this --3 MS. MORIATY: Absolutely. So this rule is in 4 43 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 215, Section 150, 5 215.150, and we are in (d). "A dealer" -- excuse me -- "a 6 dealer or convertor is responsible for all use of and 7 access to the applicable temporary tag database under the 8 dealer or convertor's account, including access by any 9 user or unauthorized person. Dealer and convertor duties 10 include monitoring temporary tag usage, managing account access, and taking timely and appropriation actions to 11 12 maintain system security, including" -- and then it lists 13 five factors such as establishing passwords, keeping 14 unauthorized users out, et cetera, et cetera. 15 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. 16 Members, any other questions for Mr. Hernandez? 17 (No response.) MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, the Chair would 18 19 call for a motion, if one is coming forward from the Members. 20 Member Jones? 21 22 MR. JONES: Member Jones. Yes, sir. 23 Chairman, I would move that the Board adopt Findings of 24 Fact Nos. 1 through 6 and Conclusions of Law No. 1 through 25 11 and No. 13 from the Proposal for Decision, as amended

1 by the Administrative Law Judge's exception letter, dated 2 January 3, 2025. 3 I further move that the Board modify Conclusion of Law No. 12 to read as follows, Respondent should be 4 5 assessed a penalty of \$500,000 for issuing 903 buyers' 6 temporary tags without corresponding vehicle sales tax. 7 MR. BACARISSE: Is there a second for this motion? 8 9 I second, Mr. Chairman. MS. OMUMU: 10 MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu seconds. MS. MORIATY: I'm sorry, sir. He has to 11 explain his reasoning, I'm afraid. 12 13 MR. BACARISSE: Oh, okay. 14 MS. MORIATY: So he's got a bit more. 15 MR. BACARISSE: Backing up, sorry. Hold that a 16 second. Sorry. 17 This amendment is necessary because MR. JONES: the Administrative Law Judge improperly applied or 18 19 interpreted Texas Transportation Code 503.095 and Occupations Code 2301.801, and the factors specified in 20 the Department's disciplinary matrix when she assessed a 21 22 penalty of \$100 per inappropriately issued temporary tag, 23 for a total penalty of \$90,300. 24 To determine the appropriate penalty for misuse 25 of temporary tags, the Board looks to factors in

Occupations Code 2301.801, including the seriousness of the violation, the history of previous violations, and the amount necessary to deter future violation. Misuse of temporary buyer's tags is a very serious offense, terrible breach of the trust that the State puts in the GDN holder when it allows a licensee access to the State's temporary tag system.

Finding of Fact No. 2 shows that Respondent's misuse was particularly serious because Respondent issued temporary tags for vehicles that Respondent had not sold and improperly issued six times more temporary tags than the number of vehicles Respondent actually sold. A strong sanction is necessary to create a sufficient deterrent to Respondent and others like them who are tempted to misuse temporary tags.

Respondent has shown that they are not easily deterred through their two prior disciplinary orders described in the Finding of Fact No. 3, including one that involved temporary tags. The ALJ's recommendation sanction is not sufficient to address these concerns. Penalty of 500,000 would offer more deterrent value and would better reflect the seriousness of this violation.

Conclusion of Law No. 12 must therefore be changed to state that the Respondent should be assessed a penalty for 500,000 for issuing 903 buyers' temporary tags

without corresponding vehicle sales. Based on the
findings of fact and conclusions of law with these
modifications, I move that the Board revoke the
Respondent's GDN license and issue a penalty of \$500,000.

MR. BACARISSE: My apologies for interrupting
earlier. Thank you, Member Jones.

Is there a second to this full motion which has

Is there a second to this full motion which has now been heard?

MS. OMUMU: I second, Mr. Chairman.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu is the second. Is there -- and Board Member Jones, as the maker of this motion, would you want to say anything further in deliberation here, or do we just want to pass it forward?

MR. JONES: Well, I think that, you know, one of the reasons that we are facing a major overhaul, House Bill 718, that costs taxpayers millions of dollars and a lot of headache and work for this Department --

MR. BACARISSE: Yeah.

MR. JONES: -- are due to cases that are like this. If we didn't have the abuse of the system, if we didn't have dealers that were doing that, then I don't think we would have had to go through anything to do with House Bill 718. So although we could fine up to \$1,000 per violation, which would be almost a million dollars, I think somewhere in the middle there. I think 100 is too

light, so that's why. 1 MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions on 2 this motion? 3 4 MS. OMUMU: I have just a comment, Mr. 5 Chairman --6 MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu? 7 MS. OMUMU: So Mr. Hernandez, you did state 8 that not everyone is quilty. You are correct, but as the 9 GDN holder, you are responsible as executive -- or General 10 Counsel Moriaty stated. So you are responsible for the use of that eTAG system. 11 12 So you may not be quilty, but you are responsible. And I think that's why the motion was made. 13 14 MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other question on 15 the motion. 16 MR. GRAHAM: I have a comment. MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham? 17 MR. GRAHAM: I just want to say that I can't 18 19 support this motion simply not because I don't think that 20 there was not temp tag abuse. I think -- well, there was 21 either temp tag abuse or there was gross mismanagement, 22 one or the other, and either way, that is a compelling 23 reason why this GDN holder should lose his license. I do believe that the removal of his license is 24 25

the greatest penalty and severest penalty that a dealer

1	can have, and it just feels like throwing a half million
2	dollars in there is just kind of piling on. I would be
3	supportive of the ALJ's recommendation for that reason.
4	But that's I just wanted to explain my
5	position. So thank you.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Do you wish to make a friendly
7	amendment?
8	MR. GRAHAM: I do not. Only if this motion
9	fails, I would be prepared to make another, but
10	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
11	MR. GRAHAM: I'm sure we need to take a
12	vote.
13	MR. BACARISSE: All right.
14	Any further discussion, Members, on this item?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I will call the
17	roll for a vote, please. When I call your name, please
18	state your vote.
19	Member Alvarado?
20	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
22	MR. GRAHAM: Nay.
23	MR. BACARISSE: Member Jones?
24	MR. JONES: Aye.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Member Vice Chair McRae?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. McRAE: Aye.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
3	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
5	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Member Schlosser?
7	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Jim Bacarisse, vote aye,
9	as well. It is seven to one. Okay. Thank you.
10	There's seven votes for it and one against.
11	Okay, great. The motion passes.
12	We're going to move on now to Agenda Item No.
13	6, which is Specialty Plate Design. And since I work for
14	Houston Christian University as Vice President of Major
15	Gifts and HCU has one of its Specialty License Plates up
16	for consideration today, in an abundance of caution and
17	good judgment, I will recuse myself from deliberating and
18	voting on Agenda Item No. 6.
19	I will now turn this meeting over to Vice Chair
20	McRae to preside over Agenda Item No. 6.
21	Vice Chair McRae, thank you.
22	MS. McRAE: Thank you, Chairman Bacarisse. We
23	will continue with Agenda Item No. 6, and I will turn this
24	over to Tricia Ueckert.

MS. UECKERT: Good morning. Good morning,

25

Members, Executive Director Avitia.

My name is Tricia Ueckert. I am the Operations
Director in the Vehicle Titles & Registration Division.
This is Agenda Item 6, which can be found on page 64 of your Board books.

The action item before you is a request for Board approval or denial of three specialty plate designs in accordance with the Board's statutory authority. The following plate designs are from My Plates, the State's Specialty License Plates marketing vendor, and were posted for public comment in March 2025.

The first plate is Sigma Gamma Rho, which is a new plate design. It's proposed under Transportation Code 504.851. 938 people liked this design and 192 did not.

Next is Ducks Unlimited, which is a crossover plate design. It's proposed under Transportation Code 504.851 and 504.6011. 223 people liked this design and 154 did not.

And last, we have Houston Christian University, which is a new plate design. It's proposed under Transportation Code 504.851. 194 people liked this design and 120 did not.

This concludes my presentation on this action item, and I'm available to take any questions you may have.

1	MS. McRAE: Okay. Board, do we have any
2	questions for Ms. Ueckert?
3	MR. GRAHAM: I do.
4	MS. McRAE: Okay.
5	MR. GRAHAM: Could I just see the Sigma Gamma
6	Rho?
7	(General laughter.)
8	MS. McRAE: Thank you, Member Graham.
9	MR. GRAHAM: People love that. People love
10	seeing the new plates. It makes them excited.
11	MS. McRAE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ueckert.
12	Laura, do we have any public comment?
13	MS. MORIATY: No, ma'am, we do not.
14	MS. McRAE: Okay. Seeing as we have no public
15	comment, I will now entertain a motion on Agenda Item No.
16	6.
17	MR. PREWITT: Ms. Vice Chairman, I move that
18	the Board approve the specialty plate designs, as
19	presented by staff.
20	MS. McRAE: Okay. Do we have a second?
21	MR. GRAHAM: Second.
22	MS. McRAE: We have a motion and a second. Any
23	further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. McRAE: Okay. I will now call for the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	vote. Board Members, as I call your name, please state
2	your vote for the record.
3	Board Member Alvarado?
4	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
5	MS. McRAE: Board Member Graham?
6	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
7	MS. McRAE: Board Member Jones?
8	MR. JONES: Aye.
9	MS. McRAE: Board Member Omumu?
10	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
11	MS. McRAE: Board Member Prewitt?
12	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
13	MS. McRAE: Board Member Schlosser?
14	MR. SCHLOSSER: Aye.
15	MS. McRAE: And I, Vice Chairman McRae, also
16	vote aye. Let the record reflect that there are seven
17	votes for and zero votes against, and let the record also
18	reflect that Chairman Bacarisse recused himself from
19	deliberating and voting on this item.
20	I'll now turn the meeting back over to Chairman
21	Bacarisse.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Outstanding, dogs up.
23	(General laughter.)
24	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you all very much, and
25	thank you, Vice Chairman McRae. Great job.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Agenda Item No. 7 is a briefing only, and we have a lot going on in Projects and Operations. That is for sure. So I would love to turn this over to Committee Chair John Prewitt, and he will give us a summary of the Projects and Operations Committee meeting that was held yesterday afternoon. If members have questions on any of the items in the Projects and Operations Committee update, I will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask the staff to please come up to the podium to answer any questions of the Board.

So Member Prewitt, the floor is yours.

MR. PREWITT: Thank you, Chairman. Although I did not attend the Evelyn Wood School of speed reading, I will try to get through this as quickly as possible.

(General laughter.)

MR. BACARISSE: Take your time.

MR. PREWITT: Yesterday, Vice Chair McRae, Members Alvarado, Schlosser and I participated in the Projects and Operations Committee meeting and received briefings from the Department staff. There were no action items on the agenda.

Agenda Item 7.A., Enterprise Projects, 7.A.i., Camp Hubbard Renewal Project, which is a briefing only. Glenna Bowman, the Department's Director of Finance and Operations, briefed the Committee on the most recent

activities related to Camp Hubbard Renewal Project.

The Texas Public Finance Authority issued the first disbursement of project funds on March 13, 2025, in the amount of \$8,945,000. Construction is 60 percent complete, as of the end of March. Renovations in CH 6 are underway, with wall framing and rough-in work for mechanical and electrical now in process.

The estimated completion for all CH 6 work is early fall 2025. FlintCo Construction has completed the demolition of Buildings CH 2, CH 3, and CH 5, and the new building foundations preparation work is currently scheduled to begin in April 2025.

We have some drone footage to share, so you can see a aerial view of the campus, post-demolition, and it is quite different, if you'll look. I don't have any color commentary --

(General laughter.)

MR. PREWITT: -- on this. We were blessed to have that from Glenna yesterday. But as you can see, quite a big of progress has been made on the demolition. The most stunning change is, there's no more cafeteria.

(General laughter.)

MR. PREWITT: Okay. But with the cafeterias gone, the other buildings that have been demolished as well, and we're in fast-forward speed, with the contractor

doing a great job.

Ms. Bowman is here to answer any questions. Are there any from the Board?

(No response.)

MR. PREWITT: Okay. Seeing none, let's move on. Agenda 7.A.ii, HB 718 Implementation. Roland Luna, Jr., the Department's Deputy Executive Director, provided an update on House Bill 718 Implementation.

The update provided a status on technological enhancements, training and outreach to stakeholders. And implementation efforts are on track to achieve integration and deployment of the Inventory Management System. Also enhancements to TxDMV systems, data management and system testing to ensure access and functionality for stakeholders is also on track.

A comprehensive cross-agency training plan was developed to ensure proper implementation of the new processes associated with HB 718. And the plan includes six core training topics, which include informational sessions, webDEALER System, and training requirements, metal plate processes, inventory management, process changes, and law enforcement.

Training has been provided to stakeholders by several divisions, and staff members increased the number of training opportunities, which enhances the reach for

wider range of internal learners around these topics. 1 2 Training content is currently in the final states of 3 development for metal plate processes. 4 Inventory management system training in metal 5 plate changes for law enforcement. There have been a 6 total of 105 webDEALER training sessions between February 7 2024 and February 2025. Mr. Luna is here to answer any 8 and all questions you might have. 9 Dead silence. So --10 (General laughter.) MR. PREWITT: Oh, there is one? Mark, yes, 11 Yes, Member? sir? 12 MR. BACARISSE: Go ahead, Member Jones. 13 14 MR. JONES: Member Jones. Question for the --15 Mr. Luna. Do you know, at this point, what percentage of 16 dealers that are licensed have completed the webDEALER 17 required training? MR. LUNA: Roland Luna, Deputy Executive 18 19 Director, TxDMV. 20 Member Jones, there is approximately 6,000 to 8,000 that are remaining to be trained. Yesterday, during 21 22 the presentation, we talked about the number of 23 individuals that have been trained, which exceeds 20,000 now. That number is inclusive of both dealers and tax 24

25

assessor-collectors.

We have just a handful of tax assessorcollector offices that need to be trained. But the
numbers that we provided yesterday for dealers in
particular do not reflect all of the numbers for the month
of April. And while we're only on the 10th of April, we
had one training event during this month where there were
about 950 participants, in-person, that not only took
webDEALER training, but signed up for webDEALER with the
county and also set up their ACH.

In addition to that, we've also had a number of online webinars. We've had some self-paced completions as well, and those numbers are still being compiled. So we do anticipate that that number will continue to grow.

One of the other statistics that I think is really important is, over the last two weeks, we've seen a 200 percent increase in webDEALER trainings from dealers.

So -- which means that dealers are really eager to complete their training in preparation for July 1.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

MR. LUNA: Yes, sir.

MR. BACARISSE: I think the large event Mr. Luna was referring to was in San Antonio. It was organized by the Bexar County Tax Assessor. Is that right?

MR. LUNA: Yes, sir. Last week, Bexar County
Tax Assessor-Collector Albert Uresti, in conjunction with
the Department of Motor Vehicles, as well as TIADA and
TADA, were also sponsors that held a training event at the
HemisFair Arena.

And at that event, there were tax assessor-collectors from the adjacent counties, as well as DMV staff, and there were full-service deputies that attended as well. Over 900 people in person that provided -- that received the training. We provided a 718 overview. We provided a webDEALER training, and then we also had dealers that were signing up with the tax assessor-collector for webDEALER.

It was a great event. We answered hundreds of questions. There were so many dealers there. It was a great event.

It was one of the best-organized events. And when you have a high volume of people that way, it can be difficult to answer questions and to make sure that people feel comfortable with the material. Because there were so many people there, we broke it up into different lines where dealers can just stand in line and ask their questions, and we did not leave until we answered every question from every dealer.

We took questions for over two hours. There

were Enforcement staff there. VTR staff participated as 1 2 well, and it was a great event. 3 MR. JONES: Well, thank you for supporting the 4 dealers. A lot of the smaller dealers are just now acting 5 like they're hearing about this, so I appreciate being 6 able to get the resources out there to give them an 7 opportunity to follow the rules and do the right thing. 8 MR. LUNA: Yes, sir. 9 MR. BACARISSE: I think it's a -- you know, as 10 you know, Member Jones, whenever you run a business, there's 9,000 things happening at the same time. And now 11 you realize, oh, my goodness, my world's about to change, 12 13 you know. So there are folks saying, oh, that's great. 14 I'm just curious. Member McRae, I know you --15 I want to recognize you for a question. But I want to ask 16 a question generally. At the upcoming TACA conference 17 this summer, will there be training opportunities available at that conference for dealers? 18 Well, at the conference, we don't 19 MS. McRAE: 20 usually have dealers attend our conference --21 MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. 22 MS. McRAE: -- but I was going to make a 23 public -- make a comment. I'm sorry. Not a public 24 comment.

I quess it is public, but we, as tax

25

assessors -- many of us have been handing out literature. 1 2 We've been emailing. We've been calling. We've been 3 picking up the phone and calling. We've been receiving lists regularly from DMV. 4 5 That's been very helpful for us to filter and to target 6 our dealers that have not yet signed up. And we are --7 we're doing all that we can to try to help our dealers get 8 this information, point them to the trainings. 9 I know I put -- we regularly put on our 10 Facebook page, and hope that our dealers were following this, our local dealers -- but putting out there the 11 12 trainings that DMV is offering. I know that Shay, our 13 president, puts out on our listserv for the Tax 14 Assessor-Collectors Association -- he gives -- hand-walks 15 them through the process. He gives some literature that 16 they can copy and put on their websites and that they 17 could hand out to their dealers. So we've all kind of joined forces. And it's 18 19 been a collaborative effort trying to get the word out to our local dealers. 20 21 Thank you for that. MR. BACARISSE: 22 Members, are there any other questions for Mr.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Well, I will tell you that I

Luna on this particular point? There's more to come,

MR. PREWITT:

23

24

25

but --

don't use the adjective "humongous" very often, but what Roland and his team are undertaking is humongous. And hats off to the Tax Assessor-Collectors being such a vital teammate all that, helping, but it's just been -- it's been just magical, seeing it happening.

Kudos to the team for turning on a dime when we went from paper back to metal, and it's just -- it's fun to watch. And I'm glad I'm not putting those 80-hour work weeks in myself.

(General laughter.)

MR. PREWITT: All right. Let's move on to

Agenda Item 7.A.iii, RSC Expansion, Regional Service

Center Expansions, Moves, and Renovations. Roland Luna

also presented on this yesterday and provided an update on
the expansions, as follows.

Number one, South Dallas expansion, which is at 562 East Wheatland Road in Duncanville, Texas. The timeline on this is October 17. The Texas Facilities

Commission approved the lease on November 1, '24. The construction was started in December '24.

The DMV Executive Team visited the site. The estimated completion actually is now.

Executive Director Avitia, I guess I can confirm this?

MR. AVITIA: I'm sorry. Say that --

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. PREWITT: That the completion of this, the 1 2 construction is completed on Duncanville? 3 MR. AVITIA: In two months. 4 MR. PREWITT: Two months? Okay. 5 MR. AVITIA: Yes. 6 MR. PREWITT: Two months' time. So we're on 7 the verge of getting that done. 8 The South Houston expansion is going to be --9 this is the next one. It's on 11720 West Airport 10 Boulevard in Meadows Place, which is next to Stafford in Houston, for those of you from that area. The timeline on 11 that is basically October '24. TFC Commission approved 12 the lease mid-'24. 13 14 Executive Team visited the potential site. 15 We've just started construction on the site, and should be 16 completed, hopefully, by July '25. 17 And then finally, the Pharr relocation, which is on 611 North Cage Boulevard, Pharr, Texas. The TxDOT 18 19 awarded to Assisted Building Solutions a contract. This 20 is where TxDOT is giving us the property and they're 21 building the facility for us. 22 And then the construction is -- due date -- the 23 construction is starting somewhere -- I guess we're 24 started around March, and then should be completed, I

quess, in August/September time frame of '25. Is that --

25

MR. AVITIA: Yes, sir. That's correct.

MR. PREWITT: Okay. So -- and then on the other items, the Long Range Facilities Plan.

Background findings, the Long Range Facilities

Plan was compiled pursuant to Rider 12. The Department's

2024-2025 biannual budget is detailed in House Bill 1,

General Appropriations Act, 88th Texas Legislature Regular

Session. Based on an in-depth analysis of selected

markets, it was determined that leasing a site is

47 percent more cost-effective than buying, and 59 more -
59 percent more effective than building RSC facilities.

As such, TxDMV will continue to leverage commercially leased property space to operate RSC facilities that are not already located on TxDOT or TxDMV properties. It's important to note that, in this analysis, 10 offices were excluded. Eight RSC offices are located on TxDOT property, where the Department has no plans to relocate, due to the minimal lease cost at the locations for Abilene, Amarillo, Austin, Beaumont, Longview, Lubbock, Odessa, and Wichita Falls.

The San Antonio office was excluded because it was recently -- a recent relocation. It was leased through 2034. And then Corpus Christi office was excluded. Leasing is more economical for smaller office space, with only five staff members. We have a new

1	market, one new market being considered, which is Laredo,		
2	with a projected lease cost of \$634,000.		
3	Roland is here also to answer questions on		
4	this. So if there are any?		
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Bacarisse. I'm just		
6	curious. What are the terms of the lease? How many years		
7	are we going to be do you know, off the top of your		
8	heads, in those facilities?		
9	MR. AVITIA: Chairman, is there a specific		
10	facility that you are asking about?		
11	MR. BACARISSE: Oh, just curious about the one		
12	that is to be done down in South Texas. Member Jones		
13	MR. AVITIA: Oh, okay.		
14	MR. BACARISSE: just mentioned		
15	MR. AVITIA: Got you. The so, that's the		
16	new market area that we're looking		
17	MR. BACARISSE: Right.		
18	MR. AVITIA: at, Laredo. So all of that is		
19	still to be determined.		
20	MR. BACARISSE: Still to be determined?		
21	MR. AVITIA: Yes, sir.		
22	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you.		
23	MR. PREWITT: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I'll if I		
24	may continue?		
25	MR. BACARISSE: Please. Thank you.		

MR. PREWITT: Agenda Item 7.A. -- 7.B. -pardon me -- Technology Projects. This is Agenda Item
7.B.i., Registration and Title System (RTS) Replacement
and Ecosystem Modernization. Wendy Barron, the
Department's Chief Information Officer, provided an update
on the RTS Replacement and Ecosystem Modernization
Project.

The update included a description of the current project activities. This also falls under the category, humongous. To date, software updates to existing systems are in progress to ensure continued functionality and system optimization.

The internal assessment, led by Deloitte, has been completed, evaluating the current state, providing a phased implementation plan for system replacement. The external assessment, conducted by Gartner, is complete and findings are now informing the planning of site visits to other states to learn from their modernizations' efforts.

Those other states include Georgia, Arizona and California. And basically, following the idea that one state's doing off-the-shelf software, one is inventing its own, and the third, Georgia, was -- I'm trying to remember. There was -- one was doing its own. One is buying it off the shelf, and the third was sort of a hybrid, I believe.

But that's the reason those three states were chosen, specifically for that. And so efforts are underway to basically reach out to those states and meet with them.

Working on a quality assurance team.

Deliverables is ongoing with business case and project

Deliverables is ongoing with business case and project justification submitted as part of the Legislative Appropriations Request. Organizational change management efforts are underway with a dedicated full-time employee and upcoming vendor support. And then the Procurement Assistance Vendor Solicitation being developed, with plans to release in early summer.

Ms. Barron is here to answer any questions on this item. Is Wendy here this morning?

MR. BACARISSE: Yeah, she sure is.

MR. PREWITT: There she is. Okay. She's hiding behind the column. Very good.

If not, then let's move on to the next one,
Agenda Item 7.B.ii. of the projects. Wendy Barron, the
Department's Chief Information Officer, also provided
updates on the Voice to Cloud and Motor Carrier
Credentialing System Rewrite Projects.

For the Voice to Cloud Project, the Genesys
Call Center successfully went live on March 25, 2025.
Teams calling will be implemented over several days,

starting the week of April 8, 2025. And the overall health of the project is great, which is good, with the end date scheduled again for April, this month.

For the MCCS Rewrite Project, the vendor has been solicited and the project is progressing rapidly. Discovering and analysis are complete, with deliverable received. And requirement gathering is ongoing, and the requirements traceability matrix, RTM, and wireframes are being completed.

A kickoff meeting with Rackspace took place on March 14, and the project's overall health is yellow, due to delays in the solicitation process, but it remains within scope and budget. The schedule will be rebaselined once the vendor's timeline is integrated.

Ms. Barron is available also for questions on this.

(No response.)

MR. PREWITT: Seeing none, let's move on.

7.C., Payment Processing Transition. Wendy Barron, the

Department's Chief Information Officer, also provided an

update on the Texas.gov Payment Processing Transition.

In April 2024, the Department of Information Resources announced that First Data Merchant Services would take over the payment processing services from NIC/Tyler Technologies, effective September 1, 2025.

TxDMV began working with DIR and FDMS in May 1 2 2024 to create a plan for transitioning 558 unique service codes used for online payments across TxDMV services. 3 4 However, in late February, DIR informed TxDMV that their 5 vendor could not meet the required deadlines, causing 6 rework by the Department staff on the March release. 7 Shortly after, DIR extended NIC/Tyler Technologies' 8 contract until 8/31/26. 9 As a result, TxDMV decided to pause the project 10 until September '25. However, the My Plates, TxFLEET and 11 GovQA applications will continue with a revised schedule. 12 A new timeline for all of the applications will be 13 developed later this summer, with DIR's help. 14 Wendy is also available to answer questions on 15 this. 16 (No response.) 17 MR. PREWITT: Given none, then let's move on to 7.D. 18 19 7.D., Accounts Receivable Project. We are 20 closer to the end. Eric Horn, the Department's Director of Accounting, provided an update on the Accounts 21 22 Receivable, A/R, System Project. 23 The Department received \$3.5 million in funding 24 through House Bill 2 of the 87th Legislative Session to

deploy this system. In February 2022, TxDMV contracted

with Gartner, Inc. to assess the current process and recommend suitable software. After issuing an RFI, TxDMV selected Microsoft Dynamics 365 Business Central for the A/R system.

A kickoff meeting took place on March 18, 2024.

However, delays occurred due to infrastructure issues with the Microsoft Azure. To resolve this, TxDMV is working with Rackspace, DIR's public cloud management vendor.

The project is set for two releases. The first is October 2025 for customers with outstanding balances, and the second is April 2026 for a fully integrated system.

This is a fantastic project. When I started here with DMV a number of years ago, all of our A/R processes were spread out throughout the entire agency. And I think, as Executive Director Avitia would attest, it was complex. And we're --

MR. AVITIA: Just a little, sir.

MR. PREWITT: -- and we are working to make that much more consolidated, much more easy to operate.

So thank you, Eric, and your staff for all the work you're doing on that.

Mr. Chairman, this concludes my Committee report for Projects and Operations.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Prewitt. 1 Glad to see you are busy. That's good. A lot happening. 2 3 Agenda Item No. 8 -- are there any questions 4 before we leave Agenda Item No. 7, Members? 5 (No response.) 6 MR. BACARISSE: You good? Okay. Agenda Item 7 No. 8 is Legislative and Public Affairs Update. And our 8 man at the Capitol, Keith Yawn, will brief us on all the 9 exciting things happening. 10 Good morning, Mr. Yawn. MR. YAWN: Good morning. Keith Yawn, Director 11 12 of the Department's Government and Strategic Communications Division. I will be presenting Agenda Item 13 14 8.A., a briefing on notable developments during the 15 current legislative session. I also want to note that our Chief Financial 16 17 Officer, Glenna Bowman, will be presenting updates on the legislative budget process and the status of Department 18 19 appropriations requests following my remarks. So I will 20 not be commenting on those items. 21 The 89th Legislative Session began on 22 January 14, and will meet until June 2. And as you 23 probably have been following in the media, it has been 24 very busy and interesting times. We had -- as you will

recall from our preparation over the preceding year in

advance of the session, we had taken an approach of trying to have a policy-light session this year, after several sessions of very heavy legislation.

That's a subjective determination on how we succeeded, but I will say, it does not feel like this is a policy-light session. Legislators have filed more than 10,000 pieces of legislation. And Department staff is tracking 575 bills with potential impact on our operations.

We've completed analysis of more than 290 pieces of legislation and submitted fiscal impact estimates, as of this morning, of 63 bills. We are also working with numerous legislative offices to provide expert resource assistance on bill language development, explain current Department operations and legal structures, and provide resource testimony during committee hearings.

This work is accomplished through the efforts of staff across the Department. This work is in addition to their regular, daily activities, meeting the needs of our customers and stakeholders throughout the state. And I would like to take a moment to express my appreciation for the hard work of everyone who has aided in this work and will continue to do so over the next two months.

Of the total bills we're tracking, 10 implement

the recommendations adopted by the Board in August, 179 require changes to core Motor Vehicle programs, 243 adjust Department administrative functions, such as open records, rulemaking and HR processes, and 19 authorize the issuance of new or redesigned specialty license plates like you considered this morning.

Three bills that include the Board's adopted recommendations have already been voted on in the Senate. The first is Senate Bill 1365, which contains four recommendations related to the improvement of registration processes. The bill ensures statutory authority for two-year new vehicle registrations and the continued collection of the electric vehicle fee, which was unintentionally impacted by the passage of House Bill 3297 last session.

It adds denials and revocations of vehicle registrations to a list of exceptions to contested case requirements to expediate enforcement of identified fraudulent vehicle activities, clarifies that the new dealer temporary plate fee is applied only once upon original issuance of the plate, and adds obtaining or using a fraudulent vehicle emissions inspection report to the list of reasons to deny dealers access to the license plate database. The bill was voted out of the Senate Transportation Committee without amendment on April 2.

Senate Bill 2243 provides authorization for the Board to define additional VIN inspection requirements by rule. This bill was out of Senate Transportation

Committee without amendment also on April 2.

And the third is Senate Bill 2246, which would allow the use of auction sales receipts as proof of ownership for certain vehicle transfers. This bill was voted out of Senate Transportation Committee without amendment just yesterday.

Legislation to enact other Board recommendations will hopefully receive committee hearings in both chambers in the coming weeks. Just as you were beginning your meeting this morning, we received notification that Senate Bill 2705, which makes changes to farm -- clarifications to farm trailer registration requirements, was just set for a hearing next week.

Several bills that would require significant

Department effort to implement or that make material

changes to motor vehicle policy are also moving through

the initial steps of the legislative process. Senate Bill

1902 makes changes to the movement of license plates

previously established by House Bill 718 last session.

The changes would allow dealers more flexibility in the

use or destruction of Texas plates already assigned to

vehicles entering their inventory, instead of requiring

the plates to remain with the same vehicle.

The bill would also require the seller to remove plates -- their vehicle plates upon delivery to the buyer in a person-to-person sale. The bill was voted out of the Senate -- off the Senate floor without amendment on March 19. It is currently awaiting referral to a committee on the -- in the House.

Senate Bill 2425 establishes a regulatory process for TxDMV to issue autonomous vehicle owners an authorization to operate vehicles on Texas roads for commercial purposes. The bill sets application requirements to obtain an authorization and enforcement processes defining when and how authorization can be revoked. The bill was voted out of the Senate Transportation Committee without amendment on April 2.

And finally, House Bill 1607 allows a person to obtain authorization to not use the front license plate on their vehicle if the vehicle does not come equipped with a way to display a license plate without drilling into the front bumper. The bill would require the person registering the vehicle to pay a fee, obtain a special windshield insignia, and keep the front plate in the car to surrender to law enforcement upon request. The bill was voted out of the House Transportation Committee without amendment on March 27.

1	There are, of course, many other bills moving
2	through the process that could require implementation work
3	by the Department, but at this time, most are expected to
4	have much more limited impacts on Department operations.
5	Mr. Chairman and Members, this concludes my
6	process. I'd be happy to take any questions.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Yawn.
8	Members, any questions for Keith on all that's
9	happening?
10	MS. McRAE: I would just like to say, great
11	job, Keith, as always.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I think you are
13	safe, and we appreciate your work.
14	MALE VOICE: For a minute.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. For a minute. Right.
16	Yeah. We appreciate your work, Keith. Thank you
17	MR. YAWN: Thank you.
18	MR. BACARISSE: very much. Thank you.
19	Great. All right. That is the regular session update.
20	And now, we'll have Glenna come and speak to us
21	about the legislative appropriations update. So that's
22	exciting as well.
23	Ms. Bowman, the floor is yours.
24	MS. BOWMAN: Good morning, Chairman Bacarisse,
25	Members, Mr. Avitia. For the record, my name is Glenna

Bowman, CFO for TxDMV. This is an update on the legislative appropriations request for FY 2026 through '27.

It is a briefing item with no recommendation, but a lot of good news. As you know, in January 2025, the Texas House of Representatives and the Senate filed their respective versions of the State's budget bills. Both bills included identical levels of our requested baseline funding, as well as riders and rider divisions.

The bill also continued full funding for the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority. The introduced bills, as we expected, did not include the Department's two exceptional items, one, for the RTS modernization, and two, to enhance and improve our core services and customer support.

In March of this year, following a series of public hearings, the House and the Senate each filed a committee substitute for the budget bills. On the House side, the Appropriations Committee recommended funding for one of the Department's exceptional items in the General Appropriations Bill.

They recommended 50 new FTEs, which would enhance and improve our core services and customer support at our request in an amount of 6.4 million, 425 -- excuse me -- for '26-'27 from the TxDMV fund. They also included

\$125 million from general revenue for RTS modernization in House Bill 500, which is the Supplemental Appropriations Bill.

On the Senate side, the Finance Committee recommended funding for both of the Department's exceptional items in the General Appropriations Bill. RTS modernization was actually at the requested amount of 175 million, and funded with general revenue. And the Senate did approve the 50 new FTEs and \$6.4 million to enhance and improve our core services and customer support.

The Senate and House versions will be reconciled this month and into May by a Joint Legislative Conference Committee that will present an amended General Appropriations Bill to both chambers. So we're in a good position right now.

And this concludes my formal remarks. I'm happy to answer any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Ms. Bowman on this item?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, thank you. And why don't we just keep you up here and roll right into Agenda Item No. 9, which is Finance and Audit? So continue.

MS. BOWMAN: Wonderful. 1 2 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. 3 MS. BOWMAN: Sounds great. For the record, 4 Glenna Bowman, CFO, TxDMV. This is an overview of the 5 Semi-Annual Report of Revenues and Expenditures, dated 6 February 2025. It is a briefing item with no 7 recommendation. 8 The report includes statistical and detailed 9 information on revenues, the balance in Fund 10, the TxDMV 10 Fund, actual expenditures and outstanding obligations. This begins on page 86 of your Board materials, if you 11 12 want to look at the report. So far this year, we have deposited \$1 billion 13 14 in revenue to three funds, the General Revenue Fund, the 15 State Highway Fund, and the TxDMV Fund, during those first 16 six months. That is a 3.7 percent increase over the same 17 period last year, FY '24. The TxDMV Fund revenue deposits totaled 18 19 92.6 million, and the adjusted balance in the Fund, as of 20 February 28, is 123.4 million. Our TxDMV Fund actual expenditures total 94.9 million, with \$81.6 million in 21 22 outstanding obligations as of the end of February. 23 General Revenue Fund actual expenditures total 24 one million, and we also have 37.1 million in outstanding

obligations as of the end of February. The General

Revenue Fund obligations are primarily for Motor Vehicle 1 2 Crime Prevention Authority activities, and it's primarily 3 grants that are being sent out to local governments. 4 This concludes my remarks. I'm happy to answer 5 any questions. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. 7 Members, are there any questions for Ms. Bowman 8 at this point? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you for your good work. MS. BOWMAN: Thank you. 11 12 MR. BACARISSE: Appreciate it. Agenda Item 9.B is the Internal Audit Division 13 14 Status Update. So Jason Gonzalez is here to give us that 15 update. 16 Jason, good morning. Welcome. 17 MR. GONZALEZ: Good morning. Good morning, Chairman, Board Members, Executive Director Avitia. 18 19 For the record, I'm Jason Gonzalez, Director of 20 the Internal Audit Division. Agenda Item 9.B. is a briefing item to provide you with information on the 21 22 Internal Audit Division's activities. On page 98 of your 23 Board materials, there is a brief summary of our current 24 engagements.

The first engagement is the public information

request audit. The audit's objective is to evaluate the Department's processes used to receive, track and fill public information requests. The audit is currently in the planning stage. However, the project should move into fieldwork next week, and this audit should be completed in June 2025.

The second engagement is the Motor Carrier

Licensing Advisory. The project's objectives are to

evaluate the current Motor Carrier Credentialing System,

or MCCS, their controls of that -- their systems controls,

to perform a GAAP analysis between the MCCS System and the

upcoming, next-generation credentialing system, and to

identify a potential fraud risk in the credentialing

process.

The project is currently in planning. However, we anticipate the project moving into fieldwork next week. We also anticipate the advisory's completion in June 2025.

The third engagement is the Information

Technology Governance Audit. The preliminary objective is determine whether the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles' processes are designed and in place to achieve the five domains of IT governance. The domains include strategic alignment, value delivery, risk management, resource management, and performance management. This is an

outsourced engagement, and the kickoff meeting is scheduled for next week. We anticipate the completion of the audit in July 2025.

Finally, we've started our annual enterprise-wide risk assessment in preparation for the completion of the fiscal year 2026 Internal Audit Plan. We sent our first risk assessment surveys, and will be conducting meetings with all divisions over the next few months. We anticipate completion of this project by July 2025.

That concludes our internal engagements. We do have one external engagement. It's being performed by the Comptroller of Public Accounts.

The audit's objectives are to determine whether the Department procured contracts according to applicable state laws and Comptroller requirements, processed payments according to applicable state laws, Comptroller requirements and statewide automated system guidelines, and maintained appropriate documentation to support those payments, and properly record financial transactions and high risk assets. This audit is currently in fieldwork, and they're reviewing process transactions from September 1, 2023, through August 31, 2024.

Unfortunately, I don't have an estimated completion date. They've been in fieldwork, and we don't know when we'll get a report.

1	So this concludes my update. I'm happy to
2	answer any questions you may have.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Gonzalez.
4	Any questions for him? Member Graham?
5	MR. GRAHAM: Well, not technically a question.
6	Because he's not going to tell you himself, I will
7	MR. BACARISSE: Yes.
8	MR. GRAHAM: tell you that
9	MR. BACARISSE: Please do.
10	MR. GRAHAM: for the first time in recent
11	history, at least probably three or four years, the
12	team the Audit team is full staffed.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Good job.
14	(Applause.)
15	MR. GONZALEZ: We have one opening
16	MR. BACARISSE: Well, okay.
17	MR. GONZALEZ: But it's being posted, so we're
18	really close. We're really close.
19	MR. GRAHAM: But we have an extra
20	MR. GONZALEZ: We do. We have an intern right
21	now, so head count, we are fully staffed. Yes, sir.
22	MR. GRAHAM: But let me say, he has this guy
23	has worked really, really hard to get these positions
24	filled. Not just filled, but filled with really good
25	people. And we've had extensive conversations, how he's

done that. And as it's turned out, it's very secretive, 1 2 propriety information possessed by him that I can't 3 disclose. But I'll tell you it's --4 MR. GONZALEZ: Off the record, we may be able 5 to have conversations. 6 (General laughter.) 7 MR. GRAHAM: Off the record. That's right. 8 But he's worked really hard to get us in a much 9 better position, which means it puts the agency -- the 10 Audit team in a great position to continue to look at this agency and find ways of improvement and eliminate the 11 potential for fraud, which is very important in this day. 12 13 So great work, Jason. Appreciate you. 14 MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you. I appreciate that. 15 Thank you. 16 I'd also like to thank Cynthia and her team. 17 Couldn't do it without them. So thanks. MR. BACARISSE: Well, I will just pile on and 18 19 say I appreciate your commitment to interns. You know, I 20 had a very valuable internship opportunity when I was in college. And I appreciate your willingness to mentor and 21 22 train the next generation. 23 MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you. Yeah. I couldn't do 24 it without my team.

Is that

They grab the bull by the horns.

1 correct? 2 MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. MR. GONZALEZ: Yeah. They just -- they move 3 4 right along. So I'd like to thank them. 5 MR. BACARISSE: Good staff. Thank you, Jason. 6 7 Any other questions for Mr. Gonzalez? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. BACARISSE: Good job. 10 MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you. MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. Thank you. 11 12 Before we move to the next agenda item, I'd 13 like to note that we do have a public comment listed as 14 Agenda Item 12, but we're about to go into closed session 15 in a little bit. So I wondered, if we may, if there any 16 people that have signed up to speak, take them out of 17 order at this point and do public comment, or --MS. MORIATY: There are no public comments 18 19 today, sir. 20 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Well, that's great. So in this situation, we will now take up 21 22 Agenda Item No. 10, and we're going to go into closed 23 session. It is now 10:57 a.m. on April 10, 2025. We'll 24 go into closed session under Texas Government Code,

Section 551.105 -- .071, 551.074, 551.076, 551.089.

1	For those of you in the audience, I anticipate
2	being in closed session for approximately one hour. We'll
3	reconvene in open session after that.
4	With that, we are now recessed from the public
5	meeting. We're going to closed session.
6	(Whereupon, at 10:57 a.m., the meeting was
7	recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, April 10,
8	2025, following conclusion of the closed session.)
9	MR. BACARISSE: It is now 11:55 a.m. and the
10	Board of the TxDMV is now back in open session. We
11	excuse me had a great lunch. Thank you, Carrie.
12	No action items will be taken up from this
13	closed session.
14	MR. GRAHAM: Move to adjourn.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Then we'll now to move to
16	MS. McRAE: Second.
17	MR. BACARISSE: adjournment.
18	I think your Member Graham who moved, and
19	seconded by Member McRae. Yeah.
20	MR. GRAHAM: I can't help it.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah.
22	MR. GRAHAM: That was my let's get out
23	of this
24	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah. I'm writing down, as
25	fast as I can.

1		So all in favor, say aye.
2		(A chorus of ayes.)
3		MR. BACARISSE: Noes, nay?
4		(No response.)
5		MR. BACARISSE: It's unanimous. We are
6	adjourned,	11:56.
7		(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 11:56
8	a.m.)	

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: TxDMV Board

4 LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: April 10, 2025

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 97, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: April 24, 2025

/s/Adrienne Evans-Stark (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 Austin, Texas 78752